# DOUBTS, HOPES, AND REASONS,

For refusall of the Oath, imposed by the sixth Canon of the late Synod.

With important Confiderations, both for the penning and publishing of them at this time.

By John Ley, Pastor of Great Budworth in Cheshire.

Above all things, my Brethren, sweare not, Jam.5.12.

Falsa Juratio exitiosa est, vera Juratio periculosa est, nulla Juratio secura est. August serm. 28 de verbis Apostoli.

Hereunto is added by the same Author,

A Letter against the erection of an Altar, written above five yeares agoe:

And a case of conscience, touching the receiving of the Sacrament, resolved.

#### LONDON,

Printed by R. Young, for G. Lathum, at the figne of the Bishopshead in Pauls Church-yard. 1641.

funde ende 66 co alderes emails es escalega escaleda a foregranda adolesce मेर्टेनार्ट्यीक र राज्य महा द्वारा राज्य है महिल्ला है है



#### To the right Worshipfull

Sir William Brereton, Baro-)
net.

Peter Venables, Esquire, Baron of Kinderton and Knights of the Shire for the County Palatine of Chester.

Sir Thomas Smith.
And
To the Worshipfull
Francis Gamull, Esquire.
Burgesses for the Ci-

fler. J tie of Chester.

John Loy wisheth the welfare of this, and

the other World.

Right Worll and worthy Sirs,



S the good providence of God, and the good will of your Countrie have forted you together, in the defigne of publicke service of the

Church and State; so your united Interests in the cause and composer of this Booke, have joyntly rather claimed as a dutie, then invited as a courtesie, that I should present it to pub-

4 4

licke

# The Epistle Dedicatory.

licke view under all your names. It propofeth and pursueth many (as I hope a judicious Reader will upon serious perusall find them) just and weighty exceptions against the late Oath of the fixth Canon; wherein it waiteth upon the wisdome and justice of your Honourable House of Commons, who have voted it, to vanish like the smoake of a Canon, without a Bullet (making a great found, butdoing no hurt) to avow your righteous and religious Act therein, against the mis-conceit of fuch as, either partially or perverily, mifdeeme the deserved doome which you have passed upon it. And if now (by your hands) it may come to the eyes of your venerable Affociates, it may haply find fuch acceptance in their fight, that by your common favour it may have the honour to appeare before the right Honourable Lords of the Upper House of Parliament, and to represent us (who were most exposed to the perill of the Canonicall Decree) the more capable of the concurrent Grace of you all, in the full and finall abrogation thereof.

And for my selfe (besides my generall engagements,

# The Epistle Dedicatory.

gagements, with thousands who owe you the affectionate observance of publicke Patriots and Patrons, in the places to which you are called) the particular favours which I have received from you in severall, oblige mee this or any other way (wherein I may doe you any acceptable service) to expresse my selfe

Yours, most ready to attend you

with humble observance,

JOHN LEY.

From my Lodging at the Fountaine in Pauls Church-yard, Febr. 22. 1640.



A Letter, declaring the occasion of beginning a manner of proceeding for the penning and publishing of the Discourse ensuing.

#### TO

The Right Worshipfull, and Worshipfull, my very reverend and worthy Friends and Brethren,

Mr. Th. M. D.of Ch.

Dr. D.D. of R.

Mr. R. H. W. of M. and

Mr. Ch.H. R. of W. and with them, to the rest of my venerable Brethren of the Diocesse of Chester.

My worthy and well beloved Brethren and Friends,



Efides the Doubts of the Oath proposed in this Booke (whereof wee must wait for resolution from our Superiours) there may bee some Doubts of the Booke it selfe, to which it most belongeth to mee to make answer: and therein, partly to

give satisfaction to you; and partly, to require testifi-

cation from you, fince to many of you a good part of what I shall say is very well knowne: and that with the rest I shall reduce to these source particulars; whereof, most as yet have either none, or at least but a doubtfull apprehension: and wherein it is meet they should be rightly informed.

1. Concerning the occasion of the discourse ensu-

ing.

2. The presenting of it at first to you; and then to him, to whom it is inscribed.

3. The addition made in this (I may fay) fecond edition, though a yet but a manuscript.

4. The Reasons, why now I am willing to com- not intended

municate Copies of it, which before I was nor.

First, for the Occasion, it was this: Some of you, and divers others (my selfe for one) met at W. at the monethly Exercise set up, or upheld (as is considertly delivered by divers persons of unquestionable credit) with the good liking and allowance of our late learned Soveraigne, as a godly and grateful memorial of his Majesties, and the Kingdomes deliverance from the Powder Treason.

At one of the Affemblies there, the Bishop of Chefier that now is, not long before hee was advanced to the Episcopall Chaire, bestowed his paines in the Pulpit one part of the day, and I mine the other. At our premised meeting, which was August 18. last past, the service of the day was divided betwixt you(M.Ch.H.) and mee, and our minds and tongues united in pressing Peace and Charity, most needfull Themes for these crazie and distracted times.

Our Sermons ended, and fome of us invited to a

a It was then not intended for the Presse. place of convenient repose, the rest of our Tribe (who were a part of that Congregation) resorted unto us, every man accompanying his acquaintance, and so making, as it were, a whole chaine of many linkes; and withall, it is not unlike, but that the most that there met in person, met also in perplexity of mind, by reason of the late Canonicall Oath, and in their desires to be resolved of their Doubts: and they might have so much more hope thereof, as there were the more at that time, with whom, in such cases of conscience they might consult.

How loever, being so met, that which was the common talke of the Laity, and the particular trouble of the Clergy, could not but be a principall part of our discourse at that time; and of that, the most that was said, was the proposall of a Doubt, Whether the Oath were doubtfull, or no? and all of us expressing but the same conceipt (as I verily believe) which every one brought with him, unanimously consented in an Affir-

mative answer.

Our next Quare was, what course should be taken, either that we might not take it, or that it might be so cleared and qualified unto us, that our consciences might not be entangled by it; and for that, wee resolved to propose our Doubts of the Oath to the Bishop of the Diocesse, and by him to advance higher (though in the low and humble way of Petition) if there were cause. Then the Question was, Who should draw up our defires and doubts in writing; and the company assembled, presently agreed to put that taske upon me, which I then undertooke.

This was the worst of that daies work, which some (whose

#### proceeding in this Discourse.

(whose place and profession promised more chariev and discretion) have slandered, as a Schismaticall Conventicle, and a feditious Affembly; and have added to their calumnies of us, comminations of the

highest displeasure, and danger against us.

To what height would they have raised their indig. nation towards us, if wee should have met of purpose to make a common purse to maintaine our cause, as the a Clergy in Henry the thirds time did, against Boni- a Bith Godface Archbishop of Canterbury: or, should have burnt wins Catal.of the new booke of Canons, as b Luther did the Popes b Histoof the Bull and Decretalls; when a meeting, in it felfe to Councell of faultlesse and inoffensive, is racked, to make it reach Trent.1.1.9.12

to the height of an hainous crime.

2. The second particular is the presenting of that, which upon the request of my Brethren I had performed: first, unto them; which I did the next Exercise after: (notwithstanding my burthen of other businesse betwixt them both) and received from them fuch an attestation and acceptance, upon the reading thereof. as I may well take for a recompence of greater paines then it cost mee; and which may embolden mee to adventure it upon the view of other eyes, then of professed friends. From my Brethren of the Presbytery, it was next to be a graduate to the Bishop of chester : But while that was in deliberation when, and by whom to be done I was informed, that a Letter was written by the Archbishop of Canterbury to other Bishops, both of this, and the other Province, not to presse the Oath upon any, untill the 13.of October: and for that time it was refolved by some of us (to whose discre-

tion

## The occasion and manner of

tion such considerations were referred) it should be referred.

Meane while, we had affured newes of a Parliament shortly to ensue: That (as many of us then conceived) so varied the case, that it invited us to betake our felves to another course then wee intended before: and then it was thought fit, neither fo to folicite the Bishop, as if wee did principally depend upon his fayour for our freedome from the Oath; nor yet fo to passe by him, as to give cause of suspition, that he was flighted by us: and therefore about the 14.of October I brought him the Booke at first, especially prepared for his reading; which I told him, I tendred not to him then as an Agent for the Clergy, but as of mine owne private and particular respect unto my Diocefan: which was fuch, that I would not confent (though much importuned) that any should have a Copie of what I had composed untill it were first offered to his perusall, for which it was at first intended, and by iovnt confent concluded among us.

Thirdly, for the addition now made to it, I may fay truly, and some of my Brethren can testifie with mee, that the most of it was penned before any part of it was sent to the sight and censure of the Ministers at W. and I therefore kept backe divers sheets of it of purpose, that it might not be too long for their leisure, at their first meeting (after it was sinished) to reade

it together.

And now, fince upon notice of more they will not rest content with a part, lest they should fort mee to cananias and Saphyra (for a sacrilegious detention)

c. A& 5.3.

## proceeding in this Discourse.

I am resolved to communicate the whole first unto you, and then by you unto others.

And for this I am now in the fourth place to ren-

der some Reasons; and they are chiefly these:

First, to gratisse my Brethren and Friends, who importunately presse mee, to make them partakers of my

private Dictates concerning the Oath.

Secondly, to justifie our refulall of it, which some have superciliously censured, as proceeding of simplicity; and some uncharitably, as savouring of contumacy, or of hypocrisie: as if wee did but pretend a tendernesse of conscience; and therefore that wee would be sure to take it, rather then suffer any thing

for standing out against it.

Thirdly, to rectifie the mistaking of divers transcripts of my Booke from the first Copy (which are spread abroad in many places, either without my knowledge, or against my will) some whereof I have seen, and by them have found out a double falshood: the one of thest, in stealing of Copies (without my consent) the other of lying, in putting their ignorant or cursory slips upon mine accompt, as if I had made them.

Fourthly, to cleare the doubt of fome, and to confute the mif-report of others, who give out, that I am the Author of a Booke intituled, Englands complaint to Jefus Christ against the Bishops Canons; wherein I was a meere stranger, for the matter of it (untill I saw it in print) and am yet for the maker of it now it is printed; and though such as well know mee, will no more believe it to bee mine, then mined ingenuous d M'.E.B. friend would doe (who with his advertisement of that b 2 mistaking.

#### The occasion and manner of

mif-taking, wrote thus unto mee: I am confident, you

e Menander & Perinthiam, qui utramvis bas noverit; non ita funt diffimili arguin Prolog, in Andr.

never wrote it : for it favours nothing either of your file. (pirit, or indgement. Whereupon, I have made bold in your name to disavow it) yet for prevention of misprision in others, it will doe well, that this Booke bee extant as well as that; and fo may fuch, as will bee pleased to compare them, see more difference betwixt them, then betwixt . Menanders Andria and Perinfecit Andriam thia, which, though they were composed in a various phrase and stile, were yet so like, both in their argurecte norit,am- ment and genius of the Poet, that he that knew the one, must know them both, as sister-births of the same Authors braine, which I dare confidently averre, no well mento. Terent. advised Reader will suspect of the Book, which modeftly discourseth of the Doubts of one Canon, and that Booke, which peremptorily condemneth them all: and yet, if not onely private fancy, but publicke fame should father it upon mee, I should not take the matter much to heart, fince the other day, The most reverend father (and for his rare learning constant preaching, and confonant living, the most renowned Archbishop of Armagh) told mee of an egregious imposture, putting his name to a late Pamphlet (whereof not fo much as one line was his) which passed abroad a while as a Manuscript, but was presently by printing to proceed to more publick notice, if he had not opportunely procured an Order (from the Honourable House of Commons in Parliament) to the Master and Company of Stationers to prevent the impression; whereof (giving me some f printed Copies of it) he willed mee, to give an Advertisement thereof to the Readers of my Booke, that neither himselfe nor others might be any more abused by such a fraudulent

f According to the Tenour fet downe, as hereafter followeth.

# proceeding in this Discourse.

fraudulent forgery, and I might say impudent also: for. what impudence was it to impose such a discourse upon his Fatherhood, a person so well, so generally knowne, and not more knowne, then honoured throughout the Christian world, and yet not by death translated to another life, but alive and lively, in very good health, nor (for the prefent) at a remote distance in Ireland, but in England, in London, where hee might be sure to be soone informed of the wrong, and find a ready way to right himselfe? "Which may be a confiderable occasion and "motive, to all men of eminent Abilities (of whose "worth the world hath taken notice by their workes) "to leave a perfect Register of the legitimate issue of "their ingenious and studious conceptions (intended "and prepared for publicke use) that when they are "dead, no supposititious brats may bee thrust in a-" mong them, to claime a childes part of their fathers " reputation.

To conclude (for, this fhort digreffion ended) I have done with this Introductory Discourse: If while I endeavour to avoid the inconveniences fore-mentioned, I expose my selfe (by a more generall view of this discussion of the Oath) to more variety of censures, which from some are like to bee severe enough (for such as have thought us worthy to be undone, if wee dare not sweare, no doubt will entertaine these Doubts and Reasons with distaste) I shall not need any other cordiall against their mis conceits, then to resect first upon the integrity of mine intentions, and the sidelity of my performance of this service; and then upon the considerate subscriptions of such unto it, as

## The occasion and manner, &c.

for their quicknesse of conceir, and soundnesse of judgement (so farre as I can judge) are g Vocabantur Critici quidam docti, qui de scriptis aliorum judicabant reponendane essenti in Templo Apollinis, an rejicienda. Eras man Epist. Hieron. ad Rustic. tom. I. who with a dash of their pen would cancell what you and many others have confirmed with your hands. To whom, with the rest of my reverend Brethren, I most willingly remaine

A most entire and affectionate

Brother, to love and serve you,

JOHN LEY.

From my Lodging at the Fountaine in Pauls Church-yard, Febr. 23. 1640.

#### Karkherrkerkrings Karkherr

Die Martis, 9. Febr. 1640.

by James Lord Archbishop of Armagh, and Primate of all Ireland, that a certaine Pamphlet hath been lately most injuriously fathered upon him, and spread abroad under the false title of The Bishop of Armagh's direction to the house of Parliament, concerning the Liturgie and Episcopall Government: It is this day ordered in the Commons House of Parliament, that the Master and Company of Stationers, and all others whom it may concerne, shall take such course for suppressing of the said Book, that they shall not suffer it to be put in print, or if it be already printed, not permit the same to be divulged. And if any shall presume to print or publish the Booke above-mentioned, That he, or they, shall be then liable to the censure of the said House.

H.Elfynge Cler. Domus Comm.



# A Preface to the READER, written by N.E.

Shewing that the Book (concerning the Oath, decreed in the fixth Canon of the late Synod) as it was penned in good season; so it is now published not out of season: since it is yet of good use, to divers purposes, of great and permanent importance, when both the Oath and Penalty be wholly suppressed.



Hen the Authour of this enfuing Treatife composed it, there was (by reason of the new enjoyned Oath) much doubt and distraction among many godly and learned Ministers; and (for their sakes) among the people also, whereto these Doubts, and

Hopes, and Reasons ministred a seasonable remedy: for, the Doubts put many out of doubt, that the Oath, as it was framed, was to be refused: the Hopes (for all that) upheld the heart against despaire of safety, from the commination of the Canon; and the Reasons gave support unto them both: and all together (with the learned

learned ingenuity and pious modesty, diffused through the whole frame and stile of the worke) have so taken the hearts of divers Divines of eminent note (for their good parts and great paines in their holy callings, among whom were some, whose dignity in the Church was by the Canon to be secured from alteration) as to obtaine their hands, for subscription unto its and to cause both them and many more to importune the publication of the Book (though the Oath it selfe, and Penalty annexed to it, were disanulled) since the matter and manner of it are both of that kind, which may at any time entertaine a discreet and religious Reader with profit and delight.

For first, albeit the Oath gave the motive to it, yet it is not the Oath that makes the measure of it: for (be-fides that) it comprises the many very observable matters, concerning the purity of Religion (without mixture of Idolatry) the duties of Christianity, the predominance of Prelates, and priviledges of Presbyters, with many other particulars of moment, worthy of the publicke view, if that so offensive, and (in respect of some I may say) formidable Canon, had never beene

made.

And for the Oath it selfe, this Booke will present unto the Reader very many considerable advertisements, which no distance of time will put out of date: Concerning

First, the Synod of Divines, by whom the Canon was

decreed.

Secondly, those by whom it was disliked, when it was knowne.

Thirdly, their freedome from it, who were in danger by it. C First,

First, for the Synod of Divines, the many & weighty exceptions against the Oath, give just reason to sufpect, that it was not framed by common consultation among them, but devised by some one, or some sew (at the most) who over-awed the rest, and so obtruded it upon them, without their good liking; though great feare of opposing, and little hope of prevailing; which held them from making any open resistance against it.

To this purpose hath something been said on both sides: for, on the one, it was told by a Favourite of a man of great power in the Synod (as in praise of his prudence) that hee composed the Canons before the Clergy assembled: and on the other side (which belongs to the second particular, and leads us to it) some of the Synod have professed so much dislike of that Canon of the Oath, that had it been in their power to suppresse it, it should never have proceeded beyond

the breath that fust put it forth.

And for the learned and pious Composer of these animadversions upon it, though hee were a Clerke of the Convocation at Yorke, he never heard of it, untill it had obtained its finall approbation, both in that and the other Province; wherein yet hee was not wanting for the use of fit meanes, for his due information: for knowing that the Assembly at London was the Diall, that at Yorke but the Clocke, which was usually set and regulated by it: presently after his returne from the first Session at Yorke, hee addressed himselse towards London for intelligence at the first hand, that when the Canons were transmitted thither, hee might be sufficiently instructed (upon good grounds) to give et deny his assent unto them: and so to doe either, that

he might not be alone in that choice, whereto his confcience did encline him.

But being on his journie, hee was confidently certified (by the way) that the Parliament was distolved, and with that hee conceived, both the Convocation and his Clerkship were expired: and therefore that he was discharged of further care and paines for such a purpose; and if the cause had not been carried more by the power of some sew, then the approbation of most, it might be matter of very great marvell, that so many, so great Clerkes should give their assents to a thing (to bee assured upon Oath) which is so many waies subject to doubtfull construction.

And this may bee a just ground to all judicious and conscionable Divines, to desire a Synod of another Constitution; wherein there may be more freedome, for discussion and determination of Ecclesiastical matters, then was in that, or can be expected in any of

that kind.

Besides such as were members of Provinciall meetings, there were many more who were offended at the Oath, not only those who were affrighted with the penalty (especially the most conscionable Clergy) but many of the Laity (of the best fort and highest rank) have petitioned, pleaded, and, as it is now commonly reported, the Honourable House of Commons have voted it downe (though the right Honourable Lords of the Upper House have not yet so far declared their judgements against it.)

To these this booke may doe some acceptable service, as a just and complete Apology against that Canon, which decrees for it, and so severely threatens the

C.3

efufall

refusall of it) and against them, who so far favour it, as to referre all the Doubts and Quæres about it, and Reasons against it, rather to perverse prejudice of those that find fault with it, then to any just cause of exception in the Oath it selfe: adding with great confidence in it, and no lesse contempt of such as condemn'd it, that, If any of the Apostles, yea, or Christ himselfe had penned it (a supposition of meere impossibility) such

men would yet have been cavilling against it.

I can name the man that faid it, & fomwhat elfe(not much better to the same effect) and it may be an Apology likewife for fuch as diflike it, against those who have taken upon them by writing to defend it, as containing nothing in it, that may offend the conscience (if the judgement be not too weake, or the paffion too strong) whose papers have been too passent, and too much prevailed with some, who have not seene this discourse, wherein the Author discovers their glosses upon it to bee but as the gildings of a rotten Nutmeg. though he forbeare their names, out of respectanto the common peace, and to their reputations in particular, who must needs suffer with it, so far as they are opposed as Apologists for it: since as one of them acknowledged (in the beginning of his answer to the Quæres of the Ministers of London) that the noise against the Oath grew every day lowder and lowder, and that it was loaded with publicke and popular infamy: and fo it is like hee should have more need of pardon (as for a prefumptuous undertaking in that cause, being but a private person) then that he could bring sufficient aide to justifie the Oath, which (not without just cause) had incurr'd fo much condemnation. Thirdly.

Thirdly, if we consider their freedome from it, who were in danger by it & they were many good Ministers. who (if the fwearing Canon had continued in force) must have suffred losse of their liberty, and livelihood; and very many good people, who were like to be deprived of their loving and laborious Ministers) this Book may be of very good use, as a ready memoriall of such a mercy to them all, as cannot bee forgotten, without wicked ingratitude: first to God, and next to those worthy and honourable persons, who have been the patrons of their present comfort, and protectours of them from the pressure of this rigorous innovation: and unworthy were they (furely) of fo great a favour, if they should thinke, that when the Oath is condemned, they are forthwith discharged from any farther thought or confideration upon it.

For mine owne part, said a worthy Divine (and I am of his mind) I shall endeavour alwaies to keep such an impression both of the perill and disappointment of the project, for which the Canonwas contrived, that the b fecond of November (the day designed for the execution of high estimatithe decree of that Canon shall be to me as one of the dayes on with the of Purim, for hearty thank siving for deliverance from festivity would it; and this Booke shall supply me with proper lessons for (no doubt)

the service of that day.

Whereto, as for the matter it is very pertinent; fo gree of jollity, for the stile and manner of writing it cannot but bee if it had been pleasing to an ingenuous and well composed spirit, to be) a day of fince it runnes (with an equitable temper of judicious distresse to the moderation) without all mixture of humour or passion, whom they acand neither mounts too high, with any straine of pre- count as their fumption, nor coucheth too low by any servile infinu- worst enemies. ation.

day, a day of Papists; which have advanced to a higher de-(as it was like

It may be, some will conceive it hath too many relishes of reverence to Bishops, because the tenure of their Authority is therein questioned, and the abuse of it deservedly disliked by the best of all forts; but that will be no matter of exception, if they consider

1. That when the book was first penned, and openly avowed by the Authour, those Canonicall Dicators (who composed the Oath, and propounded it to the rest for their ratification) were at the highest pitch of Ecclesiasticall Prelation: and that their power came forth armed with a new plantation of terrible Canons.

2. That they have long enjoyed, and yet are poffest of an awfull preheminence over their Brethren. 2. That however their callings be accounted of.

the persons of many of them, in respect of many excellent endowments in them, are in good manners and accustomed civility, to be entertained with respe-Clive termes, which have been allowed even to those who have been thought bad enough to bee deposed from their Episcopall dignities; as by this learned Authour, out of the Councell of Calcedon upon another occasionis observed in another worke (which, I hope, ere long will come to light.) The words of the Councell, as wee have them delivered by b Evagrius, are these: It seemeth good to us, and no doubt God approveth the same, that Dioscorus the most reverend Bi-(hop of Alexandria (if so please our Lord the Emperour) Juvenalis the most reverend Bishop of Jerusalem, Tealasfius the most reverend Bishop of Armenia, should be punished, and alike deposed from their Bishopricks, by the sentence and judgement of the Councell, and bee at the Emperours pleasure.

b Evagr. Scho-

#### A Letter commendatory.

To conclude (for this Book) if there were any need to commend it to common acceptance, by especiall approbation, I could (had I the Authours consent to this purpose) produce many Letters of such as have read it, and are best able to judge of it; but that would bee in this case a superfluous service; and it will be enough to take notice of one of them, which is as solloweth:

Reverend Sir,

Y our Treatise of the Oath is a very excellent Coment apon a bad Text, fit to be made publick for the common good, not onely for the present, but for after times. And as was said (by one) of Adams fall, that it was feelix culpa, in that it gave occasion to the manifestation of so great a mercy to mankind as followed thereupon; so may I say of the unhappy Oath (unhappy in respect of it selfe) that it was feelix Juramentum, an happy Oath, in respect it induced the production of such a profitable discourse upon it; very prositable doubtlesse, if it may become as universall as it is usefull, which is the humble and bearty desire of him that prosesses himselfe

Yours in all offices of a friend and servant,

G.7.

Having had opportunity to peruse many such Letters, I have made choice of the shortest, because I would not (any longer) withhold the Reader from the principall provision prepared for him: whereto I now shall willingly dismisse him.

N.E.

# 

CAN. 6.

An Oath enjoyned for the preventing of all Innovations in Doctrine and Government.

His present Synod (being desirous to declare their sincerity and constancy in the profession of the Doctrine and Discipline already established in the Church of England; and to secure all men against any suspition of revolt to Popery, or any other superstition) decrees, that all Archbishops, Bishops, and all other Priests, and Deacons, in places exempt, or not exempt, shall before the second of November next ensuing, take this Oath following, against all Innovation of Doctrine or Discipline; and this Oath shall be tendred them, and every of them, and all others named after this Canon, by the Bishop in person, or his Chancellour, or some grave Divines named and appointed by the Bishop under his Seale; and the said Oath shall bee taken in the presence of a publick Notary, who is hereby required to make an AEt of it, leaving the Univerfities to the provision which followes.

#### The OATH is,

I A. B. doe sweare, that I doe approve the Doctrine and Discipline or Government, established in the Church of England, as containing all things necessary to salvation: And that I will not endeavour by my selfe, or any other, directly or indirectly, to bring in any popish Doctrine, contrary to that which is To established: Nor will I ever give my confent to alter the Government of this Church, by Archbishops, Bishops, Deanes and Archdeacons, &c. as it stands now established, & as by right it ought to stand; nor yet ever to subject it to the usurpations and superstition of the See of Rome. And all these things I doe plainely, and fincerely acknowledge, and fweare, according to the plaine and common sense, and understanding of the same words, without any equivocation, or mentall evafion, or secret reservation whatsoever. And this I doe heartily, willingly and truely upon the faith of a Christian. So help me God in Jefus Chrift.



Concerning the Oath, and Penalty thereof, imposed by the fixth Canon of the late Synod.

Oubts, and Hopes, with the Reasons of them both, for the most part delivered into the hands, and intended wholy to be presented to the prudent and religious consideration of the reverend Father, John L. Bishop of Chester, in the names of the Divines, Physicians, and Achoole-masters of his Diocesse.

Pag. 1.

#### 1. Generall Doub T.

Doubts in Generall. 1. Whether this Oath if it be tendred and taken) be not a taking of the Name of God in vaine, against the third Commandement.

pag. 2.

2. Whether this Oath may be taken in faith, without which, the taking is sinne, Rom. 14.23. pag. 11.

3. Whether the fixth Canon, as it is charged with this Oath, and Penalty, be not like to crosse the chiefe end, whereat his Majesty aimed, in granting his Commission for a Convocation or Synod.

pag. 11.

#### 1. Particular Doub T.

Doubts in Particular, 1. What is meant by Discipline and Government, whether the same things, or no; and, if the same, what they be. pag. 14.

2. What is meant by the Church of England. pag. 16.

2.Why

# THE CONTENTS.

. I d Difficulting is limbed mist	d. n.d. in f
3. Why the Discipline is linked with the Church of England, for necessity	of Calvation
the Charter of England 3 joi necessity	
What was to Back Define	pag.18.
4. What is meant by Popish Doctrine.	pag.32.
5. What establishment of Doctrineis h	1
how farre it may be said to be established.	pag.39.
6. whether the degrees here specified,	
to be allowed in the same, or in a different	degree of af-
sent and approbation,	pag.43.
7. What Deanes are here meant.	pag.44.
7. What Deanes are here meant. 8. What is the Authority or Governm	ent of a Cathe-
arall Deane.  9. What is the Authority or Government Rurall.	nt of a Deane
Rurall.	. pag 48.
10. What is the Authority or Governm	ent of Arch.
deacons.	pag. 51.
11. Whether we may fafely take a new	nath with an
&c.	
12. How farre the &c. is to be extend	pag.55.
express declared	D20 F6
expressly declared.  13. What Governours are included in	ha fra mha
About the Vine as Comments to alterether on	itted on im
ther the King, as Supreme, be altogether on	
plicitely contained in it.	pag. 56.
14. Who, and what Governours they be	pag.59.
15. Whether the establishment of the Ad	juncts, or the
not necessary appendences of Bishops be to be	fworne unto
in this Oath.	pag.62.
16. What the Right is , by which the Go	vernment is
meant to stand.	pag.65.
Of Archbishops and Patriarchs.	pag.71.
17. How farre this perpetuity propound	
South Control of the	plyed

#### THE CONTENTS.

plyed to the Discipline or Government of the Church.

Government of the Church.

and understanding of the Oath.

to our selves.

this Oath.

and cleared.

18. Why we should sweare against consent, to alter the

19. whether, if we should thus sweare, wee should not be entangled with contradiction to our Governours, and

20. Why in this part of the Oath mention is made rather of the See of Rome, then of the Church of Rome.

21. How we can sweare to a plaine and common sense

22. What willingnesse is required in the taking of

22. How the Doubts of the Oath may bee resolved

pag.79.

pag.84.

pag.92.

pag. 94.

pag 95.

pag.96.

Concerning

viledged from taking this Oath, when the grees of Masters of Arts.	
25. Concerning the difference, betwix	pag.103.
and commination of the Canon.	pag.106.
Our Hopes.	
Our Reasons, and Grounds of then	nare foure:
1. Reason grounded on Piety.	pag.112.
2. The second on Charity.	pag.116.
3. On Equitie.	pag.120.
4. On Policie.	pag.122.



Concerning the Oath and penaltie thereof, imposed by the fixth Canon of the late Synod.

Doubts, and Hopes, wish the Reasons of them both, for the most part delivered into the hands, and wholly intended to be presented to the prudent and religious consideration of the reverend Father, John L. Bishop of Chester, in the names of the Divines, Physicians, and Schoole-masters of his Diocesse.



He imposition of an Oath (being regularly made to be a seale of faith to those that impose it) doth reasonably presuppose as much satisfaction and considence in him, that doth take it (touching the manner and meaning thereof) as by such a sa-

cred attestation, may be set upon the mind of him that doth tender it. For, as the imposer expecteth thereby to bee assured of the intention and integrity of the taker of the oath; so hee that taketh it (that hee may

B

he

the better imprint that perswasion in him) should first be affured himfelfe, that with a fafe confeience it may be taken. An hypocriticall oath (wee confesse) may beget a beliefe, but it is not lawfully begotten by any

that doth not sweare in fincerity.

The due confideration hereof hath taken up our most intentive thoughts, which in their issue have arrived at this resolution of our reverend brethren the Professours of Aberdene: a wee ought (fay they) to judge of those things we are to sweare to, with the strict and inquisive judgement of verity, and to ponder duly, and to propound particularly and fully to others (especially to those who require our oath) to satisfie our consciences there anent, and to answer all the Doubts and Reasons, which make us unwilling and affraid to give

out affent thereto.

And for this purpose especially, were are to make our addresse to your Lordship, by direction of our late \*learned Soveraigne, and of the Fathers of our Church in thefe words , b For as much as nothing can almost be so plainly set forth, but doubts may arise in to the book of the use and practice of the same, to appeale all such diverfity (if any such arise) and for Resolution of all doubts concerming the maner how to ander frand doe, and execute this booke (feil. the book of Common Praier) the parties that fo doubt, or diverfly take any thing, Shall refort to the Bishop of the Diocesse, wholy his discretion shall take order for the quieting and appealing the Same - and if the Bishop himselfe bee in doubt, hee may fond for resolution thereof to the Archbishop.

What is here expreshy prescribed (touching the explanation and practice of that booke) we conceive

a The gene-ral cemands of the Minifters and Professors of Aberdene,p.37.

\* Conference at Hampton Court, p.57. b The Preface Common Praier, pag. 2. printed 1625.

doth vertually belong to our present case, concerning the Oath by the fixth Canon lately imposed : and thereof wee make bold to prefent to your Lordship these doubts ensuing , which if you please not to anfwer your felfe, wee humbly befeech you to transmit them to an higher Judge, with your favourable testimony of us that move them, as of men (for a good part) well knowne to you to bee studious of the publicke peace, and no way affected to faction or novelty, which you may charitably conceive of the reft, whom you know not; fince all of us agree (not onely as brethren among our felves, but as fonnes to the reverend Fathers of the Church ) to keep both the Kings and the Churches high-way, in a graduall promotion of our scruples towards due satisfaction; which thus wee propound, beginning first with the generall doubts, and so proceeding to doubts in particular.



THE OATH.

I A.B. doe sweare, that I doe approve,&c.

#### 1. Generall Doubt.

Whether this Oath (if it be tendred and taken) be not a r.Generall taking the Name of God in vaine, against the third Doubt.

Commandement?

#### THE REASON.

Because, as wee suppose, there is no need of it (in respect of Ministers especially) for security either of Doctrine or Discipline against Innovation: for Do-

ctrine (as against the Papists, the principall enemies unto it) the Lawes of the Land, and Constitutions of the Church (without this Oath) fufficiently provide, if they be answerably executed : and for such, if they be conscionable, they will not take it; if unconscionable, (though they take it) they will not keep it: for they

d Videre non potuit, fi Pontifex jurasive de sidelitate Regi præstanda, sive de dispensatione non admittenda dissolutos fore.-Imò juramentum injustum neminem obligat, sed ipso facto nullum eft. Lyfim. Nican.pag. 54. ex Pafcenio.

will eafily bee perswaded to flight mentum diffolyerit, omnes illius nexus, this Oath, as they doe the oath of d Allegiance, whereof fome of them shew how the cautions of it against equivocation, mentall refervation, and Papall dispensations

may bee eluded, and fay, that the Oath, as unjust (so foone as it is taken) is presently nullified ip fo facto.

But for Ministers especially, it seemeth unto us to

be altogether needlesse; since they, having according to the Canons of the year 1603. Subscribed to the Kings Supremacy, the Articles of Religion, and the Booke of Common Praier, and of Ordination, with all their contents (wherein the order of Bishops, and degree of Archbishops is contained; and which is compasse enough for a well composed conscience) are flentenced (if they revolt from their subscription) first, to Suspension; secondly, to Excommunication; thirdly, to Deposition from the Ministry. Rigour e. nough for verball commination, and (in respect of fome degrees of offence recorded in the Canon) fomewhat too much for reall execution; fothat there is no need of more fecurity by affent to the things required, then the subscription to the three Articles of the 36. Canon, and the severity of the 38. Canon

against such as revolt from that ratification.

e Can. 36.0 37.

f Can. 28. fee alfo Can. 8.

And, by that wee reade in the Archbishops late reply to A.C. it seemes confession and subscription are enough (for that we professe in point of faith) to give fatisfaction and affurance of our fincerity, even to a professed adversary; for, when A.C. had said, 8 that it g Archb. Land is not fufficient to beget a confidence, in this case to fay in his relation we believe the Scriptures, and the Creeds, he returneth rence with of his confehim this answer: Fisher, p.326.

But if wee fay it, you are bound in charity to believe us; for I know no other proofe of faith, but confession of it, and subscription to it: and for these particulars, wee have made the one, and done the other; foit is no bare (aying, but you have all the proofe that can bee had, or

that ever any Church required.

If his Lordship had remembred this, at the late Svnod, it may be he would not have thought it meet to require us to sweare beyond our confession and subscription formerly made; for why should hee, or the rest of the Synod, have lesse faith and charity to entertaine our testification, then we may justly expect from our Popish opposites, or require more of us then any Church ever did hitherto?

Long before these Canons were set forth, and h soone after the reformation of Religion by Queene h Queen Eli-Elisabeth, there were (we confess) certain protestations her reigne to be made, promised, and subscribed by them that were Novemb. 17. afterwards to be admitted to any office, roome, or cure in an 15 18 and any Church, or other place Ecclefiasticall.

But we conceive (besides other differences to bee 1561. touched under another title) that neither these protestations are equivalent to such a solemne oath, as now

published aven

is required of us, nor that there is fuch need of it now, as there was of them at that time.

Object. If it be faid, that in these times there is such division and distraction among us, that there is need to fasten us together by such a sacred bond as that of the Canon:

now. Wee thinke it reasonable to reply, That neither the want of such an oath was the cause of the distemper of the times, nor that the urging of it will be a convenient cure thereof; but rather the contrary: fince there is more agreement betwixt peace and love, which may best be preserved where offensive things are not urged; then betwixt love and compulsion, especially, if (as of this oath it is conceived) it increach upon the conscience, without any great need (as from them that require it) or without sufficient ground to satisfie such as should receive it.

And wee see by the operation of it already, daily producing more and more dislike of it, that it is not like to be a remedy against any malady already discovered; but rather a meanes to exasperate the disease (though this bee besides the intention of them that propound it) whereof there was the lesse need: because, as our learned and religious brethren the Divines of Aberdene have observed, i There bee other meanes more effectuall for holding out of Popery (and so of any other unlawfull Innovation) in which we ought to conside more then in all the vowes of promises of men: yea also, more then in all the united forces of all the subjects of this Land: to wit, diligent preaching and teaching of the word, frequent prayer to God, humbling of our selves

i Generall Demands of the Ministers and Professors of Aberdene, pag. 29. felves before him, and amendment of our tives and converfacious; and arming our felves against our adventaries, by diligent searching of the Scrippares, whereby we may increase in the knowledge of the truth, and in ability to desend it against the enemies of it.

These have been the chiefe meanes to advance both the Doctrine of truth, and the Discipline of manners; and they will be the best meanes to hold them up still: with them there will be no need, without them

none aide by oaths of this kind.

There be some that say, This Outh was framed for tryall how men stand affected to the present government, and whether they be inclined to such a change in the Ecclesiastical state for Doctrine or Discipline, as tendeth to disturbance of the civill government also, especially to derogation from his Majestics Authority: this is partly implyed in the Presace of the Oath, which beginneth thus; This present synod being desirous to declare their successful and constancy in the prosession of the Doctrine and Discipline stablished in the Church of England, and to secure all men against suspection of revolt to Popery, or any other superfiction, decrees, ero.——and in this respectitive conceive the Oath is of necessary use. To such as thus plead for it, we thinke it meet to make this answer,

t. Concerning the venerable Synod, that though fome persons in it; being suspected of unsound Doctrine, of a Popish straine, might doe very well to give all due and probable satisfaction, to acquit themselves of that imputation (wherein we are perswaded of some that they have been untruly traduced) yet wee conceive this Oath not so pertinent to that purpose, as is

alledged;

Joneso

on ( 9199q

faid be wa

to noits!

alledged; because the third Canon, which infisteth much in the discovery and pursuit of Papilts, and none else, importeth more opposition to Popery then this fixth Canon doth, which requireth the Oath; fince (as wee shall manifest in another place) it commeth with a deeper charge against those who are the greatest Adversaries of Popery, then against Papists themselves.

Secondly, for the other part of the Oath concerning Discipline, or the government by Archbishops.&c. we apprehend no need of an Oath, to declare the fincerity and constancy of the Synod in that respect; for who maketh doubt, but Archbishops. Bishops, Deanes, and Archdeacons (who carry most (way in all our Synods) are willing enough to maintaine their dignity and authority, and unwilling to subject either of them to Papall usurpations. He is a man of little faith who will not take their bare word for that, without an Oath, or will require fo much; for no reasonable man can thinke otherwise of them. though they fay nothing.

bishops owne fake, that he (fitting as President of the Synod) would ever fubmit to any usurpations of the See of Rome; fince hee knoweth, and would have k Pope Urbane others know from him (for hee hath published it in the second, acprint) that the Pope hath acknowledged & Anselme, worthy Predea worthy Predecessour of his in the See of Cant. for his Austine, as his owne compeere, the Apostle and Patriarch of the other

For we cannot imagine, if it were but for the Arch-

world. owne Compeere ; and

counted my

ceffour Saint

faid he was the Apostle and Patriarch of the other world. So Archbish. Laud in his relation of his conference with Fifter, p.171. And

And hee sheweth himselfe willing enough to take his Holinesse at his word, and to keep him out from all command over the Britaine Church, alledging I that it was never subject to the See of Rome, having a ! Ibid.ix Primate of its owne; and that Primate for the present Guid Pancirol. is himfelfe.

Thirdly, for others, this Oath can be no good Criterion, to try mens fincerity to either Doctrine or Discipline; for many things by divers may bee beleeved. liked, and preferred, before any other of that kind (fo that they would bee loth to change them) and yet may they be unwilling to take an Oath for constancy to them.

For inflance, wee beleeve kneeling at prayer to bee lawfull, laudable, and the best gesture wherein to present our devotions to God; yet wee would not be put to sweare never to consent to a constitution for standing at prayer, fince for it there is, first, m Scrip. m Mar. 11.25 ture; secondly, a Decree in the first "Nicen Councel; Luk. 18.11. thirdly, a continued practice of it in the Church for conveniens omany hundred of yeares together.

Fourthly, many of those who, in regard of the mani-enda conflitufold doubts involved in the Oath, are affraid to take it, tio eft, ut franhave given better evidence of their fincerity concer- tes ad oratio-nem vota Doning the state, both ecclesiasticall & civill, then can be mino reddaexpected of most that take the oath (without any doubt mus. concil. or examination at all)& if yet there be doubt of them, 20 they may be put to the tryall of the first Canon con- o From before cerning the Kings Authority, and the eight made for Tertullians preaching for conformity, both decreed by this last Anselme Arch-Synod, which may bee sufficient for full satisfaction bith. of Cant. without an Oath; and yet they that dare not bee per-

per omnes Ecclesias custodiemptory in every particular (in those Canons determined) may for all that bee as farre from all disposition to trouble either Church or State with any Innovation, as they who would set the seale of an Oath to

every line of them.

Fifthly, though we doubt not, but divers who have taken the Oath bee very learned, grave, and godly persons, and have done it (as they conceive) with due consideration, and good conscience; yet it cannot bee denied, but many may be too forward to sweare, without any care or use of either: either out of hope to bee held very heartily affected to those who have meanes to promote them (the hope whereof, as \*\*Enew Silving observed, made more to adhere to the Pope, who gave preferments, then to the Councell, who had none to give) or out of feare of their dis favour, who have power to lay heavie pressures upon them.

And in respect of such the Oath is of no use or force, since the same affections (not regulated by Reason and Religion) will incline (with the alteration of

times) to quite contrary effects.

Laftly, so many of all sorts, both of the Clergie and Laitie; yea, many of those who hold Episcopall imparity the best government of the Church, and are no way addicted to the Presbyterian Discipline, dislike this Oath so much, that howsoever this Canon may discover some difference betwixt those, whose lot the wise man sheweth may be alike (viz. betwixt him that swearth, and him that searth an oath, Eccles. 9.2.) yet not that difference which may bee cause either of assurance of them that take it, to the doctrine or government of the Church,

or of just jealousie or suspicion of them that re-

2. Generall Doubt.

Whether this Oath may be taken in faith, without which 2. Generall the taking is sinne. Rom. 14.23.

### THE REASON.

Because it comprehendeth so many things of severall kinds (and divers of them unknowne unto most of us) that though wee be ready to believe, and receive some of them single, our faith cannot fadome them altogether; and so wee cannot sweare without doubting: and if doubtfull swearing bee as dangerous as doubtfull eating (as wee conceive it is, and so may seare wee may be damned for it by the doome of the Apostle in the fore-cited place) wee can neither with considere nor safety take this Oath, until we be better resolved of the true meaning thereof; and so (as it seemeth to us) a superstuous Oath, it may prove to the takers a perillous Oath.

# 3. Generall Doubt.

Whether the fixth Canon, as it is charged with this Oath 3. Generall and penalty, bee not like to croffe the chiefe end whereat his Majesty aimed in granting his Commiffion for a Convocation or Synod.

### THE REASON.

BEcause in his Royall Declaration (which hee was pleased to prefixe before the late Canons) hee

faith hee giveth leave for Ecclefiasticks to assemble, p The Kings and being affembled, P to conferre, treat, debate, conprefixed before fider, confult, and agree of, and upon Canons, Orders, Ordinances, and Constitutions, as they should the Canons. thinke necessary and convenient to this end, viz. for the pag.8. honour and service of Almighty God, and for the good q Ibid pag 10. and quiet of the Church, the q end and purpose by his

Majesty limited and prescribed to the Convocation or Synod; and how this Oath forteth to this end, we appeale to the publick report of most Counties in the Kingdome, wherein (befides the Ministery) the people neither of the worst fort for condition, nor for conversation; nor the fewest for number, expresse much discontent and trouble of mind at the proposall of it.

Hereby wee impute no disobedience to the reverend Prelates and Clergie affembled; for wee conceive they had no thought of opposition therein to the pious purpose of his facred Majestie; nor any imprudence, fince, as they beleeved themselves of the Oath, they might probably hope of acceptance with others, and (if generally accepted) that such effects might follow as they projected.

But if they doe not, their discretion will direct them to vary their course as times and occasions require. For, if the Church in this world bee like unto a ship r Arcam fuiffe upon the fea (in which respect it is likened to r Woahs Arke) their Acts, and aimes of Government of it, may est, teste Petro. be sometimes disappointed by the indisposition of the people to comply with them; as the purpole of the Pilot by the distemper of the weather, who, when he reasonably thinkes it meet to hoise up faile, may bee foon

Ecclesiæ imaginem certum I Pet. z. Calv. in Genes.6.

foon after induced to strike saile, for the avoidance of inconvenience or danger: of this prudent accommodation of the Acts of Authority to the times, and their uncertaine inclinations and events, we find manifold examples, both in the Civill and Ecclesiasticall estate. Thus much of the Doubts in general.

Our Doubts in particular.

The Doubts hitherto proposed touch not upon Doubts in any branch of the Oath in severall, or of the appurational tenances to it, but reach to the whole, as taken together; those that follow arise out of particular consideration, first, of the parts of the Oath; secondly, of the explication of it; thirdly, of the persons that are to take it; fourthly, of the penalty attending upon it.

1. Of the first part of the Oath.

I A.B. doe sweare, that I doe approve of the of the Oath.

Doctrine, Discipline, or Government of the Church of England, as containing all things necessary to salvation.

Text of the Oath; and therefore wee take it for the present, that by Doctrine is meant that to which the Clergy are required to subscribe by the 36. Canon, especially the 39. Articles of Religion. But for Dificipline or Government, our Doubt may be

#### THE DOUBT.

What is meant by Discipline or Government, whether 1.Particular the same things, or no ; and, if the same, what they Doubt.

THE REASON.

D Ecause in divers Authours wee find them many times diftinguished; but there they feeme to bee the fame. The word f Policy is taken with them into fociety of the same fignification by his Majestie, in his late large Declaration.

And all three fignific (with reference to the

[ The points of Discipline, Government, and Policie of the Church, &c. The Kings large Declaration, p.330.

t Mr. Travers or udals Bc-

Church) Ecclefiafticall callings, ordinances, and the exercise and application of them, to such as are subject to them both: wherefore they that are best acquainted with them all, stile their bookes of them indifferently, of Ecclesiasticall Discipline, " Church Government, and \* Ecclefiasticall Policie : and in a large fense the terme Discipline containeth them y all. And foit is taken by z Archbishop Whitgift, where herethe government druceth all that concerneth Religion to Doctrine and of the Church. Discipline; and so it seemeth they doe who com-Eccles Policie. posed the Oath, as appeareth by their entrance in-

cleCDifcipline. u Dr. Bridges his defence of y The dispute to it.

against En-glish Popish And though sometimes that word be strictly taken for the centure of manners, or correction of offen-Ceremonies, ders (as in the Preface of the Communion Booke €.8. fett. 8.asit is cited in the usually read upon Ashwednesdaies) yet in a large Scottish Dusense (and that very familiar) it is put for the whole plies.p.93. 2 Archbishop

Whiteifts Reply to T.C. pag. 372. So also in the History of the Councell of Trent, 1.2.

9.135. And Bishop Hall of Episcopacy, part. 3-p.4.

policy

policy or government of the Church: whether Presbyteriall, as in Geneva; or Epifoopall, as with us; a principall part whereof is Hierarchicall Imparity: in that fense it was faid by Master b Moun. tague, That the Synod at Dort in some points condemneth the Discipline of the Church of England, meaning especially the Government by Bishops; and so also did the c confutation of him, hee repea . Sissione 145. April. 30. Aniemerid. ted the defence made by himselfe, for the Hierarchy of the English Church, in that Synod, noting in few words, concerning the Discipline, That the Church never had a parity of Ministers, no not in Christs time, wherein there were the twelve Apostles, superiours to the 72. Disciples, which he sheweth was not contradicted by that Synod. In the same fense it is used by dothers, who are not of the fame mind in the point of Episcopacie.

parity, in giving precedence to Superiours, is called Difciof the Milevitan Councell: the Can-13.

Ceremonies also in rule and practice, are reduced to Discipline in the prefatory Declaration before the Communion Booke, under this title of Ceremonies,

of which Discipline the maine and principall parcs were those; a standing Ecclefiastical Court to be established, perpetuall Judges in that Court to be their Minifters : others of the people, twice fo many in number as they, annually chosen to be Judges with them in the fame Court. Mafter Hooker, Prafat. of Ecclef. Polit pag. 5. Mafter Cariwr. Archbish Whitgift. Rep. p. 2.

Mafter Mountag. Appello Cafarem, p. 108.

Dominus Episcopus Landavensis-de c Bishop of Landaffe take it, Disciplina paucis monet, nunquam in Ecclesia obtinuiste Ministrorum paritatem non when in answer to him , and tempore Christi ipsius, &c. fic Synod. Dord.

Patres non volentes, fed nescientes, non per Apostasiam aut contemptum, sed per infirmitatem & ignorantiam laplifynt, qui in Disciplina aberrarunt. Parker de Polit. Ecclef. lib.2. cap.8. where by Discipline must The observation of this im- be understood the Government by Bishops.

Scimus inviolate permanfiffe Ecclefiæ Disciplinam, ut nullus fratrum prioribus suis pline in the chirteenth Canon fe auderer anteponere. Concil. Milevitan.

why some abolished, some retained where it is said, that fome of them doe ferve to decent order, and godly discipline : and againe, without some ceremonies it is not possible to keep any order, or quiet discipline in the Church; which implyeth both the constitution and obfervation of them: and to this acception of the words Discipline and Government in this Oath we rather incline; but cannot of our felves fo certainly refolve it, as that we dare fweare it.

2. Particular Doubt.

# DOUBT 2. What is meant by the Church of England?

THE REASON.

f See Doctor Downham in the defence of his Sermon. lib. 2.C. I.P.4. Master Facob in his book of Reform, the Minist, and Cerem. Affert. I .pag. 6. with others.

DEcause of the ambiguity of the terme Church. which is variously f distinguished; but especially, because the new Canons bring in a new acception of that word (new in respect of the language of Protestant Divines) for in the fourteenth Canon, where caution is given concerning commutation of penance. the necessity of by the Bishop, or his Chancellour, there is this proviso, That if the crime be publickly complained of, and doe appeare notorious, that then the office shall signific to the place from whence the complaint came, that the Delinquent hath (atisfied the Church for his offence.

The satisfaction is by the payment of a pecuniary mulct, that is made to the Bishop, or his Chancellour. either of them then, or both together seemeth to bee called the Church in that Canon: and that contraction on of a word of fuch a large comprehension (as the right acception of it requireth) might breed some su**fpicion** 

spicion of symbolizing with the Popish Dialect (though the fense bee not Popish) wherein by an intensive Synecdoche, that which is most extensive, and diffused all over the world, is shrunke up into the perfon of one man, the Pope. But because the matter of commutation in that Canon, is of a narrower compasse then either Doctrine or Discipline in this, wee may take the word Church in a larger acception; and that may be either for the Clergy in generall, when it is used by way of distinction from the Laity, or as the 139. Canon decreeth it [The Church representative in a Synod which & Papists restraine to Episcopall & Episcopi Prelates Or as the 19. Article taketh it, A vifible funt Ecclefia congregation of faithfull men, in which the pure word ut nostri loof God is preached, and the Sacraments duly admini- quuntur. Belfred : Or as in the 35. Article, it may stand for the clesco. 14. place where the people are affembled, and holy offi. Archbishop ces performed; but which of these, or whether any take the word other sense of the word [church] bee meant in this church for the place, we leave it to those, who have authority to in- Bishops, in the terpret the Oath, to refolve.

Epistle Dedicatory before his Starre-

chamber Speech, where he makes request in the Churches name, that it may bee resolved by the reverend Judges, that keeping of Courts, and iffuing of processe in the Bishops names - are not against the lawes of the Realme. fol. penult.p. I.

# Doubr 3.

Why the Discipline is linked with the Doctrine of the 2. Particular Doubt. Church of England for nece Sity of Calvation.

# THE REASON.

Because it seemeth to us, to coast somewhat to-wards the conceipt of Franciscus à Sancta Clara,

quam miserenda,quæso, & horrenda sunt quæ neceffariò subsequentur : nam ubi nulli funt Presbyteri, nulla erunt & Sacramenta, nisi forte Matrimonium, & Baptismus. Franca Sancta Clara Apolog. Epifcop.pag. 15 L.

h Ubi nulli preeffe folent Episcopi, de- Provinciall of the minorite Friars. effe debent Presbyten; hos fi demas who holdeth, h That where Bi-Skops doe not rule, there are no Prefbyters: where no Presbyters, no Sacraments. Hee excepteth (according to the tenet of his Church)

Matrimony and Baptifme; the former as a Sacrament. the later as a Sacrament, and (more then that in the Popish opinion) as necessary to salvation; and hee so

irritationem subintroducere, nostrumque Pag. 152.

i Episcoporum neceffitatem inficiari ni- farre enforceth this neceffity as to hil aliud eft quam Dominica passionis fay, i That to deny the necessity of redemptionis piaculum evacuare. Ibid. Episcopacy, is nothing else, but to bring in the irritation of the pasi-

on of our Lord, and to evacuate the vertue of his redemption; which is in effect (as Doctor du Moulin

ro. Pet.du Moulin.cpift. 2. Epifc. Wintonien. pag-173.opufc.

\$ 10 m

k Hoc afferere nihil aliud effet, quam wrote to Bishop Andrewes) k to omnes nostras Ecclesias addicere Tarta- damne the Reformed Churches of France and other Countries, to the

pit of Hell: which being brought in (as a consequence of the Bishops Tenet of the Authority of Bishops) that reverend Prelate very wifely and religiously

1 Cæcus fit, qui non videat shunneth, saying, Hee wants his sight, that seeth not ftantes fine ea

Ecclesias; ferreus sit, qui falutem iis neget. Epifc. Winton. Refp. ad epift. 2. Pet. du Moulin. pag. 176. opufc.

Churches

Churches standing without that Discipline : and hath an iron heart, that consenteth not that they may bee faved: and therefore our late learned Soveraign King James. (left he should be mistaken in some of his speeches, of fome of those, who had no good conceipt of the Discipline of the English Church) when his monitory Preface (wherein hee toucheth most upon fuch matters) was published in Latine, that hee might not bee thought to condemne the Churches, whose Discipline

is different from ours: he expresty professed, m That by that hee had pud exteros reformatas, earumveregimen (aid, therein hee intended neither non defignati-mihi eft decretiffimum reproach non repraofe to the Refor- reformana Rollgionis libertati permitmed Churches, on to their forme of tere, fic ad fin. Prefat, monitor. in 80. Government—but left them free printed Lond. 1609.

to their Christian liberty: And when the Bishop of Landaffe afferted the Ecclefiasticall Imparity of the Church of England (at the Synod of Dort) hee did not feeke to obtrude it as necessary to salvation but

used this caution in the conclusion n Hac non ad harum Ecclesiarum ofof his speech, "This I fay ( said fentionem, sed ad nostra Anglicana dehee) not to give offence to these fentionem. The joynt attestation, that the Discipline of the Church of England Churches (scil. those whose Clergy was not impeached at the Synod at Dore assembled at that Synod) but for the Pag. 17.

defence of our Church, the Church of England. And the Church of England furely at that time was farre from the conceipt of the Franciscan Friar fore-mentioned, when hee and other learned Divines were fent to that Synod (the most general! Synod of the Reformed fide, that hath been held fince the reformation of Religion) to affift with their confultations, and to confirme with their fuffrages and fubscriptions, the

Puritanorum nomine-Ecclesias arebus alienis me non immiscere, sed illas

Decrees of that Synod, wherein (among many Pref. byters) there was but one Bishop, and hee not Presi-

dent of that Affembly. And when hee who hath pleaded for Episcopacy, not onely as a pinnacle of honour, but as a pillar of support to the Church. wrote thus against the Brownists, Ioreverence from my foule (fo doth our Church, their deare Sifter) those worthy forraine Churches, which have chosen and followed those formes of outward government,

pology against the Brownists. fett.19 p.588. that are every way fittest for their owne condition. It

· So Bishop Hall in his A-

is enough for you to censure them, I touch nothing common to them with you; which wee alledge not p Bishop Hall his prop of Church government, added to his Irrefrag.prop.

pag.6.

against the government of Bishops, In a meet and moderate imparity (as the same P Authour stateth their preheminence) but onely against the necessity of their fuperiority to falvation, which is the point wee have now in hand.

Whereto agreeth that of Epiphanius, who conceived more necessity of a Deacon to a Bishop, then q Ubi non est inventus quis dignus E- of a Bishop to a Church, saying,

piscopatu, permansit locus fine Episcopo, 9 That where there was not a man Episcopum. Epiphan. heref. 75. 1.3. 10m. 1. of Sufficient worth to bee a Bishop, verum fine Diacono impossibile est esse pag. 215.

the place might be without one; but it is impossible (faid hee) that a Bishop should bee without a Deacon. And the fifth Canon of the second

piscopos acceperunt, non habeant; & que Carth. 2. Can. 5.

Councell of Carthage decreeth, r Placuit ut Dioceses que nunquam E- r That those places which never had aliquando habuerunt, habeant. Concil. Bishops, shall have none at all; and those that had them, should have

them still: which they would not have done, if they had conceived Episcopacy to be of necessity to salvation, or of nece Sity to the being of a Church.

Queft.

Quest. But is there any cause to conceive, that any of the late Synod imagined a necessity of Bishops. either to fave a Christian, or to constitute a Church?

Answ. Wee take not upon us, confidently to impute that opinion to any, nor can wee acquit the chiefest of them from such a conceipt: for the Archbish, Archb. Land in his reply to A.C. having brought in a fentence out in his relat.of of Saint Hierome, which is this where there is no with Fifter, Priest there is no Church he taketh the word Sacerdes, pag-176. marg. for one who hath the power of ordaining: which in facerdos, non Hieromes Owne judgement, is no meere Priest, but a Bi- eft Ecclesia. Thep only ; and thence concludeth fo even with him [no Hieron.adverf. Bishop, no Church which he so approveth, as if some. who professe more good will to Bishops, then Hierome u elsewhere doth, should say somewhat more, or u See Doubt 16 the same that he did with more confidence; which to the conference us seemeth little lesse, and not much better, then that at Hampton we have noted of the Minorite Friar. For the faving of Court, pag-34. Hierome, That it is not a Church that hath not Sacerdo- words, Hierome tem, we that are Presbyters may as well conceive that no friend to he meaneth a Presbyter, as he (a Bishop) that he mean-reason of a eth a Bishop; and Hierome a Presbyter, as wee are, if quarrell behe were alive, would (as wee verily beleeve) give fen- imixt the Bitence on our fide: For,

First, it cannot bee denied, that though there bee more dignity in a Bishop, there is more necessity of a Presbyter; that is, of one to officiate in preaching the Word, and administration of the Sacraments (whereof there is continuall use) then of a Bishop to ordaine (if none could doe it but a Bishop) which is required but sometimes: and though a Bishop performe the

Bishops, by (hop of Hieru-Calem and him.

\* Hilarius

fame acts, yet hee doth them not as a Bishop, but as a

Presbyter.

Secondly, if Hierome meant that there is no Church without an ordaining Bishop, and that is his opinion (as his Lordship expounds him) it is his errour, an uncharitable errour; which casteth not particular Christians onely, but many Orthodox Churches out of the communion of Saints, and confequently out of the state of salvation; whereas, if some Bishops had been as remote non-residents from their Bishoprickes, as the Pope from Rome, when he resided at Avinion in France: or had medled no more with the Churches under their charges, then the Italian Priests did when they had Benefices in England, and knew onely the names of them, and received tythes from them; but did nothing for them, or in them : yet there might for all that bee true Churches. and falvation in them well enough. For, of what ule is fuch a Bishop, or such a Priest either to the being of a Church, or the well being or falvation of a Chriflian ?

Thirdly if his words were true in that fenfo (wherein his Lordship taketh them) it would be necessary there should be as many Bishops as Churches; and so, that Bishops should be rather Parochiall, then Diocesan.

Fourthly if the place in Hierome be unpartially perufed, it will not make much for the necessity of Bishops: cum Diaconus for Hierome (in his Dialogue against the Luciferians de Ecclesia re- (whence the quotation is taken) speaketh of one Hi.

cum homo mortuus fit, cum homine pariter interiit & fecta : quia post se nullum clericum potuit ordinare. Hieron.adverf. Luciferian. Dialog.tom. 2. fol. 49. col. 2.

larius

larise (a schismatical Deacon) who dyed in the fobifm. and his feet with him : because being but a Deacan, bee could not ordaine a Clerke to succeed him; upon this, faith Hierome, y It is not a Church, which bath not a

Prieft.

The word is [Sacerdotem] which feemeth to bee of Sacerdotem. the same sense with the word Clerioum (a little before) and that is there meant of him who is next above a Deacon; and he is a Presbyter (not a Bishop) whole office in administration of the Sacraments is there particularly noted, which belongeth to a Prefbyter, ut fic, as he is a Presbyter, notto a Bishop as he is a Bishop.

Object. But hee speaketh of ordaining, and that in

Hieromes judgement was proper to a Bishop.

Anfiv. I. Hierome knew well enough, that of old (though it were otherwise in his time) Bishops alone did not ordaine Church Ministers, but the Presbytery with them , I Timoth.4.14. if not without them; for many hold that at that time there were no Prelaticall Bishops above their brethren; & even to this day there is a shadow of that sociable power (in ordination of Ministers of the Church of England) retained in practice, by the imposition of the hands of Presbyters in sua provinwith the Bishop; and required by constitution in the cia posse com-35. Canon of the yeare 1603. And fome learned mittere fim-Papilts are of opinion (though it come too neere the quod conferat truth, to be common among them) that Bilhops may facramentum delegate their power, both of a Confirmation and of nis. Martin. \* ordination, to Presbyters or Priefts.

tem non eft. quæ non habet

Episcopum plici facerdoti, Confirmatio-Ledesma prima 4ti.qu. 1 3.4. 11.

Episcopos posse delegare potestatem sacerdoti ordinandi sacerdotes, aque ac Papam. Novarienfitratt. 1 partiz. 13 april Fran. a Santta Clara Apolog. Epifcop.pag. 249. Secondly,

Secondly, though (where there were Bishops anciently and usually) ordination was not conferred without them; yet where there were none, without them it might be lawfully and effectually done (as we shall note in another place) and therefore no fuch necessity either of them, or of ordination by them, as is pretended. And though the over-high exaltation of Prelates hath depressed Presbyters so farre below the right and power of their order, that it is made (in some mens conceipts) a strange thing, and a kind of prefumption in any case to take upon them the ordination of Ministers; yet Hierome surely was not of their mind, when hee gave them the honour (which some Episcopall parasites appropriate to Bishops) to bee accounted the fuccessours of the holy Apostles; as he doth in the first of all his Epistles, which is written to Heliodorus.

Thirdly, from *Hieromes* words in this place wee may rather collect, that a Presbyter as well as a Bishop may ordaine, fince hee denieth that faculty but to a Deacon; then that by the word *Priest*, a Bishop must bee meant, and ordination peculiarly derived from him.

Fourthly, howfoever where hee faith, that it is not a Church that hath not a Priest, hee is in reason to be understood not of one that hath power to make a Priest, but of a Priest already made: for, such a one a particular Church cannot want; but of a Bishop unto it there is no such need.

Fifthly, if Hierome in this place, being zealous against schisme, spoke somewhat too freely in favour of Bishops, which yet is doubtfull (though more probable bable that he spoke on the Presbyters side, then of the Bishops.) It is certaine, that in other places (which wee shall observe afterward) hee expresses himselfe farre from such sondnesses of affection to Bishops, as his Lordship deduceth out of his words. So much for the Testimonies of Hierome, wherein wee crave his Lordships patience and pardon for our boldnesse, since his explication and application thereof for the necessity of Bishops, to the being of a Church; and so (by consequence) to salvation, hath pur a necessitie upon us, seriously to examine what hee said and meant.

Object. There be some who, to affert a necessity of Discipline, say, that Discipline comprehendeth a preaching Ministry, and that's necessary to salvation.

ing and Doctrine, then betwixt Preaching and Discipline, which is exercised more in matter of conver- Quid profation and practice, then of preaching and therefore a preaching Ministry is comprehended rather under conversatione, the head of Doctrine, then of Discipline.

Secondly, the necessity of Discipline here meant, is nist additioning not in respect of a preaching Ministry, but of a ruling tas in intention. Episcopacy; as is evident by that we now observed of all pastores in the Speech of the now Archbishop of Canterbury, Synodo conthe most authenticke Interpreter, because the most gregatis. Inter Architechtonicals (if not the onely) composer of the call 1730. late Canons.

But for the Adjuncts and Appendences to the calling of Bishops, which Bishop Hall calleth b not necess b Distinguish between the substance of their callings, and the not necessary appendences. Bishop Hall in the Corol-

lary of his feven Irrefragable propof.pag.7.

fary.

c Avric.34. d In the Preface of the Communion book concerning Ceremwhy fome me retained, and some abolifhed, printed 1625.

e Artir.21.

fary, for many particular Canons and Ceremonies, in constitution or practice (which yet come under the name of Discipline or Government, as hath been shewed) they stand at a farre greater distance from necessity to falvation: and therefore they are denied by the Doctrine and Liturgie of our Church, to be necessary to bee in all places, and at all times, one and utterly alike: and if Generall Councells shall decree things to be necessary to falvation, which cannot be so declared by Scriptures, the e fame Doctrine teacheth, that they are not to bee received : for that would conclude damnation on fuch Churches as are without them.

There be degrees of necessity we grant, as where it is faid in the Catechisme of the Communion booke, That there bee two Sacraments as generally necessary to [alvation; Baptisme, and the Lords Supper onely two] Sacraments in a proper fense, though in a large acception there may bee (as the Papilts fay) five more; as

one faith, f feventeen; as another, g twenty feven [ge-

f Dr. Merer his explanat.of the Catechism. nerally necessary that is, necessary for the state of the 9.181.7.494. g Dr. Reynold in his conferwith Hart.pag. 523.

Church in generall; without which it cannot be a true faving Church; not necessary for every member of it in particular, or necessary for particular persons, if God give convenient opportunity for them: not that God cannot, or will not fave without them, where his ordinance is by himselfe denied, not by men despised or flighted. Thus we avoid the hacception against our

h In Catechismo duo

Sacramenta necessaria ad salutem. Quid, suntne alia Sacramenta, quæ non sunt generalia, & omnibus communia, ut Ordinatio; alia generalia, sed non necessaria, ut Confirmatio ? Didoclan. Altare Damascen.p. 3 57. The other five (though commonly called Sacraments) are not to be accounted Sacraments of the Golpel, being fuch as have growne partly of the corrupt imitation of the Apolt. Confer. at Hampt. Court. p. 3 1.

Catechisme.

Catechisme, made by some mistaken (though wellminded) brethren; and fo also shunne the Popish neceffity of that Sacrament which is injurious to the falvation of little children, departing this life before they have received the Sacrament of Baptisme. But thus wee cannot falve the necessity of Discipline: for, though Discipline bee necessary for the Church, yet the particular Discipline of our Church is not necessarie to falvation; fo that they cannot be true Churches. and falvation in them, which have i Adverfarii nullam per Presbyteros orit not. i For where there is a defett dinationem effe validam, nisi in casu neof Bishops, or Bishops make a defe- ceffitatis : i quando desunt omnino Ection from the faith, there an ordi- piscopi, aut deficiunt à fide. 1bid.p. 120. nation by Presbyters is confessed to bee valid, by those who are no friends unto the Presbytery: an Ordination not onely of Presbyters, but of Bilhops, ask Doctor k Dr. Field of Field hath determined : For (faith he) in cases of neces the Church. fity, as in revolt from the faith, or where Bishops will not pag 704. ordaine, but such as consent to their Heresies; when there is no hope of better, Presbyters may choose out one among themselves to be shiefe, and so adde others to their numbers, by the imposition of his and their hands; which giveth us occasion rather to admire, then to beleeve, That Priests made at Rome or Rhemes, revolting from 1 Sacerdotibus their Popish Religion, should bee admitted to Benefices in Pontificiis orour Church, without a new ordination: and that they who dinatis regnate were made Ministers in Transmarine Churches, should mx, sive Rhenot be admitted, unlesse first they were by Bisbops ordai- mis, non opus ned Deacons and Presbyters, as 1 Didoclavius reporteth dinatione; fed Mariani Sacerdotes retenti in hunc ulque diem, Terellus, Tytherus, &c. Contra, qui erant legitimi in Ecclesiis Transmarinis Ministri, non sunt capaces Beneficiorum, donec priùs creati fucrint Diaconi Presbyteri, per Pralatos, ut oftendit exemplis Whitingami, Traverfigec. Didoclau-Altare Damafeen.pag. 220.

out

out of Johnson the Brownist. And some of us remember, that fome of those who preferred Episcopacy above the Presbytery, as gold above filver, did yet al-

m Ordinatio Ministrorum in Ecclesiis lickly held in Doctor Hollands time, who in the Act, July 9.an. 1608. concluded, Quòd Episcopatus non est ordo distindivino.

falvation.

low it to be maintained in the Di-Reformatis est legitima. This was pub- vinity Schoole, m That the ordina. tion of Ministers in Outlandish Churches is lawfull, though without Aus à Presbyteratu, coque superior jure Bishops. There was then no necesfity, that they should be ordained. againe, to make them capable of Benefices (unleffe

some positive Constitution of our Church required it) much leffe was it necessary to falvation, either their owne or others, that Bishops should conferre any new orders upon them. Doctor "Willet mentioneth 5. Gen. controv. another necessity of the distinction of Bishops and Priests, and so of Episcopall Government, or Eccle-3.queft.p.274. fiasticall Discipline; and that is for the avoiding of Schismes: for else (as o Hierome faith ) there would bee as many Schismes as Priests; but this necessity will not serve to make the Crosser-staffe of Discipline equall to the Marble Pillar of Doctrine, for support of

o Tot effent Schismata, quot Sacerdotes. Hieron. advers. Luciferian.

n Doct Willet

Synop. Papism.

append.ad

There is yet another necessity conceived of a contrary Discipline : P For (in Scotland) a parity of Mini-The Kings large Declarat. sters is preferred (as Gods ordinance) to Episcopacy, an pag. 351. humane consuetude : and this ordinance of Discipline (without Bishops) was conceived by the Divines of

9 Geneva as everlastingly required by the Law of the q Mr. Hookers prefat.pag.4. Lord of lords, against whose Statutes there is no ex-

r M'. carrwrig, ception to be taken : and of this wee may suppose Mafee Archbish. fter Cartwright spoke, when hee faid, The things that Whitgif. Reply, be and his party stood for were such as that if every haire pag. 44.

of their head were a life, they should lay all downe for the defence thereof: And there are some so rigid to such Churches as want it, as to hold, they want a prin-

cipall meanes of their Salvation.

In opposition to these, it may bee the meaning of the Oath is, That as for Doctrine, so for Discipline, our Church wanteth nothing that is needfull to salvation; but because by such an expression) the Composers of the Canon may seeme to assume that necessity of Episcopall preheminence (such as it is in England and Ireland) which they condemne in the Discipline of Geneva, and other Reformed Churches, we feare a snare in these words of the Oath.

object. But did not an Apostolicall Councell decree forbearance of things of different natures; as of meat offered to Idols, of things strangled, of blond, and of fornication, calling the abstinence from them all necessary things? Act. 15.28,29 yet was there more necessity of forbearance of the last, then of all the rest for, to abstaine from them, was not necessary, but in respect of the state of that time, that the Gentiles and the Jewes might live more peaceably toges ther, with lesse occasion of quarrell but to forbeare fornication, was and will be alwaies necessary to salvation.

Answ. All this is true, yet many waies different from our case: For,

First, we are bound to embrace the Decrees of an Apostolicall Councell, without all doubt or suspicion of errour; but wee are not so to entertaine any Constitutions of men, since their time, whether single, or E 2 affembled

affembled in Synods Diocesan, Provinciall, Nationall, or Occumenicall; fince, as our Church refolveth in the 21. Article, they may erre, and have erred in things pertaining to God: which the Apostles never did, nor could doe in any thing they taught, or de-

creed, to be received by the Church.

Secondly, the Apostles leave the word [necessary] at large, to bee distributed by distinction and due application (according to the different nature of the things contained in their Apostolicall Decree.) Of which, though they fay they are necessary, yet do they not fay, they are necessary to salvation, as this Oath hath it, both concerning Doctrine and Discipline.

Thirdly, the Apostles by their Decree required no Oath of fuch as were subject unto them, as the fixth

Canon doth.

Fourthly, they laid no new burthen on the consciences of Christians, but rather tooke off a great part of the old, Ver. 28. but this Oath is a new burthen. and (if it should be urged) the heaviest (in respect of imposition and penalty to some) that ever was laid on the English Church, fince it left off to bee Romish: which the Impofers (though prudent) might the leffe apprehend, and take to heart, then their inferiours (in place and policy) because it was not like to bee their owne case, to be troubled at the taking, or to bee cenfured, even to undoing, for the refufall of the Oath; fince they liked it so well themselves, as to propound it to others.

If to mollifie the rigour of this combination of Doctrine and Discipline for necessity to falvation, there

there bee found out other distinctions, then such as have been touched, either concerning Discipline, or falvificall necessity, they may haply serve to salve an objection in Scholasticall dispute, rather then to satisfie the conscience against all doubt, so as is necessary to the due and fafe taking of an Oath; though Discipline in particular (as hath been shewed) be not necesfary to falvation : and if it be not, it feemeth to be fet in the Oath as an Affociat with the Doctrine (as to that effect) like Bibulus with Cafar in the Confulship, \* Non Bibulo when \* Bibulus, as a fingle Cypher (standing for no- quidquam nuthing) did nothing as a Conful, but Cafar did all; so per, sed Cafar thing) that the faying was, Julius and Cafar were Confuls, Nam Bibilo not Cafar and Bibulus. And if fo, it is too neere a non-fieri Confule ens, and so a kind of trifling, unmeet (as we thinke) for Sueton in Jul. fo ferious and facred a matter as an Oath. · Caf.nu. 20.p.16

THE



### THE OATH.

And that I will not endeavour by my selfe, or any other, directly, or indirectly, to bring in any Popish Doctrine, contrary to that which is so established.

.Particular Doubt.

# DOUBT 4. What is meant by Popish Dectrine?

THE REASON.

D Ecause it is not yet determined in any satisfactory way (at least not so determined, that wee may fweare to it) what opinions are to bee effeemed Popish, either for Doctrine or Discipline. Luther (as fome report of him) was wont to fay, That himselfe, and almost every man else, had a Pope in his belly. vet few have it in their heads, to tell what Poperie is.

f Mafter Chil. his answer to Charity maintained, c.2. pag.82.

u Peltius in

Many hold that divers of the Arminians Tenets are nothing elfe but Popery, blanched over with a specious fubtlety; and for holding them, have some been t The Kings publickly censured as Popish: & "there are that make large Declaration.pag. 319. the Arminians brothers to the Socinians, in divers dangerous and damnable positions. On the contrary, Harmon.Remonstrantium

& Socinianorum, Excuf. Lugd. Bat. 1633. Archb. Land calleth the Socinian Herefie an horrit and mighty monster of Herelies, in his relat. of his confer, with Fisher. p. 210.

it is affirmed by farre higher Authority , That their x The Kings Tenets could not be accounted Popish, concerning which large Declara-(or the chiefe of which) as learned Papifts as any in the world (viz. The Dominicans and Jesuites) did as much differ, as the Protestants did; and that these, who adhere to the Augustan confession, did hold that side of these Tenets, which the Arminians doe hold : and therefore farre from being Papifts, being the first Protestants: and therefore it was against all sense, to condemne that for Popery, which was held by many Protestant Churches. and rejected by many learned Papists. And whereas the Socinians are severely, and that deservedly condemned by a particular y Canon, there is nothing at y canon 4. all decreed against the Arminians, either in that or any other Canon of that late Synod: whereof they that make conjecture of the causes, bring in such as these:

It may bee the Synod thought that it was a better way, for preservation of the Churches peace, to make no Decree concerning Arminian opinions; or that they were flandered, and made worse then they are. though the Socinian be not: or that enough was done against the Arminians at the Synod at Dort; and (if any thing at all) a great deale too little against the Socinians: or that it was not for the honour of a Synod of Bishops, &c. to come after a Synod of meere Prefbyters (one Bishop onely excepted) and by their own Canons, as it were, to subscribe to Presbyteriall determinations.

And this last Reason haply prevaileth most with fome Episcopall Divines, who (conceiving the sum-moning, presiding, and voting in Synods by Presbyters, to be a prefumptuous ulurpation of the peculiar priviledges)

priviledges) have in deep indignation and disdaine called that Synod not the Synod of Dort, but the Synod of Dirt, as some of us have heard: which in part may give answer to the doubt, how it cometh to passe that, King James having been so zealous in the procuring and promoting the happy proceeding of that Synod, for the suppression of Arminianisme, it hath for all that prevailed and advanced higher in England, then it did before that Synod had condemned it: which may be because dis-affection disposeth

Non nego me hujus interpretationis auctorem neminem habere, sed hanc eò magis probo, quam illam alteram Augufini cæterarum alioqui probabilissimam, quòd hæc cum Calvinistarum sensu matom. 2. col. 65 2, 65 3.

many to contradictory Tenets, as we fee in z Maldonate the Jesuite, who taketh up a new Interpretation of his owne (against another, gis pugnet. Maldonat.in Jo.cap.6.ver.62. which hee confesseth to consist with most probability, and Saint

Augustines Authority) because it is more contrary to the sense of the Calvinists. But this by the way.

ly of the perill of Idolatry.

Proceeding directly forwards, we may further ob-The Homi-ferve, that the Compilers of the pious \* Homilies (prescribed to bee read to the people in the roome of Sermons, when they are wanting) condemne pictures in Churches, as Popish and perillous: And in our daies there bee many of another mind, who professe the contrary, and would take it very ill to be taken for Papilts. Our chiefe Protestants (with our English solomon, King James) have held the Pope to bee Antichrist; and the principall Papists endeavour to defend the contrary; and their Apology is esteemed, by most, amaine point of Popery.

But of late there have been some, who make themfelves the Popes Compurgators against that criminall

charge,

charge, and yet with many goe for very good Protestants; and in divers other particulars (reputed Popish) Papists glory in our approaches towards them, and flicke not to fay of our Church, a So in the Book called, Mercy & Truth, a That Protestantism waxeth weary of it felfe, b and that Calvinifme is b Ibid. accounted Heresie, and little lesse c (and if we believe a late c Author of some note, nothing lesse) then treason. In summe, these points of d Images, and Antichrift, with others, as the lawfulne fe of some kind of prayers for the dead, the estate of the Fathers Soule before But Bishop Andrewes faith hee was, Illu-Christs ascension, free-will, prede- ftris vir, & nunquam fine summi honoris fination, universall grace, the post- determinate usura.p. 15. fibility of keeping Gods Comman - d Mafter Chilloco cital.

or Charity maintained. See Mafter Chillin. Preface in answer to it. pag. 12.

DevSeghoyia, or the vocall Forrest. at the beginning whereof the Authour hath thefe Verles:

Sometimes the Father differs from the

As doth the Gospel from the Alcaron; Or Loyola from Calvin, which two

In strange combustions burle faire Europes lands.

ments, which (for the most part of them) have been held as a partition wall betwixt Protestants and Papifts, are taken by fome (who shew themselves in print as opposite to Popery) but for adiaphorall Problemes, disputed without breach of charity among Protestants themselves. And, as some of our learned e Di- e Dod. Morvines have shewed, that divers of our Protestant Do tholick ap-Etrines have been taught by some writers of the Romish peale. Church: So on the contrary, have some Papists en Bishop Hall his Treatise, caldeavoured to retaliate, and to quit the objection, by led, The peace bringing in a lift of Doctrines accounted Popish; yet of Rome. held by fuch as are reputed and acknowledged (by f Brerely his some Antipapists) for Protestants; and as of Doctrine, logy. fo concerning Discipline, there is doubt, what opi-

Archb. Whit-nion may bee reputed Popish: For Archbish. & Whit-T.c.p. 299.559 gift, Bishop h Hall, and Bishop i Downham conclude h Bishop Hall Popery upon the Presbytery; and the k Presbyterian of Episcopacy. Disciplinarians on the contrary condemne all Episcopart. 3. pag. 34. Bith Down- pall Jurisdiction as Papisticall.

hams Defence of his Serm. LI.6.8.D.1 29. tion.pag.351.

Here, though wee conceive, that that should bee held a Protestant or Popish Doctrine, which is carried In the Kings by the common confent of allowed Divines of each large Declara- Church, rather then the particular opinions of private men (which are to the other but as whisperings in the eare, to a loud cry in the aire) wee cannot refolve of our felves what they, who composed the Oath, did intend to discard as Papisticall Doctrine.

Object. But the abjuration of Popery in the Oath is generall, and so the fitter for such as are zealous Pro-

testants to take it.

Anfw. It is true, if zeale without knowledge were fufficient; but it is necessary, they should first know what Popery is, and what is not, before they renounce it by fwearing : and the forfwearing it, without knowing it, hath made some to abjure all Episcopacy, as taking (and therein miltaking)it, (as we conceive) to be no other then the Government of Popery.

object. But doe not the next words clearly discover what Doctrine is meant, viz. [contrary to that which

is fo established?

Anfin. We make no question but by these words; the mind of them who made the Canon, was to bring our Protestant Doctrine within the verge of the Sanctuary, and the circle of subscription; so that nothing should be received as Protestant, or not as ours; or not as necessary to salvation, which is not either expressly, or by consequence contained in that compasses. And also to leave a latitude sufficient for particular opinions, wherein men might use a freedome of judgement, without infringing of charity; and wee thinke it a meet meanes to preserve the Churches peace, that the Doctrines be not too many, which are to be received and believed of all: and that these Doctrines are established in the Church; but what Tenets are rejected as properly Popish, doth not as yet appear unto us, and withall, we doubt

# Doubr 5.

What establishment of Doctrine is here meant, and how 5. Particular farre it may be said to be established.

# THE REASON.

Because we conceive that the 35. Article, at least vertually, establisheth the Doctrine of the two Bookes of Homilies (the one set forth in King Edwards the sixth, the other in Queen Elizabeths raigne) as godly, wholsome, and necessary Doctrine; and as six to bee preached to the people in a Sermon, as read to them in an Homily.

But on the contrary, hee that hath taken upon him to analyze the Articles into severall propositions, and to confirme them by Scripture, and otherwise (and this by allowance of publick Authority, as the title page of his booke doth testific) setteth this Note upon that 1 Mast Rogers Article, Touching this Article, the greatest matter on the 35. Acceptable is not, Whether these Homises means and ticle of Relig.

3 mentioned Pag. 193.

Fa

mentioned, doe containe Doctrine, both godly, wholfome, and necessary : but whether Homilies, or any Apocrypha writings at all, may bee read in the open Church, and before the Congregation : Whereof (in reason) there needs no more refutation then the reading of the Article, and the severall Titles and Contents of the Homilies annexed to it.

m By the Archbishop of Cant. in his answer to A.C. P.47,48.

And though we like it well enough, that his Testimony is fometimes excepted against, as m proceeding from a private man; yet fince his gloffe upon that authenticke Text, hath commonly passed in the name, and without the note of diflike of Authority, it induceth us to doubt, what Doctrine in those Bookes may be faid to bee established in our Church; and wee are the more unfettled in our conceipt thereof, because wee fee the Homily of the perill of Idolatry fo little heeded, and so much liberty of late taken to controll it, with new Pictures in Churches, that if the Homily were read in some of them, it might be doubted (by fuch as confider no more then what is presented to their fenses) whether there were not one Religion for the eares, another for the eyes: or whether the Laymens bookes, or the Clergy mens were published with greater priviledge: which hath been an occasion of Papists bragging, "That our Churches begin to looke with a new face, and their walls to speak a new language; the face out-facing, and the language contradicting the fwer to it.p.12. Doctrine of the Homilies.

n Charity maintained, see Master Chil. Preface in an-

mish to si

we doe not meane hereby to charge the fe with I dolatry. who have made it their care, and have been at great coft. to adde the beauty of bonour in the walls and windowes of Gods house to the beauty of hotimesse in the Communion

of Saints (who refort unto it, and performe their folemn devotions in it) wer doubt not but they are too wife to worship the worke of the pensill, or any worke of mans hand : yet wee beseech their wisedome to consider , that the world groweth old, and with age (according to the Proverb) becomes childish; and children delight more to looke upon Babies, then on the letters of their bookes, or to learne their lessons : and so that which by them was meant but for adorning, the illiterate (with the mutilation of a letter) may turne to adoring; and what was intended but to be a memorandum of History, may be turned by some, and taken by others, as a memoriall of the mystery of Iniquity: whereby the subtle may draw the simple from spirituall piety, to sensuall superstition; which was the evill effect feared by those grave and godly Divines, who composed the Homily : and for which cause they so zealously contested against all Images in Chur-

ches: They had read, no doubt (with o Male vos parietum amor cepit, male due regard) the saying of St. o Hi. Ecclesiam Devin techis, zdiscissque veneramini—Anne ambiguum est in hit Antichristum esse sessionally set upon faire walls; you contra Auxent.pag. 216. 227.

doe ill to make your respect of the Church, by the outward splendour or statelinesse of structure: know you not that Antichrist will set his Throne in such as these? But this is his Quære, none of ours; we goe on.

We had thought, it had been the established Doctrine of the Church of England (in the Homily of the time and place of prayer) that it is a necessary and perpetuall duty (by the fourth Commandement) to celebrate one day in seven with religious observances; but wee find that Doctrine publickly gain-said by divers, and the Doctrine of the Popish Schoolemen as publickly main-

tained

tained against it, in divers Treatises in print. And for the Articles of Religion themselves (wherein chiefly wee conceive the Doctrine of our Church to be contained, and by Authority, both Civill and Ecclefiafficall, to be established) they are much impeached in the power and vigour of their stability, by leaving fuch liberty for the points of free-will, predestination. and poffibility of keeping Gods Commandements (as before hath been noted) which by the 10.15, and 17. Articles are refolved, against the opinions of the Papists: and much more are they wronged by him. who hath written a P Booke, and therein hath laboup Fran. & San. red with much subtlety and diligence, so to mince Clara his book them by manifold distinctions; and to wrench them from their proper, to a Popish construction; as if the Convocation that concluded them, had had no mind or meaning to contradict the Councell of Trent: and

that now our 39. Articles were patient, yea ambitious of some sanse, wherein they may seeme Catholick (i. in their (enfe. Popifb) as a late 9 Papift with great boafting hath

upbraided unto us: So in the book called Charity main-

See Mafter chilhis Preface in answer to the Author of Charity

called Deus,

tia, printed Lugdun. 1634.

natura & gra-

maintained. pag.12.

tained.

By expounding and applying of these Articles in a new way, hath Franc. à Sanct. Clara troden out a new tracke (though with many intricate turnings and windings) in which men of equivocall consciences may fend their faith to Rome, while their affections keep close to their Interests in England; and hath taught them to play fast and loose (as to their Orthodox and Protestant sense) so that as " Aristothe said to Alexander concerning his Phyficks, they were published, and not published; their words being read, and their meanings

r Plutarch in the life of Alesander .. I Io meanings not rought; the Articles might be said to be established, and not established: established as a sacred Text, but not established by meanes of an ambiguous Comment, turning the Interpretation (like a nose of waxe) as easily to the lest hand, as to the right: And how farre this cunning stratagem hath prevailed with some, we cannot tell; but, as in charity we hope well of those of whom weeknow no ill; so in godly discretion, wee dare not bee so consident in our good opinion, as to sweare what we but thinke, and wish to be true.

Bur, though we cannot make faith upon Oath, how farre our Doctrine is established, as in opposition to Popery, wee doe not deny but that our reverend Fathers and Brethren of the Synod might intend hereby, more firmly to establish that Doctrine, which is most repugnant to such opinions as they believed to bee properly Popish: and the rather, because wee have been credibly informed, that the Oath was first proposed, and so passed in the house of Convocation, as an abjuration of Popery onely.

But a second time tendred (as in a second edition) it was augmented, but (as we conceive) not amended, when the Discipline or Government was intwisted in

it, as it followeth in the next words.

# 

## THE OATH.

\_Nor will I ever give my confent to alter the Government of this Church, by Archibishops, Bishops, Deanes, Archdeacons, &c. as it stands now established, and as by right it ought to stand.

Herein are observable many particulars, and each of them questionable; which wee will propose in that order, which may best conduce to make our Doubts capable both of right understanding, and due satisfaction; it is this:

1. The degrees of Government,

SI. Expressed.

22. Concealed under the &c.

2. Their State, as it now stands.

3. Their right, as it ought to stand.

4. Their perpetuity not to be changed.

5. Our Constancy, that though they should be changed, we should not consent.

1. Of the degrees of Governours exprest, viz.

Archbishops, Bishops, Deanes, and Archdeacons.

## Doubt 6.

Whether the degrees here specified be propounded to bee 6. Particular allowed in the same, or in a different degree of assent Doubt. and approbation.

## THE REASON.

Because there is no distinction of them in the Oath, but in title and order; and yet there is so much difference betwixt them (in respect of approbation) that Deanes and Archdeacons (before now) were never by attestation, or subscription so farre ap. proved, as Archbishops (but especially as Bishops) have been: how then can wee be so certaine of them. as now to give them (as it were per faltum) the highest degree of ratification at once, viz. a folemne Oath, when hitherto wee were never required to give our hands, or passe our words on their behalfe; and if the affent be intended in a different degree, how can wee compose our consciences in one and the same act of fwearing, to approve further of the one, then of the other, the words of the Oath founding the same degree of affurance to them both? If then we take them together, wee cannot find how wee should avow their approbation, in one joynt and indiffinct affeveration; especially upon Oath. But for Deanes and Archdeacons we have particular Doubts.

# First, of Deanes

7. Particular Doubt.

# The Doubris, what Deanes are here meant.

## THE REASON.

a Azor. Inflit. tom. 2.1.3. c. 17. pag.360.

citur, qui de-

præest. Lindw. constit.lib.2.

c Aug.de mo-

Because in the whole Canon law there is no title of the degree of a Deane (saith a Azorius) and in divers Authours we find the name Deane of a different acception : for (befides the Military fense, wherein it b Decanus difignifieth a Captaine of ten men, as b Lindwood hath it: the Monasticall sense, as it is taken and explained cem militibus by Saint a Augustine for him, who hath the Government of ten Monks: the Academicall sense, whereby fol. 58.p. 1.co. 1 it fignifieth the same, in some Colledges, which the rib. Ecclef.c. 31. word Cenfor doth in some others) there is an Ecclesi. afficall Deane, and ambiguity also in the word, with that restriction: for (asd Duarense, and others from

him doe distinguish) there is one fort which are called urban, another Vican : to speake in the phrase of the

d Duaren.de minift.l.1.c.8.

e In processe of time Archipresbyters 188. of the defence of his ferm.

f Concil. Turon. 2. Can. 6. an. 566. & Concil. Antinodor. Can. 40.an. 615.

conus, & Archisubdiaconus, Diaconorum & Subdiaconorum primi, & princivitis Pontif.Rom.pag.61.

present age, the Urban we may call Cathedrall Deans ; the Visan, Deanes Rurall : the were called Decani. Bish. Downh. li. 1. pag. more e ancient name of such was f Archipresbyter, that is, as the word importeth (and g Onuphrius Presbyterorum dicitur, ut Archidia- expounds it) the chiefe or principall Presbyter: and fuch a one is pes. Onupbr. interpret. vocum eccles. addit. the Cathedrall Deane, among the City Presbyters; and a Rurall

Deane, among the Presbyters of the Country. Whether Cathedrall, or Rurall Deanes, or both be intended intended in this Oath, is somewhat doubtfull: That Cathedrall Deanes are meant, it is probable; because they are placed next after Bi- h So by the name of Archipresbyter is shops, and h before Archdeacons: hee called, and put before the Archdeaand though the Archdeacon i bee faid to bee next after the Bishop, and his Vicar (i. Generall,)it is with reservation of the right of the Ecclesia & Minist.c.6.fol.48.b. Deane, in respect of the Cathedrall Church : And that Rurall Deanes should not bee excluded, is probable also; because Bishop k Downham k brings them in as Church Governours, with those that are named in this Oath: and for that they are called 1 Archipresbyters (which 1 is a title above Archdeacons) and libus. 1bid.c. 5.fol. 48.a.

con. Concil.Carthag.4.can.17.

Archidiaconus lit proximus post Episcopum, & ejus Vicarium, salvotamen jure Decani, quod ad Cathedralem Ecclesiam spectat. Reform.leg. Ecclesiastic. de

The Government and Discipline of our Church by Archbishops, Bishops, Archdeacons, Rurall Deanes, &c. effablished. Reformat.leg. Eccles. Tit. de Eccles. Bilh. Downb. in his answer to the Preface of the Refuters of his confec, Serm. pag. 6. Archipresbyteris five Decanis Rura-

in some places have had more jurisdiction (as in this Diocesse) untill a very few yeares last past, then the Archdeacons have had. And if both bee here meant, then first concerning the former fort.

### DOUBT S.

What is the Authority and Government of Cathedrall 8. Particular Doubt. Deanes.

#### THE REASON.

1. DEcause Deanes Cathedrall and Rurall (being both comprehended under the title of Archi- m Lindw.prov. presbyters) their office is so described by m Lindwood ad 46. inclusive and others, that it is difficult to discerne what is proper spalat de Reor peculiar to them in feverall. pub. Ecclef. li.4. Secondly, c.s.pag.sgo.

Decani Cathedrales' Beclesias juxta illarum constitutiones regant, Collegiorum Canonicorum, tum aliorum Clericorum Ecclesiæ præsint-ut Archidiaconi foras, fic illi domi, hoc eft, in Ecclesia Cathedrali Episcopo sint adjumento. Reform.leg. Ecclef. &c. de Ecclef. & Minift.c. 8. fol. 94. P. 21.

Secondly, where they are more distinctly fet downe, the "Cathedrall Deane is brought in as President of the Canonicall Colledge, for the government of the Cathedrall Church, according to the Constitutions of their foundation:

and fo is faid to be an affiftant to the Bishop at home (that is, in the Cathedrall Citie, as the Archdeacon is. abroad in the Country;) but there cometh in much doubt and ambiguity, what Government is meant: for the Bishops and Deanes are often at difference about their Authority; which is more or leffe, according as the Charters of their foundation doe vary: which to some give a larger, some a leffer power and preheminence. For the Deanes of Westminster and o By the Bifh. Windfor (as o wee have heard) have Episcopall Jurifdiction, which other Cathedralls (for the most part) have not. Some have Statutes, by which they governe; and some (as the Deane and Canons of Christ Church in Oxford) are leges loquentes, speaking laws; and therefore are very fitly called Canons, or Rules, governing according to the dictate of their owne difcretion and conscience; and so wee cannot (in respect p Bishop Halls of such ) apply Bishop P Halls distinction betwixt Rules of government, and Errours of execution, to accept the one, and reject the other; nor can we swear to their Government, though with distinction (for that is uncertain to us both for rule and practife) much

of Chest that now is.

Corollary added to his Irrefrag.propol. p.12.7.

> Thirdly, the Jurisdiction of Deanes and Chapters may bee much augmented by Synodicall Confirmati-

leffe with confusion, as in the Canon it is contained.

ons : for in the late : Grant of the Benevolence to his : The Grant Majestie by the Provinciall Synod at Yorke (and of the Bene-volence by the wee doubt not, but it is so also in that of the Province Province of of Canterbury, though yet we have not feen it )during York. p. 18,19. the vacancie of any Bishopricke, they have granted unto them Authority to exercise the Ecclesiasticall censures of suspension, excommunication, interdicts. and fequestration against such as deny, or delay to make payment of the Benevolence there concluded.

Fourthly, some Deanes and Chapters have had so much Authority within themselves, as to bee out of the reach of Archiepiscopall power; and therefore have refused to be visited by the Archbishop.

It was so betwixt the f Deane and Chapter of Dur. f Master Foxe ham, and the Archbishop of Yorke many years agoe, his Maryrolog. which exemption (by some reluctant contestation of the Clerke fent from them to the last Convocation) feemed neither forgotten, nor forfaken by that Deane

and Chapter.

Fifthly, in the Booke of Reformation of Ecclefiasticall Lawes, made by King Henry the eighth, and King Edward the fixth (which appeareth to be yet in force, and was very lately reprinted for better Information touching the Government of the Church) there is this rule fet downe for Cathedrall Churches. They shall keep their Statutes of their foundation pure t Reform.leg. and entire, so farre as they shall not be found adverse to de Eccles of Minist cap.7. the Word of God, nor to our Constitutions of Religion, et-fol. 49.p.b. ther already published or hereafter to be published.

Where (to conclude this Doubt) wee fee fo much divertity, and in some respects contradiction, touching

u Doct.Field of the Church the Government of Cathedrall Deanes and Churches (for some have Episcopall Jurisdiction, some have not, some have Statutes, some have none;) and befides all, the doubt of that which already is in ufe. fo much uncertainty of what may be hereafter (according to this Constitution) that wee can find here no folid ground for a facred Oath.

### Of Deanes Rurall.

### The o. Doubr is

what is the Authority or Government of a Deane Ru-9. Particular Doubt. rall.

### THE REASON.

Recause (under the name and title of Archpresbyters) they have had much Authority in Government of the Church in former times; whereof the most observable particulars are collected by a very learned " Doctour of our Church, and they are chiefly 1.5.c.29.p.507, thefe:

These Archpresbyters, or Deanes Rurall, were to be 508,509. x Episcopus nec Abbatem, nec Archi- chosen by the Clergie, and x not to presbyterum fine omnium fuorum compresbyterorum & Abbatum consilio de bee deposed by the Bishop; without loco suo præsumat ejicere. Conc. Turon. 2. the consent of those that chose Can.6. Caranz fol. 239. them; they were to a sist the

Bishop in Government, and that of such necessitie, that hee must not bee without them : Their office was to admonish both Laitie and Clergie of their dutie : and to fee that they did it : They were to vifit the Churches of their Precincts twice a yeare; and if any

of the Y Laity were wilfully minded y Si quis ex Secularibus institutionem aut comminationem Archipresbyteri sui against their admonitions, they were contumacia faciente audire distolerit, To long to bee excommunicated out tam diu à limitibus Ecclefiz habeatur extraneus, quam diu tam falutarem inof the church, untill they were restitutionem adimplere diffulerir. Concil. formed. They were to have a Chap- Antifod. Can. 40. Ivid. fol. 267. ter of Parish Ministers , who (within a yeare after they were possessed of their Livings) were to sweare to the Deane, and so to bee admitted as brethren, to sit in the Chapiter with him and to be bound to come to the yearly Chapiter, and otherwise also, when upon urgent cause the Deane should call a Chapiter (which was ordinarily four etimes in the yeare) and to beare part of the charge. In these Chapiters, the Archipresbyters were to publish the Decrees of Provinciall and Episcopall Synods; and to urge the execution of the same. The limits of their power in Government were, to suspend Laymen from the Sacrament, and Cleroiemen from execution of their office : bitherto they might proceed, but no further.

But in practice, partly by the connivence, partly by the corruption of the Bishop, they lashed out beyond their line: for, as a zealous Preacher complain-

ed in the Councell of Rhemes, , The z Archipresbyter went about in fibi creditam, vendit Homicidia, Adultevisiting of his circuit, selling all ria, Incestus, Fornicationes, Sacrilegia, forts of sinnes, Murder, Adultery, suam, fama volante innotescit Episcopo Incest, Sacriledge, Perjury, and talis guathus, &c. Serm.cujufdam ad Cler. thereby filling his purse, the fame in Concil-Rhem.in operib. Bernard.col. 1736

whereof coming to the eare of the Bishop hee fends for him, that hee may have a floare with him; upon demand he denieth, upon deniall they wrangle: but at the last, he knowing that if the Bishop be against him, he must forgo

Archipresbyter circuit obedientiam' Perjuria; ad summum, implet manticam

bis gaine; hee yeeldeth him a part : and fo, faith that Preacher, are Herod and Pilate reconciled against Chrift.

In later times, especially in most Diocesses of England they have had leffe to doe, and done leffe evill; Doctor Confins the Civilian, fetting downe the Deane

a Decanos Rurales vocant, atque pleam claffem, ad fignificandum iis non nihil ab ordinario, ut fit per literas ad inducendum in Beneficia vice Archidialst. Ecclef. Angl.c.7.

Ruralls office, maketh it to confift rumque adhibentur ad convocandum fu- in little elfe, then in calling a Clafficall Affemblies (that is, those who are to affemble within his coni remotiùs agentis. Doct. Couf. de Po- Deanry) to fignifie the mind of the Ordinary, according to the tenour

of letters received from him; and in absence of the

Archdeacon, to induct into Benefices.

But in this Diocesse, the Deanes for many yeares past have had a great part of Episcopall Jurisdiction shared among them; and this by Patent for lives or veares from the Bishops, allowing fometimes larger,

tent of M'.P. M'.E. M'.L. for the Deanric of F.

b Crimina & exceffus quorumcunque sometimes leffe Authority unto Laicorum, criminibus Adulterii & Ince- them: Some have had power to ftus tantummodo exceptis. So in the Pa- b censure all offenders, and offences of the Laity; the crimes of Incest

and Adultery alone excepted. And fome have beene limited to the correction of some few faults specified in their Patents; and of late, about (or not much above) three yeares fince, have most of the Deanries been compounded rogether into one Patent, or two at the most and affigned to the Archdeacons by your Lordship, and by them refigned for execution to the Chancellour (as their Officiall) for that burifdiction.

Now, if Deems much be meant in the Oath (and confidering confidering what authority and power, both in former times, and of late they have had in this Diocesse, and it may be, by the Constitutions of the Church yet in force ought to have, we know not why they should bee lest out of the Government of the Church; nor why they should not bee contained under the title of Deanes) how can wee, without being over-bold with our consciences, take them into such an Oath, there being so much diversity and uncertainty of their Authority:

### Of Archdeacons

The 10. Doubr is,

What is the Authority and Government of Archdea- 10. Particular Doubt.

#### THEREASON.

BEcause Deacons are inferiour to Presbyters (so farre inferiour) that a Deacon, though dignified, should not presume to six before a Presbyter, by the sixth Councell of Constantinople; and so an Archeoconpresbyter (as a Deane Rurall is usually stiled) should framinop.6.

Con.7.fol.311.

be superiour to an Archdeacon: but as Doctor field caranz.

observeth, notwithstanding all the Canons to the field caranz.

observeth, notwithstanding all the Canons to the field contrary (& they were many, as we may have occasion 1.5.c.25.p.492.

to note elsewhere) and the violent opposition of Hierome, and other Worthies of those times: they were lifted up, not only above Presbyters, but above Archpresbyters also, and that came to passe (as hee obsers veth) for divers causes.

H 2

teros turba contemptibiles

facit. Hier ad

Evagr.tom.2.

3. Partic.

Heref. 75.1.3.

tom. I.P. 2 15.

col. 2 .

Pag.334.

First, because there were fewer Deacons then Prefe Diaconos byters; and so e pancity made the one fort to be honourapaucitas honoble, and multitude the other rather contemptible. rabiles, Presby-

Secondly, because Deacons had the charge of the treasure of the Church, which kind of imployment is

usually much set by.

Thirdly, because they were often used by the Bishop for viewing of such parts of his Diocesse, as hee could not conveniently visit himselfe; and wee may Doubt. pag. 20. conceive the reason of that to be, because the Deacon was a close adherent to the fide of the Bishop, and that g Sine Diacofo necessarily, as Epiphanius conceived, that (as f beno impossibile eft effe Episco- fore we have noted under another title) hee faid, 8 It pum. Epiphan. was impossible for a Bishop to bee without a Deacon; whereupon in tract of time, as the Cardinalls by their propinquity to the Pope, overtopped the Bishops who at first were so farre inferiour to

h -refertur multos Episcopos prætermiffos fuiffe Cardinalari,ne lic digni- them, h That a Bishop would not be tate minuerentur. Fulv. Pacian. Tractat. de probationibus, lib. 2. cap. 28. fol. 94. p. I. col. I .

a Cardinall, because bee would not submit to a diminution of dignity) fo the Archdeacons, by their neerneffe to the Bishops, came to be exalted above the Presbyters; which (as Doctor i Field conceiveth) was in Saint Hieromes

without

of the Church. 1.5.6.25.7.492 time.

i Doct.Field

But, though there were then an Archdeacen above the Deacons (who did not only officiate himselfe, but prescribed unto others what they should doe) yet an Archdeacon, with allowed Jurisdiction over Presbyters, was of a later Institution. Bishopk Andrewes eft novus. Bift. faith, an Archdeaconship is a new degree, which ( I suppose he would not have said if it had been authorized

k Archidiaconatus gradus Andr. n Resp. ad 3. Epift. Pet. in Saint Hieromes time; when, if it were in use, it was Moulin.p.191.

without the approbation of the best, as hath been noted : wee may well conceive then, that Saint Stephen the Protomartyr was not an Archdeacon, as he is called

1 Decret Lucii in the Decree of 1 Pope Lucius. Pap.an. 255. For the Archdeacons Jurisdiction, it is so diversly carang. sum. fet downe in Ecclesiasticall Constitutions, that it is concil fol. 28. very hard to tell, what by rule their Office or Go-

vernment is, as will appeare to him, who will take

paines to perule the quotations in Concil Aurel 5.Can. 20.p. 473. decret. the Margin; fo that from Con. L. de officio Archidiac. fol. 56.p. 1. col. 1. Lindry provinc. conflit.lib. 1 .de offic. Arstitution their Authority Ayeth for chidiac ful. 36, col. 2. à Reformat leg. Ecclef. refuge to Prescription: of which de Eceles. Minift. c.6. fol. 48.b. Doctor Field faith thus, nThe Azor.Infiit.tom. 2. 1.3. cap. 43. col. 448. Dot. Conf. de pol. Ecclef . Angl.c.6. Archdeacons (which at first might Certaine Canons containing some not fit in the presence of a Presby- points of Discipline approved in the Syter, but being willed by him fo to nod. 1571. doe) in the end became (by reason of pag 509. their imployment by the Bishop) to be greater, not onely then the ordinary Presbyters, but then the Archpresbys ters them sclues; and therefore it is confessed by all, that the Archdeacon hath none Authority, or power of Jurifdi-Ction, by vertue of his degree and order, but by prescription onely: nor can bee claime more then bee can pre-(cribe for ; and it may be, hee may prescribe for that which is not commendable haply, not lawfull : as for that which the Councell of Challons feverely condemneth, o It is reported by some (faith the Councelly o can. 15. apud that Archdeacons use domination over the Presbyters Church, 5500.

rannie, then of order. Prescription and Custome may prevaile against Right

and take tribute from them; which (melleth rather of ty.

o Quicquid adverfus veritatem sapit, id Hæresis est, etiam vetus consuetudo. Test.

and Truth, as many times they doe, as Tertullian faith, . What foruer is fet up against that, is Herefie. though it be an old custome. In this Diocesse the Arch. deacons have for the most part been but titular, fince the foundation of the Bilhopricke, into which were incorporated two Archdeacenries; the one of Chefter. the other of Richmond : and of late fome Rurall Deanries (as before we have observed) were affigned unto them; and some of us have heard your Lordship fay, That their Jurisdiction is fuch, and so much, as you are pleased to permit unto them : and what that is, who can tell but your felfe ? how then may it be fafe to fweare to the Government of the Church by Archdeacons, when wee cannot know what their Government is; fince the rules of that Office are very uncertaine, and the prescription by practice more uncertaine to us (especially) who have had no such Jurifdiction in use among us: and it may be, if wee had, wee should find more cause to except against it; then to sweare for it; which wee defire may not bee interpreted to the prejudice of any worthy person of that denomination: and wee doubt not, but there are many fuch, and some well knowne to many of us, for men of very eminent endowments, both intellectuall and morall; whom we acknowledge for fuch, and fo defire to enjoy them, as our deare brethren and friends.

### Of the dec.

Our Doubts hitherto have beene of the Governours expressed; our next Inquiries are to bee made of of the &c. and of such Governours as are concealed under it: and thereof our Doubts are divers, and so counting on, our

II. Particular Doubr is, Whether we may safely take a new Oath with an &c.

r r. Particular Doubt.

### THE REASON.

D Ecause in a new Oath we cannot be certaine, withour some expresse direction (which in this case we find not) how fame the fense of the &c. reacheth: and fo we cannot sweare unto it in judgement (as the Prophet Jeremy directeth, Jerem.4.2.) but at the most in opinion: There is no man would willingly scale a Bond with a blanke for the fumme, fo that the Obligee might make the debt as large as hee lifted; and we conceive we should be more cautelous in ingaging our foules by an Oath, then our estates by a Bond: fince in this the tye is more vigorous, the breach more dangerous, then it is in that; and weeverily thinke, that if wee should returne our deposition with some termes of the Oath, as I A.B. doe sweare, that I doe approve the Doctrine and Discipline of the Church of England, and presently breake off with an &c. (though what followeth be well enough knowne) it would not be allowed for a lawfull Oath, which yet feemeth to us more warrantable, then that which by this Canon is tendred unto us.

ever as persons, or cultur, buth Ecclefolism

#### DOUBT 12.

1 2. Particular How farre the &c. is to bee extended, when it is expresty declared.

THE REASON.

\* M.S.T.

REcause of the variety of opinions, which have beene conceived of the Contents of it; \* some (who suppose they understand the Oath so well, as to be able to expound it to others) have faid, that the Governours of the Church are expressed before the &c. and that under the &c. are implicitely comprised the Rules or Constitutions of Government, especially the Booke of Canons of the yeare 1603. but most conceive this to be an impertinent interpretation; because the &c. importeth somewhat of the same sort that went before; and thus to expound it, is to make a groundlesse transition à personis ad res; but if we agree (as most doe) that persons are meant under the &c. and those persons Governours (which is most probable) our Doubt is, what Governours they be.

### DOUBT 13.

13.Particular

What Governours are included in the &c. whether the King, as Supreme, be altogether omitted, or implicitely contained in it.

# THE REASON.

DEcause wee doe not know, why hee should bee wholly omitted; fince hee is supreme Governour over all persons, or causes, both Ecclesiasticall and Temporall,

Temporall, and so to bee acknowledged by all Preachers in their prayers before their Sermons, by the 55. Canon: nor can wee conceive any just cause, why he should be but covertly implyed in an &c. when inferiour degrees are formally expressed.

Object. If it bee said, that there is a peculiar Oath for his Supremacy to bee taken at the Ordination of Ministers, and at other times by other persons, upon

feverall occasions,

Anfw. We conceive that should bee no let to the afferting of his Soveraigne Right in this Oath; because that Oath of Supremacy is expresly made (as

the title of it sheweth) to shut out q The Bishop shall cause the Oath of the usurpation of q forrain powers the Kings Supremacy, and against the and Potentates; and fo giveth no power and authority of all forraine Pctentates, to bee administred to every one fuch fecurity against those popu- of them that are to be ordained. So in the lar diminutions of his Ecclefiafti- Ordinat. of Deacons.

call Authority: the jealousie whereof occasioned the reverend Prelates of the Church in the late Synod, to propose this Oath, as a Bond of assurance of their

Episcopall preheminence.

They have shewed themselves zealous (we confess) in pressing his Royall Right, both ecclesiasticall and civill, against all r popular, as well as Papall impeach r can. I.p. 13: ments: and have annexed a penalty against such, as shall by word, or writing publickly maintaine, or abett any position or conclusion, in opposition to their explication of the Kings Authority.

But yet there is no Oath required, to oblige any subject to a perpetuall approbation of his Regall power, as supreme Governour of the Church, as there is for Archbishops and Bishops: nor is the penalty for publicke

publicke apposition thereof so dangerous, as for a private forbearance of the Oath (though with a timerous and tender conscience.) For, for not taking of the Oath, a Minister may for ever bee deprived of all hee hath within three moneths; but for publicke opposition against the Kings power, hee shall not fuffer so much, unlesse hee continue contumacious two yeares together, as they that reade and marke the Canon shall observe.

It may be his Majesties Supremacie was left out by accidentall oblivion; or if by refolved intention, it was perhaps upon supposall, that the caution of the first Canon made it superfluous: and it may be, there may be some secret mysteric in this omission, which (if wee may not presume to know) some haply will imagine, it is to give some better colour to the Bishops proceedings, in sending out the Processes of their Ecclefiasticall Courts in their own names, which hath been often reproved by their opposites (as very prejudiciall to the Royall Prerogative) though (of late yeares) for that particular there hath been an af I do humbly ward procured and publified on the Bilhops behalfe, according to the request of the Lord Archbishop of Canterbury that now is.

in the Churches name defire of your Majesty, that it may be refolved by all

the reverend

Judges of

object. But how soever if the mention of his Soveraigne Authority, bee omitted, there is, the leffe to fweare to; and fo the charge of the Oath is more

England, and then published by your Majestie, that our keeping Courts, and issuing Processe in our owne Names, and the like exceptions formerly taken, and now renewed, are not against the Lawes of the Realme (as tis most cereaine they are not) that so the Church Governours may goe on cheerfully in their duty, and the peoples minds be quiesed by this affurance, that neither the law nor their liberty, as Tubjects, is thereby intringed. L. Archb.bis Epift. Dedicar to the Keine before his Soceth in the Starge-chamber.

light,

light, and may for that the more heartily be taken.

Answ. It is true, if the omission give no occasion of timerous furmile; but to some it doth, and if in charity wee hope the best of it in this case, there is cause yet to doubt of the &c. and therefore wee further demand of the subordinate Governours implyed in it.

# The 14. Doub T. who, and what Governours they be.

14. Particular Doubt.

### THE REASON.

Ecause (in the title of the seventh Canon) there is mention of Archbishops, and Bishops, with an &c. and in the body of the Canon, Deans and Archdeacons are added to them, and the next words are [and the rest that beare office in the same] that is, in the Government of the Church of England. And those that beare office, besides these that are named, are Guardians of Spiritualties, Suffragans, Chancellours, Vicars generall, Commissaries, Officials, Surrogates. For the first :

t Guardians of Spiritualties u are those, to whom the Spirituall Jurisdiction of any Bishopricke, or Archbi u So in the Shoprick is committed, during the vacancy of the See.

x Suffragans are titular Bishops, ordained to assist the Bishop in his function, whose turne they supply now and Suffraganci then in Ordination of Ministers and Deacons, dedica-

t The Kings Preface before the late Can. ftat-of 25.H.8.

x Epilcopi coadjutores Episcoporum, quorum vice

nonnunquam ordinant Ministros & Diaconos, dedicant Beelesias, confirmant pueros ante informatos rudimentis Christianilmi. Dolt.Conf.de polit. Ecclef. Ample. 7. Vide ctiam Reform.leg. Ecclef.de Ecclef.& Minift.c. 16.fd. 4 1.b.

tion of Churches, confirmation of children, that have been instructed in their Catechisme.

Chancellours, who exercife ordinary Jurisdiction in the City of the Episcopall See, next to the Bishop, and by

Authority derived from him.

y Vicars generall in some Diocesses are the same y Can. 104. with the Chancellours, as we may fee by the stile of their Processes; but if the office bee considered of it felfe (as some describe it) it is very large : for thus we find it in . Azorius.

z Vicarius generalis potest excommunicare, suspendere, interdicere, Sacramenta conferre, vel conferendi facultatem conquirere, instituere, eligere, confirmare, præsentare, corrigere, punire votum, & juf-jurandum commutare, relaxare. Azor.Instit.tom. 2.lib. 3.cap. 43.col. 448.

A 2 Vicar generall may excommunicate, suspend, interdict, concedere, conferre Beneficia, visitare, in- ferre Sacraments, or give faculty to others for that purpose, hee may collate Benefices, visit, inquire, inflitute, elect, confirme, prefent, correct, punish, change vowes, and dispensewith Oaths.

Can. 103. 119. 128. 135. Convocat. b See Lindw.constit provinc. L1 .cap. 1 .de exercise Jurisdiction afarre off, oaccufat.verbo mandat. Archiepifc.

a Commissaries b are such as ver these who cannot well come to

the Bilkops Consistory in the City.

c Can. 119.

· Officialls are properly such as exercise Jurisdiction under Archdeacons, and are simply called Officialls. without addition: and if the Commissary bee called by that name (as many times he is) it should not be simply. but (as in d Lindwood) with the addition of Forancus. Surrogates are such as are substituted by Chancel-

d Lind.Tit.de fequeftr poffiff. 6.1 .verbo Officialis.

lours, Commisfaries, and Officialls.

e Can. 128.

To which wee may adde Canons, or Prebendaries of Cathedrall Churches, who are joyned in Government with their Deanes; and are with them to bee à confilis to the Bishop, Clerkes of the Convocation; and,

it may bee, Parsons also may bee reckoned among Ecclefiasticall Governours: for they are called Rectors of their Churches, and Vicars; and other preaching Pastours may be so called, governing their Flocks as they doe. And it may bee, Registers, Proctors, and Apparitours of the Confistory Courts, and Church-wardens, and Sworn-men in particular Parifhes may bee brought into the Oath, by the Explication of the feventh Canon. And if no Officers bee comprehended in it, but Governours; no Governours, but fuch as have a coercive or compulfive power, there are yet so many severall forts of them. fo much diversity among them, and so great difficultie to know their Government what it is, and how farre it reacheth, that very few, not onely of the Laitie, but of the Clergie also (who are not profest Civilians with all) can tell what hee fweareth to, when hee sweareth to them under their expresse titles, much leffe when under the ambiguous Intimation of &c. These are our Doubts of the degrees of Government; the next particular is their present state.



### 2. Partic.

## As it now stands.

# The 15. Doub T.

15. Particular Whether the establishment of the Adjuncts, or the not necessary Appendences of Bishops bee to bee sworne unto in this Oath.

### THE REASON.

Recause with them they now stand: Episcopacy is now honoured and affifted by Baronries, and the Ecclefiasticall Government by the high Commission: now there are but two Archbishoprickes: above the Archbishops no Patriarchs, and the h Archbishops (of Canterbury especially) have many priviledges and prerogatives; all which stand by the support, or fall by the weight of Royall Authority, from which their i Stat. 37.H.8. i Jurisdiction is derived.

> For first, as Bishop Godwin observeth, when Rich. Clifford was made Bishop of London by the Popes provision, against the Kings mind (it was King Edward the fourth) hek denied to give him his Temporalties, and so made him defift from pursuit of that spirituall promotion; and the same power will bee (we doubt not) confessed by all our Bishops, to bee in

all our Kings fucceffively.

Secondly, for the high Commission, it was first set up (as some Lawyers have told us) but in the begin-

Doubt. f So the Archbish of Cant. difting, in his Speech in the Starre-chamb. M.1637.p.6.

g So Bish. Hall calleth them, in the Coroll. to his prop. touching Govern.p.7. h Doct. couf. de polit. Angl. cap. 2.

c. 17.Stat. I. Edw. 6.6.2.0 25.H.8.c.19. & I.Elif.c.I. & & Elif.c. I. & 3. Fac. c.4. k Bith Godw.

in his Catol. of Bilh, p.200.

ning of Queen Elifabeths raigne; and is not fo effablished, but that by Regall power it may bee demolifhed.

Thirdly, there may bee more Archbishops then two if it please the King : for, by the same right, or better, that 1 Offa King of Mercia erected a new Arch-1 1bid.p.g. 18. bishopricke in Lichfield (leaving to the Archbishop of Canterbury for his Province onely London, winchefter, Rochefter, and Sherbourne) may his Majefty that now is erect new Archbishops in what Diocesse hee pleafeth, and may reftore the old; and fo not onely Lincolne shall bee an Archbishopricke, but in London and Glamorgan, orn Saint Davids: and for London, it continued fo from the yeare 300. or thereabout third of Glamorgan, none of Cant. (though Stowe fayit was the fame Archbishopricke with Canterburie, onely locally changed) untill Gregory his time, who was Pope about the yeare 600 and the King may limit their Jurisdiction, 504. as he shall conceive to be most convenient.

Fourthly, over the Archbishops of his dominions

(for the calling and governing of a Nationall Councell) hee may place a Patriarch; and King James shewed himselfe weil enough inclined to fuch a superiority, when he faid, P Patriarchs, I know, were Princes and States, pag 366. in the time of the Primitive Church, and among them there was a contention for the first place : and for my

Archbish. White out of Master Foxe noteth, that about the year 180. the three Archiflamines of the Pagan Britain were changed into three Archbishoprickes: the one of London, the other of Yorke, the

Archb. white reply to Mafter Carrier. pag. 323. It is like the most of England was under London, the rest and Scotland under Yorke, as Bishop Godwin writeth. and under Glamorgan Wales. Godin, Catal. of Bifb.p. 181,182.

n See Godor his Catal of Bilhops pag . 5 039

Nationale Concilium Patriarcha regni convocare deber, Provinciale Archiepifcopus, &c. Prelud.in Caranz. (um.coneil. cap. 3.p. 4.edit. 1633.

King James his premonit to free

felfe if that were yet the Question (and Papall Innovation in Religion put downe; for that is his meaning, though he doe not plainly expresse it) I would with all mine heart give my confent, that the Bishop of Rome Could have the first feat : I being a Westerne King, would goe

By humane Institution wee suffered our felves to be ranged under the Church of Romes Patriarchall Authority, as being the most famous Church of the West, a matter of courtefie, no necessity, no spirituall obligation. Bifh. Hall against Browmits.fett 23.pag.590.

with the Patriarch of the West , as the Churches of great Britaine of q courtefie, not of duty, in former

times were wont to doe.

And for each particular Prelate, whether Archbishop, or Bi-

shop, he is to bee regulated for his Courts, and other Jurisdiction, by Royall Authority: and how farre they stand established in the Kings favour, purpose, or promife, we cannot tell, nor dare we fweare; much leffe dare wee bee so peremptory concerning the power of Deanes, or Archdeacons: for to Deanes, which have not Episcopall Jurisdiction, hee may grant as much priviledge as the Deanes of Westminster, or Windsor doe enjoy; and by the same Authority that some may have more, may others have leffe.

And for Archdeacons, the doubt is more, fince their establishment confists in prescription, as before hath been observed; and that prescription in some respects may be a prevarication, fitter to be abolished, then established : as appeareth by that of Spalatensis, faying, That the Archdeacons placing above the Archpresbyters is to be imputed to their pertinacy, and

diaconi jam passim supra Presbyteros to the Bishops connivence. collocentur, id

r Cum Archi

But in this Diocesse (for many yeares past) Juristandem nimiâ corum perti-

nacia, & Przlatorum conniventia, cos evicifie meritò poffimus affirmare. Spalat de Repub. Ecclef lib.7.c.4 pag. 273. 58. diction diction hath not been so much, as an unnecessary appendance to Archdeacons; (since all that while) it hath been no appendance at all: for until very lately they had no Jurisdiction at all; wee cannot then say, much lesse sweare, their Government is established, since it was but newly erected, and made up out of Rurall Deanries, which is a fabricke, like a Tent or Tabernacle newly set up, and may bee quickly taken downe againe.

# THE STATE OF STATE OF STATE ST

3. Partic.

JIT TOOK

As by right it ought to stand.

The 16.Doub T.

What this Right is, by which the Government is meant 16. Particular to stand. Doubt.

THE REASON.

BEcause there bee some, that thinke these words a limitation or restriction of the former: as if the meaning were, that we ought to approve of the establishment of this Government, so farre as of right it ought to stand, and no further: but concerning that, they that framed the Oath, could make no doubt of any ones dissent, and therefore in that sense would call for none assurance upon Oath.

It is more consonant to reason to conceive, that they meant to have an acknowledgement, not onely that the Government de faite is indeed established, but

that de jure allo, of right it ought to bee for butthen Que jure will be the Question, whether the same or a diverfe right & If the fame, whether shall Bishops some downe to Deanes and Archdeacons, and claime no better warrant fortheir dignity then they ? or shall Deanes and Archdeacons advance the Tenure of their Authority as high as Bishops doe, to claime their preheminence and power by divine right that as in the case of the Boemians (concerning the use of the

l.s.de Sacram.in genere, c.2 fect. 2.

that

Bafiliense concilium concessit Boe Communion cup, at the Counmiz utriusque speciei usum, modo fate- cel of Basil, but more justly then rentur id fibi concedi ab Beclefia, non fo) may bee denied to them all, when under some other title, pre-

heminence may be allowed unto them.

Some conceive the word Right was left at large in favour to Deponents, that there might be a latitude for fuch as are of a ferupulous conscience, to conceive fuch right as they could be frapprove of and effecially that right which agreeth to them all, to wit, a Postive and Ecclesiaficall right by humane constitution or prescription. But those that have better meanes to know the minds afthe Composers of the Canons, will have the Right divertified; according to that to which inisapplyed as that Billiops fland by divine Right, thereft by Right Ecclefiafticall:

Tothis purpofe we may bring in the faying of our Saviour Da, Dimmith you to the end of the world Min. 18 2d which is not to be understood in person, but by affiltance (for neither he, nor they his Apostles, to whom hee foake, were to bee in the world untill the worldsondoandithis affilhance is divers: infallible, as to the Apolithes bue fulficient only to their flicteffors;

To the Right may be conceived to be divine in respect of Bishops; but humane to all the rest. But of this tenet of divine Right, though it be held t The Archb. that now is, in his Speech by very great Prelates of our in the Starre-chamber, p.6. Church, and by " fome faid to be Bishop Hall in his late booke intitled Episcopacy by Divine right. the Doctrine of our Church, fuch u Bill. Andrewes in his Answer to the a Doctrine, and fo fully delive. 18. Chapter of Perrons Reply. p. 15. red by the Apostles, That there is not the tenth part of the Plea for the Lords day from their writings, which Bishops have for their Episcopacie (which comparison, God willing, shall bee examined elfe-where) and that x there be divers points x Bifh. Hall of of faith (weighty points) which have not fo p.47. strong evidence in y Seripture; fo strong evidence, y Bish. Hall that heaven may as some fall, as that faile the Bi- 1bid part. 1. Thops.

And though some (who have beene approved for their very great and faithfull labours in the Church. and for extraordinary zeale against all Popish opinions) have acknowledged fomewhat in the calling of a willer Sympf. Bishops to bee Divine and Apostolicall; yet there is Papis controls. much faid on the contrary fide: which, if it ferve not to induce a denial of that high and holy claime, may occasion at least a doubting thereof. And very doubt. fullit was (in the Councell of Trent) by reason of the diverfity of opinions there proposed for though the Superiority of Bishops over Presbyters were a de facto a Hist. of the confessed, de jure it was doubted, whether it were by Councell of a Divine, or by a Pontificall right; that is, whether 596, by a right derived from the Scripture, or from the bibid post. Pope ? and we may adde (according to the Augustan c 1bid.p.606. confession) or neither: for that alloweth no difference

betwixt

betwixt a Bishop and a Presbyter, but by custome

d Ibid.p.597.

and constitution Ecclesiasticall; and so doubtfull was their d Tenure, that some (in that Councell) were willing to avoid the discussion of that doubt; and the chiefest of the Prelates (the Cardinall of Loraine) e Ibid.p.638, when he discoursed of that Question, spake still ambiguoufly; and at last concluded, That the Question was boundlesse: and though they made it more intricate, then with us it can bee, by their erroneous opinion of the Papall power and prelation over Bishops (whereof we conceive no scruple at all, since wee reject it, as a Paradox in our Church) yet there is doubt for all that of the Authority of Bishops by divine right ; and just

f Paulus in Epist. Presbyteri & Episcopi appellatione promiscue utitur, idque Chryfost. August. Hier. alique annotarunt. Duaren. de minist.c.7.fol.8.

So also Bish Downham in his defence of his confecrat. Serm.l. 1.c.3.p.64.

part.2.p. 10, 11,20.

First, Saint f Paul in his Epistles useth the words Bishop and Presbyter in a promiscuous manner; as Chryfostome, August. Hierome, and Bish. Hall in his booke of Episcopacie. Others have observed : and all three have fooken fomewhat to

ground for that doubt : for

bring Presbyters neerer to an equality with Bishops, g Quia scil. then the Tenet of fuch superiority (by Divine Right) inter Episcoas is pleaded for, will admit : tor, Chryfostome speaking pum & Prefbyterum interof Saint Pauls naming of Bishops and Deacons, witheft ferme nihil; sola quip-out mention of Presbyters: as to all the Saints which pe Ordinatioare at Philippi, with the Bishops and Deacons, Phil. I. I. ne superiores illi funt cbryf. giveth this reason of their omission, Because (faith in I Tim. 3. 8 he) there is in a manner no difference betwixt a Bishop Hom, I I.tom. 4. and a Presbyter : onely in Ordination Bishops have the col. 1 485. Not by Scri- \* preheminence : the fame faith h Hierome in his Epiftle pture, as is

plain by 1 Tim.4.14.but by humane constitution, or custome. h Quid facit (excepta Ordinatione) Episcopus, quod Presbyter non faciat. Hier.ad Evagr.10m. 2. pag. 334.

ťo

to Evagrius; and commenting upon S. Pauls Epiffle to Titm, Hee would have Bishops to know, that they are greater then Presbyters, rather by custome, then by truth of any constitution or disposition of the Lord; and that they ought (in common ) to govern the Church. Saint & Au. gustine to the same purpose faith, That

the phrase of the Church makes Episcopacy greater then Presbytery, which Bishop Jewell rendreth thus: 1 The 1 Bish. Jewell office of a Bishop is above the office of a Priest (not by au- of his Apol. thority of Scriptures) but after the names of honour part. 2.c. 3. pag. which the custome of the Church hath now obtained.

The Parenthesis is his, brought in to make up the nopf papif.gcfentence of Saint Augustine; and as now the distincti- ner. controv. 93. on of Bishops and Priests is received, it cannot (as n Archb. Whitm some, who yet approve of Episcopall preheminence, gifts answer to have written) bee directly proved out of Scriptures and whereas a Archbishop Whitgift saith, the reason bift.1.12.2.12 why Bishops and Presbyters are taken for the same, is, Gers. Bucer. difbecause every Bishop is a Presbyter; but not on the nat. Eccl. f.p.27. contrary, every Presbyter a Bishop: that reason for Franc. Long. the Affirmative part is contradicted by odivers In-p.142. stances; and for the Negative, it will not passe with p Idem erat out exception, at least for the Apostles time : of which Presbyter qui Saint P Hierome faith, that a Presbyter is the same with tequam Diaa Bishop, and so was taken, untill by the Divels instinct boli instinctu Some turned Religion into faction.

And though 9 Frins (when his ambitious defire Hier.ep. ad Tit. to bee a Bishop was disappointed) in emulation and cap-1: anger fell to contemptuous comparisons of Bishops of Epiphan. bewith Presbyters; and therefore was noted by Epipha. 1.comra beref.

Episcopi noverint se magis consuetudine, quam dispositionis dominicæ veritate Presbyteris effe majores, & in commune debere Ecclesiam regere. Idem in Tit. 1.5. tom. 9. fol. 153. pag. 2.

Secundum honorum vocabula quæ jam Ecclesiæ ufus obtinuit, Episcopatus Presbyterio major est. Aug.epift.19.

in the defence

m Willets Sy-

9.3.7.273. T.C.p.384,385 o See Niceph. fertat. de guberannot in concil.

Episcopus, anstudia in reli-

gione fierent.

\* Mich. Medin- nius in his Catalogue of Heretickes : and fince him de contin. facr. Michael Medina made it materiall berefie, to deny bomin.l. I.c.s.

f Si quis dixerit in Ecclefia Cana ordinatione institutam, que constat ex Episcopis & Ministris, 6.fol. 561 b.

the distinction of Divine Right betholica non effe Hierarchiam divi- twixt Bishops and Presbyters, and the Councell of Trent formally deanathema fit. Concil. Trid. fef. 7. Can. creed it, with an Anathema to those that deny it. Yet was not Hierome

(though a vehement Advocate in the behalfe of the Presbyteriall dignity) much leffe chryfostome and Au-

epift.Epifc.Winton.p. 161,162.

t Allere Damasc.p. 276,277,278, gustine for such sayings as these ever 279. Sec also primam Pet. Moulinei taxed for herefie. Nor ist Fries left without a probable Apologie, which

may ferve with fome, not onely to expunge his name (i. Erius) in fo many hundred yeares opposed Episcopall government. Bishop Hall of Episcopacy. Orthodox Doctors. part. 1.p. 66.

u One onely branded Hereticke out of the Catalogue of "Heretickes, but to enroll it in the Register of

As for the words of Leo, his own autho-

And for the Tridentine Decree, it is the leffe to be regarded, because wee may fay, as Bishop Jewel doth of x Leo, The words of the Bishops of that Councell are of no great weight, because they make a rity in his own Decree in their owne caufe.

cause cannot be great. Bifh. Jewel defence Apol.partiz. 6.3 pag. 101.

But Chrysoftome and Augustine were Bishops though Hierome was none; and yet they spake of Bishops and Presbyters fo equally as hath beene said: and if untruly, indifcreetly also: because both against the truth, and themselves. We may say the same of Bishop Jewel, whose judgement is plaine against the

y Panormita- opinion of Divine Right, by his exposition of Saint nus in qualtio-Augustine fore-alledged. Besides, y Panormitan and nibus fuis ex mala interpre- 2 Pacianus (very famous men in their faculties; the tatione. Hier.

negat hanc Divino Jure inter Episcopos & Presbyteros distinctionem. Franc. à Santia Clara Apol. Epifepag 64. Z Fulv Pacian de probatiom b. 12.0.18 fol 96.

one for a Canonist, the other for a Civilian, and divers more, to fay nothing of the a learned men of the a chamier. Reformed Churches in forraine parts) will not admit 10m,2.1.10.6.6. of any preheminence of a Bishop above a Presbyter by Divine Right. All which wee alledge notto contest with the reverend Prelates in point of Authority. but to shew, that if an acknowledgement of Episcopall preheminence (as of Divine Right) bee required in this Canon (and by that wee have shewed, wee have cause to suppose it) it is too problematicall an opinion for fuch confidence as should accompany an Oath.

# Of Archbifbops.

Of Archbifbeps, though their Authority be greater, yet as touching the Tenure by Divine Right, our beliefe is leffer : for they that hold Bilhops to bee fuperiours to Presbyters by Divine Right fas the Aporfiles were superiours to the 72. Disciples) doe not for the most part (unlesse they be Papists) allow of Arch. bishops in that facred Episcopacy; and even he, who was an Archbishop himselfe, and highly advanced in print the Episcopall degree, bath out of Ignatius observed (and thereby affronted the Papall usurpation) probavi Chrithat the twelve were all bequall as an Aristocraticall flum fuam Ec-Colledge, no Prince or Monarch ruling over the feliam Apo-

bus zque commendaffe, cofque ad hoc necestaris, posethare aque omnes adornaffe consentit. Ignat.cpifi.ad Philadelph.dum ad Apostolos veluti ad Presbyterium, Ecclesia Collegium, recurri postulat ; Collegium verd Aristocraticum nemo ignorat. Spulat.de Repub Ecclef lib a.c. 12.pag. 137. The Archbith. that now is faith the like of the Ariflocraticall Government, and equality of the Apostles, and quoteth Bellarm. de Ro. Po. 1.1.4.9 to the same purpose, making account his words are a confession of the truthagainft his owne fide. So in related his conference pag. 168,200, 202, 380. See Bishop andly, our Projectionecking executionaling to the

c. Jam & illud confidera, quam Petrus agit omnia ex communi Discipulorum sententia, nihil authoritate suâ, nihil cum Imperio. Chrysoft.hom.3. in Act. Apost. c. p. 1. tom.3. col. 459.

rest, as the Romanists pretend and assume in the name of St. Peter; wherein Saint chrysostome is directly opposite unto them, observing how Saint Peter, in an assembly of the Disciples, doth

all by their common consent, nothing by his owne authority, nothing in a lofty or a Lordly manner. For, that Authority (which they take up as Saint Peters right) his Master and ours thought too much for him, or any one man else; fore-seeing, as the Archbish. of Spalato noted, d That a Monarchy in a Church-man would bee apt to breake out into a tyrannie over the Church. And for the tenure of Archiepiscopall authority, wee may believe Bishop e Jewel, where hee saith in answer to Master Harding, that though Primates or Archbishops had authority over the inferiour Bishops, yet they had it but by agreement and custome, neither by Christ, nor by Peter, nor Paul, nor by any right of Gods Word.

d Spalat, de Repub. Eccles. l,1.c.12.p.138.

e Bish Jewels defence of his Apolog part. 2 c.3.divis. 5. pag. 110.

object. If it be objected (as by some it hath been) that though the Apostles had no Archbishops among themselves (who had a priority of Order, and a majority of Rule above the rest of that fundamentall Function:) yet (in respect of other Bishops constituted by them) they were all Archbishops to those that were under them; It may be answered,

Answ.1. That the right of Episcopacy hath not been so well cleared by Scripture, that it should bee taken for an undoubted ground, whereon to erect an Archiepiscopall power: for, there is so much difficulty and dispute about that, as makes it to us uncapable of the assurance of an Oath.

Secondly, our Protestant Divines (when the Papils

Non erat ea facta divisio (scil.

inter Apostolos) ut alter ab alteri-

Annal.tom. 1.an. 5 1. 27.col. 424.

observation

pists plead for Peters Episcopall, or Archiepiscopall fupremacy at Rome, to maintaine the usurpations of the Pope upon all other Churches) answered that (as we conceive, according to the truth) that to bee a Bishop, or Archbishop, and an Apostle, imports a repugnancy: for both Bishops and Archbishops were confined to a certaine compasse for their Authority; but the Apostles were of an unlimited liberty and power, both for planting and governing Churches all over the world: wherein they had every one of them

fuch an equall and univerfall interest. that f no Apostle had any part of the world to himselfe, wherein the rest us abstinerer Apostolatu. Baron. had not an Apostolicall and Pastorall right as well as he; which is not, nor can be fo in Epi-

scopall, or Archiepiscopall callings.

cap.6.tom.2.pag.353. 20.

Object. If the opinion of & Estins be interposed, viz. g Estins com-That Archiepiscopacy was founded, when Timothy was 5.19.col.809. made Bishop of Ephesus, the Metropolis of Asia, wherein h Bish. Hall reckons 36 Bihe had h many Bishops under his Jurisdiction : that (to shopricks unfay nothing of what is faid of the unbishoping of Ti- der Ephes. mothy and Titus, in a particular booke of that title See will Synop. being brought in without proofe, will bee as readily papif.controv. g. k denied by some, as it is easily affirmed by any: and if in append.ad we should say, that untill Pope Zepherinus (in the third k Didoclan, Al-Century) named himselse an 1 Archbishop, or untill sare Damaseen. the reigne of Constantine (as a very learned m Writer 1 cent.3.c.10. hath observed) there is no mention of an Archbishop: col275. it will not bee easie (perhaps) for any (by legitimate m Archiepi-Testimony) to bring in an instance, to disprove the arche in usum rum ante Constantini tempora altum filentium. Dan, Chamier de acumen. pontif lib. ro. n Filafac.de facr.ep. Anth.

ch.19.fett.1.

observation in the Easterne Church; and for the Wefterne, it came later thither, as the Sun-fetting cometh after the Sun-rifing. And Filafacus a Divine of Paris faith, " It is not used in these parts, untill the first Ma-

tiscon Councell, scil. anno 587. Concil. Matifc. I.Can. 4.

Doct. Saravia faith, the Affemblies of the Presbyterians are no Synods but Conventicles, because he readeth not of any Synod without an Archbishop. Sarav.de Triplic.ep.q.3.p.90.

Which may bee to us the more probable, because we have had experience (in our owne time of a o principall point of now-Archiepiscopall Government, the Presidentship of a Provinciall Synod) without an Archbishop. So was it in

the yeare 1603. when the Bishop of London was Pre-P Archb. Whit- fident of the Synod then affembled : Archbifh, P Whitgift in his reply to Master 313,427, 432. q Can-33 (or Archb.Wbitgi. r 1bid.pag. 400. 1 Pag. 470.

gift (against Master Cartwright) endeavoureth to cariwi. p.310, maintaine, That the office of an Archbishop was in use in the Apostles time, and by their 9 appointment in an 34-25 some ac- Apostolicall Canon; and that Titus was an Archbicompt) p.470. shop over Crete; and Dionysius Areopagita the Scholar of S. Paul) Archbishop of Athens. But his proofes (as some of us upon examination have found them) are too low, and too flat for the height and compaffe of the Arch of his Asseveration, especially as applyed to the state and authority of Archbishops in the Church of England, the prelation particularly oppofed by Master Cartwright, who (conceiving both the authority and title of an Archbishop by Scripture to belong peculiarly to Christ, and not finding the name \* Archbishop there) taketh up the title Archshepheard, 1 Pet.5.4. as equivalent to it.

t The title Arcbbilbep, is proper to Christ, as ap-

The greatest Antiquity, and best Authority that

peareth by Saint Peter, where he calleth him aguarof what, which is an Archshepheard, or Archbithop; for Bishop and Shepheard are all one Ibid.p.300.

wee find for that title, is that which "Archbishop u Archb. whit-Whitgifi citeth out of Master Fox, viz. That in the Mast. Carton. time of Eleutherius, an. 180. there were in Britaine 28. pag. 323 ex M'. head Priests, which in the time of Paganisme they called Fox Mariyrol. Flamines; and three Archpriests among them, which tom. 1. p. 146. were called Archiflamines, as Judges over the rest: thefe 28. Flamines (upon the conversion of the Britains) were turned to 28. Bishops, and the three Archistamines to three Archbifbops : which, if it be true, yet it is far below that which is alledged for the calling of Archbishops; and yet more ancient then honourable, for the conformity to Pagan preheminence.

Nor will it ferve to fay (as Pope & Eugen. 4. Epift. ad Epifcop. canx Eugenius the fourth faid of the name non fuife in principio nascentis Cardinall) that though it were not ex- Ecclef. expressum, munus tamen & prefly mentioned in the beginning of the officium & B. Petro & ejus succes. Christian Church, yet the office was in- Fran. Long. annot. in 2. Concil. Rom. stituted by Saint Peter, and his succes- pag. 201. fours. For not to infift upon the name Cardinall, of

tuarien. ait , Cardinalium nomen foribus evidenter erat institutum.

which the faying of the Pope is an unprobable fiction, superiority among Bishops is to be reduced rather to a fecular, then to a facred Originall. For our Archbishop of Canterbury that now is, saith, y It was infinu- y Archb. Land ated, if not ordered, that honours of the Church should his confer. pag. follow honours of the State; as appeareth by the Canons of 176. the Councell of 2 Chalcedon, and Antioch. It was ced. Can. 9.00 thought fit therefore (though as Saint a Cyprian speaks) Ad. 16. there bee one Episcopacy, the calling of a Bishop bee one 2 Cypt de simand the same; that yet among Bishops there should be a Episcopatus certaine subordination and subjection : the Empire there unus ell fore being cast into severall divisions (which they then

called Diocesses) every Diocesse contained severall Provinces, every Province Severall Bishops : the chiefe of a Diocesse in that large sense was called "sagno, and sometimes a Patriarch, the chiefe of the Province a Metropolitan, next the Bishops in their severall Diocesses (as we now use the word.) Among these there was effectuall subjection grounded upon Canon, and positive Law in their severall Quarters; all the difference there was but Honorary, not Authoritative. So farre he; where, though he name the title Bishop, Patriarch and Metropolitan, hee doth not mention the title Archbi-Thop.

b Archb. Land ubi fupra.pag. T 68.

And though hee grant, that b the Church of Rome hath had, and hath yet a more powerfull principality, then any other Church; yet he faith, shee hath not that power from Christ. The Komane Patriarch by Ecclesiasticall constitutions (saith hee) might perhaps have a primacy of order; but for principality of power they were all equall, as the Apostles were before them : and hee might have faid fo much as well of Bifliops, as of Patriarchs; for, except for Ecclefiasticall Constitutions, and politive Lawes, they are not subordinate one to another: neither the authority nor title then of Metropolitan or Archbishop is taken to bee so ancient, or warrantable by the Word of God, as that of the Bishops, in the judgement of fuch as are the dearest friends to Prelaticall dignity. Yet, as wee deny not, but that an inequality betwixt Bishops and Presbyters is (as cha-

Ales

c Inæqualitatem inter Epimier confesseth) most ancient, and very neere the Aposcopum & Presbyterum

effe verustissimam, & vicinam Apostolorum temporibus ultrò fatemur. Fr. Chamier. de ecumen.pontif.l. 10.6,6.tom. 2.p. 853.col. 2.

files times; so wee yeeld it as probable, that Archbishops are very ancient also, and as certaine, that there have been, and are very many, as worthy to be Archbishops, as others to be Bishops: and that there have been of that elevation men of as eminent defert for learning and devotion, both in ancient and later times. as any that have lived in the fame Ages with them; but in regard of more doubt of their Authenticke tenure, then of that of Bishops (though that also bee very much doubted of) wee have the leffe heart to fweare to Archiepiscopall preheminence.

Object. If it bee faid, that d Archbishops were set d Archb. Whitup for the keeping out of Schisme among Bishops, as fine of the Bishops for that end were set over Presbyters, we doe answ. to the not gainfay it; but fay, that our Doubt is not now of Admon-p. 386. the politicke end, but of the originall right of their exaltation: and withall we may note, that this Argument, drawne from the prevention of Schisme, may climbe too high, and indeed it hath done to for at the next step, it lifteth up Patriarchs above Archbishops; and at the next after that, a Pope above Patriarchs: and all this upon the same pretence of preventing of Schisme; but the further it hath advanced, the worse it hath succeeded for the welfare of the Church, both in respect of Herefie and Schisme: for Bellarmine faith, e All the Patriarchall Churches (ex. e Omnes Eccept the Romane) for a long time have had Bishops chales (przeer which were manifest Heretickes.

If his observation be as true for the most (which for buerunt per longa tempora a great part we may beleeve, though we dare not take manifeftos it upon his bare word) as his exception is false for the Hareticos. Bel. Romane Patriarch: for he is the most Hereticall and de not. 5. c. 8.p.74. Schismatical1

Romanam)ha-

Schismaticall Prelate in the world, wee can have no great confidence in the end [the keeping out of Schifme] unlesse the meanes, the raising up of Bishops to that height, be found to bee warranted by the Word of God; yet wee make great difference betwixt the Popes claime of univerfall and unlimited Supremacy upon this ground, and that of Archbishops and Patriarchs confined within the reach, and under the checke

and restraint of a temporal! Potentate.

f Bifh Downh. in the defence of his confect. Serm.L1.6.8. PAZ.185.

For the other offices of Government named in the Oath, or involved in the &c. there is none that pleadeth a Divine Right; and we may fay of most of them, as f Bishop Downham doth of some of them, As for ordinary Vicars (that is, Vicars which are Ecclesiasticall Judges in ordinary) Chancellours or Commissaries (scil. of the Laity) the Bishops in these times (i.of Saint Augustine and Ambrose) had none, not so much as the Steward of the Church might bee a Lay-man. They neither did then, nor rightly could they claime a Divine and Apostolicall right for their callings: which wee may the rather fay, for the faying of Anacletus, who (about the yeare 103. being Bishop of Rome) hath in one of his Epistles written, That there were but two orders ordained by our Saviour, viz. of Bishops and Priests nor were any more either orders or degrees, either appointed by God, or taught by the Apostles: whereof though many doubt, in regard of that he affirmeth of the originall of Bishops; yet they will easily affent to his deniall of the rest, and what their right is, either by custome, or humane constitution, we are very doubtfull: and while we doubt, we dare not sweare.



4. Partic. Their perpetuity not to be changed.

The 17. Dous T.

How farre this perpetuity propounded is to be applyed to 17. Particular the Discipline or Government of the Church. Doubt.

#### THE REASON.

D Ecause, as before hath been observed, Discipline Dand Government seeme (at the beginning of the Oath) to be the same; and in this part of it, wee find no cause to divide them: and then our Doubt is, Why, a great part of the Discipline and Government confifling in Constitutions concerning Ceremonies, and other things of alterable nature (as g The accidentall points of Gog Archbish. Whitgift acknowledgeth) wee should sweare to a perpetuity: whereby we conceive we shall crosse. at least the intent of the 34. Article (to which the Clergy have subscribed) which faith, Every particular, or nationall Church hath authority to ordaine, h change, and abolish Ceremonies or Rites of the Church, ordained onely by mans Authority, fo that all things bee Booke. done to edifying : and withall, shall much impeach the freedome of future Synods: for they that are forestalled with an Oath against change, cannot bee for

vernment (as the manner of electing Ministers, the kind of Discipline,accidentall Ceremonies, and other fuch like Rires and Circumstances, may bee varied according to time, place, and persons. Archb. Whitgift in a Note of dangerous points of the Doctrine of T.C. prelently after the Preface. nu. 19. The like hath Bifh. Hall in his Apology against the Brownists. p. 595,596. The like we have noted before out of the Preface of our Service Demands of

free to change (when just occasion requireth) as they should be.

It is an exception made by the Divines of Aberdene, against the Oath of the Covenant in Scotland, i The generall i That it taketh away all hope of a free Assembly or Parliament, to judge of the matter presently debated : for the Ministers and Professors how can those (lay they) vote freely of any matter proof Aberdene.
Deman. 10.p. 26 pounded to decision, and deliberation of the Church and State, who have already (worne to adhere to one part of

the Question.

k The Kings Declar-concerning the Tumults in Scotl.p.330.

And our dread Soveraigne in his large Declaration sheweth, k That points of Discipline, Government, and Policy of the Church (even in Scotland) are declared by Act of Parliament, Act. 20.2 1. to bee alterable at the will of the Church it selfe, and so repealable by succeeding Alts, if the Church Shall see cause. And our Church to this day doth professe a purpose and defire of alteration of Discipline; for, in the beginning of the Commination in our Service Booke, these are her words: Brethren, in the Primitive Church, there was a godly Discipline, that at the beginning of Lent, such persons as were notorious sinners, were put to open penance, &c. In stead whereof, untill the said Discipline may be restored again (which thing is much to be wished) it is thought good &c. the same defire appeareth in the Synod at 1 Challons, decreeing an intreaty to bee prefented to the Emperour, That the ancient Discipline may bee restored againe; and that they who sin publickly. may bee brought to publick repentance, and every man (according as he deferveth) may either bee excommunicated, or reconciled.

1 The Councell of Challons held an. 813.Can.25. Sympf. of the Church-p. 560, 561.

And for Excommunication (in particular) which

is a chiefe point of Discipline or in . Whether the name might Government (of which m King James not be altered: 2. Whether in place propounded an alteration both for of it another coercion might not be invented. Confer.at Hamp. Court. the name and thing, &c. we conceive pag. 19. it not onely alterable from that which is now, but (being more frequently inflicted for non appearance; then for the foulenesse of the offence, when it doth appeare) meet to be altered and refor- n See Reform.leg. Ecclif. à fol 80. med, according to the n Constitutions ad 90. See also the form of the sent of Excomm in certaine Canons of and Orders published by King Henry the Synod. 1571. p. 29-30. the eighth, and King Edward the fixth; and in the last Synod the case is much altered with Bishops in their Government: for, whereas formerly they were free to propound what Articles they thought good in their visitations, o Now (to prevent just aggrievances, o can.9. which may bee laid upon Church-wardens, and other Sworn-men, by impertinent, inconvenient, or illegall inquiries) this last Synod bath caused a summary, or collection of Visitatory Articles (out of the Rubricke of the Service Booke, and the Canons, and warrantable Rules of the Church) to be made : which Bishops, and other Ordinaries, are to follow in their Visitations, and none other. And in divers particulars the Authority of Chancellours is P altered, as P See the Conferent Hamps court, may appeare by the 11,13,14,15,17. reftraint. pag.77, 78. particularly Canons of the late Synod. And why for Excommunication. another Synod may not (if there bee cause) make other alterations, we know not, and we believe they may; and that there may be need they should doe so: and therefore that we may not take an Oath that wee

will not confent to alter that, which is of an alterable

nature.

Object. If it be faid, that Government is here taken as diffinguished from Discipline; and that Discipline may bee altered, while the Government is not; wee fay,

Answ.I. That we find no ground in the Oath for that distinction; and wee have shewed before, that in the former part, they fignifie (as Synonyma) the fame

things.

Secondly, if wee take the Government for that of the Bishops preheminence over the Presbyters, though Bishop Hall averre with a confidence belong-

Episcopacy. part. z fect. 2. pag. 129. r 1bid.p. 172, 1 1bid.p.135.

9 Bish Hall of ing to the Creed, 9 That the Government by Bishops is both universall and unalterable : and so was rintended by the Apostles in their ordinance of them; and therefore is sutterly indispensable, and must so continue to the worlds end : yet some, who have been more exercised in the controversie of Episcopall Authority then hee (if we may make our comparison by what is published in print) and who thinke lo well of that Prelation, as to hold it as of Apostolicall Institution, confesse, That the Government by Bishops is not generally, per-

t Bifh. Downh. answ. to the Preface of the Refuter of his Serm.p.9.

petually, and immutably necessary: and it may be that which the Archbishop of Canterbury that now is, hath faid of Government in generall, may have an application to this point in particular (though hee u Archb. Laud meant it not fo) u This (faith hee) I shall be bold to fay, and your Majesty may consider of it in your wisedome, that one way of Government is not alwayes fit, or fafe, chamb. Speech. when the humours of the people are in a continuall change.

in his Epift. Dedic.before his Starre-

> Thirdly, there is an alteration in Government, when they that govern have leffe Authority then they have

have had, as by the last Canons wee have observed,

both of Bishops and Chancellours.

Furthly, there may be yet a further alteration for the better, without digression from the old way to Novelty, or from the high way (the right road way of fuch as are truly religious) to Schisme, which (if the reverend Fathers will give us leave) wee will undertake to shew by such evidence, as in this case is of

greatest weight.

Weedare not then take an Oath against alteration, lest therewith wee should forsweare a meet Reformation of the Church; which any Church may need. that bath leffe affiftance of the Spirit then the Prophets of the Old Testament, and the Apostles of the New; and of all of them we must hold, as the \* Arch - x . The L. bishop hath very well said in his answer to the Jesuit, Archbish. that Such an a Sistance of Christ and the holy Spirit, the Pro- Answito A.C. phets under the Old Testament had, and the Apostles Pag.91. under the New; as neither the high Priest with his Clergie in the Old, nor any company of Prelates or Priests in the New (fince the Apostles) ever had.

# 

5. Partic.

That though there should be an alteration, we should never give our consent unto it.

The words of the Oath.
Nor will I ever give my consent,&c.

Of these words we have a double Doubt.

The one Doubris,

18. Particular Doubt. Why we should sweare against consent, to alter the Government of the Church.

# THE REASON.

Because so the Government seemeth to be preferred before the Doctrine: for, for the Doctrine we must sweare onely, that we will not bring in, or bee the Inventers or Leaders in the promoting of Popish Doctrine; but for the Government, wee must sweare, that we will not consent, though but as followers, for what others bring in: Now, as it is a lesse offence to be a Follower, then a Captaine in whatsoever is evill; so it seemeth to shew a greater care of preservation of the Government of the Church by Archbishops, &c. then of the Doctrine of Religion; by requiring as strict an Oath, not to bee second in the change of the one, as not to be first in corrupting the other.

# The other Doub T is,

Whether, if we should thus sweare, we should not be en- 19. Particular tangled with contradiction to our Governours, and to Doube.

THE REASON.

Because, first, for our Governours, we are bound in duty to yeeld our consents to their lawfull Constitutions, though with some alteration of Government, which we can have no heart to doe, if we have

fworne to the contrary.

Hereto some except and say, If they alter, we may alter with them; but this we conceive to be too much ficklenesse and levity, and liker to the Gipsie play of fast and loose, then to the grave and solid Asseveration, which belongeth to an Oath; which is neither lightly to be taken, nor to bee slighted, when it is taken: for, so it would give none assurance to those that tender it, who meant (as wee see in the Presace of the Oath) to give security by Oath for constancy to the Doctrine and Discipline established against Innovation: but there would be little assurance of that, if their meaning were this, That they that sweare should not change, till their superiours had changed before them.

Besides, the chiefest of them hold the Discipline and Government by Bishops immutable; and therefore some of them have said, they would rather dye, then yeeld to an alteration of Episcopall Government: but wee believe it would be more easie for a Logician to convince them, that Episcopacy is not of

M 2

Divine

Divine Right (but of an alterable nature) then for a Rhetorician to perswade them to change life for death, this world for the next, rather then to confent to an alteration of that Government.

clement the seventh, immediatly upon his oath given to Charles the fifth, for performance of the Articles (accorded at his delivery) dispensed with his oath; and by probable conjecture had promifed to difpense with him before hand. So Sir Edw. Sands in his relat.p.42. z Maft. Fuller in the Holy Warre. 1.2.c.37.pag.93.

There be that tell us (and wee must not take their faying for a y Papall difpensation, which opens so wide a window, that it is in vaine to thut the doore) of many cases of conscience, wherein though we have fworne, we may be discharged of our Oaths; and fhew us a posterne gate for an out-let

of perjury: but wee cannot come to that gate, but by the wicket of inconsiderate swearing; and if wee apprehend any probable occasions of change beforehand, wee must not make a Median or Persian Prothe Lawes of testations, that we will not change.

written among the Persians and of the Medes, that it

a Let it be

Object. But we have in effect done as much already (fay some) in subscribing to the Booke of Common be not altered. Prayer, wherein wee promise to use the forme in the Efb.c.1.v.19. faid Booke prescribed, and none other, Can. 36.

Answ. 1. To which wee may answer many waies:

25.

First, that it is not put upon us, as of Divine Right: and being but humane, it is implyed to bee changeable.

Secondly, the fore-cited Preface concerning the Ceremonies, and the 34. Article professe a mutable condition in fuch Institutions.

Thirdly, experience hath divers times explained that clause: for, other formes of prayer have been imposed upon especial occasions.

Fourthly,

Fourthly, to leave us at more liberty, if there should be a change, our word is taken without an Oath; for which b Master Rogers commendeth the moderation b In his Preof our Governours.

face of the Articles of Rcli-

Object. But in refusing of the Oath now, for feare of gion. future disobedience, wee disobey for the present. To

which we fav:

Answ.1. That our not swearing is no present diso. bedience; because our consciences cannot consent to fwea re; and they that require the Oath would not have us to sweare against our consciences, nor against our good wills: for, one condition expressed in it is, That we doe it heartily, and willingly: nor do we conceive, that, the premifes duely confidered, they would have us to fweare.

Secondly, our not fwearing in this case, is rather an obedience to our Superiours; because we have already sworne to their Authority and Power for such alterations, both for the present, and for their heires and fucceffours: and fo our promiffory Oath in this cafe (if we should take it) would be with certaine prejudice to another mans right; and confequently could not bee attended with justice: and the prejudice would bee more unjust, because done to the right of publicke and soveraigne Authority, as c Bishop Hall observeth in his Ir. c Bish. Halls refragable propositions.

And thirdly, for our felves, we doubt it is not fafe to sweare that wee will not consent to any alteration in Government; fince wee cannot but like it well enough, if in some particulars it were more confor-

mable to the condition of the ancient Church: as if it should please his sacred Majesty, in the election of Bishops.

Irrefrag. propof. prop.p.3,4.

Bishops, to have respect to the suffrage of the Clergie of the vacant Diocesse, as the

d Emperour Charles the Great had, when hee published a Decree to that purpose, according to the ancient practice of the Church.

d Sacrorum Canonum non ignari, ut in Dei nomine fancta Ecclelia suo liberius potiretur honore. Baron. Annal. com. 9.nu. 2 1.col. 641. Cypr.cp. Anton. epift.52. paz. 57. col. 2. Concil. Aurelian. 5. Can. 10. Concil. Parisiens. 1. Can. 6. Hieron. testatur Alexandrinos Presbyteros spatio 140 annorum fibi ex suo numero unum eligisse, &c. Chamier. tom. 2. lib. 10.ca 3.p. 350.nu. 3. Leo cpift.82. Spalat de Republ. Eccleflib. 3. c.3.

pag-339,340, 400.Bernard.de confiderat.ad Eugen lib.z. cap. 3.col. 878. Fox Martyrol.tom. 1.p.5.col. 2. Con-

cil. Bafil. fc f. 3. fol. 448.

Ecclesiæ gu-

nis expolcit

&c. Cypr.ep.6.

f Communi Presbyterorum confilio Ecclesiæ regebantur. Hieron.in Tit. 1.5.tom. 9.fol 153.

Presbyteri & Seniores pariter ad concilium admissi erant tempore primavo. Baron. Annal.ad an. 58. 74.10,11.tom.1.col. 572.

Bifh. Downhams defence of his confecrat. Serm. 1.1. c.7. p. 142, 143. & c.8.pag. 178.

And if, when Bishops are elected, that either love, or some law of Authority might restore Presbyters to their ancient Rights, and participation of the Government of the Church, which they had in the Primitive times: whereof St. f Hierome faith . That the Church was governed by the common Councell of the Presbyters : which & Barenius acknowledgeth, and h Bishop Downham faith, no man denieth; and this, not only until there was a Schism, and the people divided themselves. under the names of Paul, Apollo, and Cephas; but afterwards, as is plaine by

feverall testimonies of Saint Cyprian (besides others) i Ut quæ circa for hee (writing to the Priests and Deacons) calleth them Brethren, and telleth them, That it is his i defire. bernacula utifor those things that conserne the government of the litas commu-Church, that (as the publicke benefit requireth) they tractare fimul. treat of them with common Counsell : and in their abedit. Pamel.p.12 sence he professeth, That he did nothing, but what k Nihil à me was concluded before by their common advice: and I that absentibus vobis novum fa- it was his resolution from the first time of his being Bi-

Aum eft, icd quod jampridem communi consilio, &c. Cypr.ep. 2 4. Presbyt. & Diac. Episcopatus mei starui nihil fine confilio vestro- privata sententia gerere. Cyp.cp.6.p. 13. Shop, to dee nothing of his owne private conceit, but by their counsell.

Bishop Downham bringing in a sentence of Ambrose founding to the same sense, maketh this answer unto it, m Ambrose, and others thought it needfull, that am Bish Down-Presbytery of grave and ancient Ministers, should with Serm.l.i.cap.T. their counsell, advise and a sist the Bishops in cases of p. 161. doubt (as Doctor Bilson saith) in cases of danger and importance; when as yet neither Synod could assemble, nor Christian Magistrate was found to asist the Church.

But when Synods were affembled, then Presbyters were affembled with the Bishops; and, as Presbyters had decifive voices with them, as " Doctor Field of the Church. confesseth: observing withall, concerning the number 1.1.6.30.p.514 of Bishops, and those that were not Bishops in such Ecclefialticall Assemblies, that in a . Councell of La. o Ibid.cap.49. teran, there were present 482. Bishops, and 800. Ab-Pag. 647. bots, who (faith he) have leffe to doe then Presbyters in

the government of the Church.

Wherein he implyeth, that there should be many more then two Convocation Clerkes in a Diocesse. to advise and vote at a Synod: And in our Diocesan Synods, which are yearly called (according to the ancient P Canon and Custome) wee are all summoned p Concil. Agato appeare in the Confistory, as in the name of a can.40.fo.165. Synod. But when we come thither, we have so little caranz. power and liberty allowed us, either for discussion or determination of any matter (wherein Presbyters, both in right and fact, have had a freedome heretofore) that most of us appeare rather as Delinquents, standing at the Confistoriall Barre, or (at the best) as Clients,

Clients, or Tenents paying a tribute of fuit and fervice at the Courts of their Landlord. So that we may

Olim hi conventus indicebanbyteris de disciplina cleri, de caufis,&c. fed hujus honestiffimi in-Fr. Duaren, de Min. 1. c. 1 1. fol-13.

take up the complaint of Duarenus the tur, ut Episcopus simul cum Pres- famous Civilian, 9 Ofold Synods were called, that the Bishops and Presbyters Rituri vix umbram hodie videmus. Should treat of the Discipline of the Clergie, of Ecclesiasticall causes, and of di-

vine Doctrine: for there was no matter of any great weight, which the Bishops without that Senate would determine : but now (faith hee) wee can fcarce difcerne fo

much as a shadow of that most honest institution.

In the fourth Councell of Carthage, about the yeare 401. (besides many other Constitutions in the behalfe and in honour of Presbyters) it was decreed,

4. Can. 23. pag. 31 3.edit.Fr. Longi. [ Ibid.Can.34. pag.316.

r Concil. Carib. r That a Bishop should not determine any mans cause, but in presence of his Clergie: That the Bishop, though in the Church, and in the Assemblies of the Presbyters, hee Should sit in an higher place; yet privately should use his Presbyters as Colleagues: and fitting himselfe, should not

Suffer a Presbyter to Stand.

And as Presbyters were not to be disdained by the Bishops, but to be taken into a respective society with t Qui Episco- them (for the name of a Bishop was anciently rather a name of labour, then of honour; rather of duty, then of opus desiderar: dignity;) so were they so much to be honoured by the Deacons below them (as " fubordinate to them as piscopus, quia well as to the Bishops) \* That a Deacon might not sit

patum deliderat, benum exponere voluit quid fit Enomen est ope-

ris, non honoris-intelligat se non esse Episcopum, qui præesse desiderat, non prodeffe. Aug. de civ. Dei, 1.19.c.19.tom. 3.p.1310. u Diaconus ita fe Presbyteri, ut Episcopi ministrum effe cognoscat. Concil. Carthag. 4. Can. 17. x Nec sedere quidem licet medio Presbyterorum Diaconos. Concil Nicen. I. Can. I 4. fol. 50. Ne Diaconus coram Presbytero sedeat. Concil. Aralat. Can. 15. Tit. Can. fol. 70. Concil. Constantinop. 6. Can. 7. Diaconus quoliber loco jubente Presbytero sedeat. Concil. Carth. 4. Can. 39.

among

among those that were Presbyters, as was decreed in the first Councell of Nice: And so it was observed at Rome (as y Hierome hath noted ) untill vice increased; And latim increbescentibus vitis, abthen (faith he) in the absence of the Bi- sente Episcopo sedere Diaconos vi-Stop, I have seene Deacons to sit in the tom, 2. pag. 334.

y In Ecclesia Romæ Presbyteri fedent, & stant Diaconi, licet pauderim. Hieron.cpi.ad Evagr. Hieron.

presence of Presbyters.

And though in later times one Bishop hath had power enough to undoe many Presbyters for small matters; yet heretofore, in a criminall z Causa criminalis Episcopi à cause, A Presbyter could not bee con- duodecim Episcopis audiatur, causa demned by fewer then six Bishops. A Presbyteri à sex, causa verò Diaconi à tribus, cum proprio Episcopo. Bishop indeed, as an elder brother, had a Concil. Careb. 2. Can. 10. fol. 111.a. double portion to censure him; (for twelve were requisite

for a doome against a Bishop : and the Deacon (as a younger brother to a Presbyter) had but halfe (o many to

give judgement of him as the Presbyter had.

Now, if with fecurity of the publicke peace, and the favour of our Superiours, there should bee any alteration in the Ecclefiafticall Government; wherein we might be affured to be dealt withall, if not as Brethren (as a some of the Episcopall order have profes a Nosomnes fed, and pleaded on our behalfe) yet rather as fonnes Episcopi me-minifie debeto reverend Fathers, then as fervants to imperious mus Presbyte-Lords, we dare not be such hypocrites, as to forswear ros omnes effe a consent to that which wee conceive to becour right, nostros fratres and cannot but be willing to enjoy.

Ministerio. non famulos,

non mancipia; cosque jure divino non minorem habere in pascendo populo Dei poteftatem quam nos habemus. Spalat.de Repub. Ecclef. 1.2.c.9.pag. 284.

# 

### THE OATH.

—Nor yet ever to subject it to the usurpations and superstitions of the See of Rome.

# The 20. Doub T is,

20. Particular Why in this part of the Oath mention is made rather of Doubt. the See of Rome, then of the Church of Rome.

### THE REASON.

\* Mr.E.B. of the M.T.

Reader observe no materiall difference betwixt them, yet wee are taught by a \* judicious Lawyer, that there is as much difference betwixt the See of Rome, and the Church of Rome, as betwixt treason and trespasse: and he proveth his position by the 23. of Elis.cap. 1. where it is said. That to be reconciled to the Sce of Rome is treason; but to be reconciled to the Church of Rome is not treason: For then (faith he) every Papist of the Church of Rome should be a Traitour (being a member of that Church, and therefore reconciled to it.) Now the See of Rome (faith he) is nothing elfe but the Papacy, or Supremacy of the Pope, whereby, by vertue of the Canon [unam Sanctam] made by Pope Boniface the eighth, he challengeth a superiority of Jurisdiction and coercion over all Kings and Princes upon earth; and those persons which take Juramentum fidei contained in the Councell of Trent, (which acknowledgeth this Supremacy) are faid to be reconciled to the See of Rome.

But the Church of Rome is nothing else but a number of men within the Popes Dominions, or elsewhere, professing the Religion of Popery. So the meaning of the Oath in this clause of it, as hee conceiveth, may bee this, You must not subject the Church of England to the See of Rome; but you may subject it to the Church of Rome.

That there might be some such subtle meaning in the choice of that phrase, I have some reason (saith he) to imagine, since I find in their late Bookes, that they say the Church of Rome is a true Church, and salvation to be had in it.

Because this Doubt hath Law for its ground (the knowledge whereof properly belongeth to another profession) we would not take upon us to deliver it in our own names, but in the name of that learned Lawyer, to whom we are beholding for the distinction of those termes; and if they should not bee rightly applyed to the words of the Canon, or to the meaning of those that made it (wherein hee ingageth himselfe no further, then probable conjecture; and so farre reacheth the reason hee alledgeth for it) yet that may be unto us a further occasion of doubt; and the more we doubt, the lesse warrant we have for the taking of the Oath.



### THE OATH.

And all these things I doe plainly and sincerely acknowledge, and sweare, according to the plaine and common sense of these words, without any equivocation, or mentall evasion, or secret reservation whatsoever.

### The 21. Doub T.

21. Particular How wee can sweare to a plaine and common sense and Doubt. understanding of the Oath.

### THE REASON.

Because we doe not find the words plain, but very ambiguous, as is already evident by the precedent Doubts: nor do we know, what sense or understanding of them we can call common; since the Oath is new, and is in many particulars so variously expounded, that yet it is not apparent to us, what construction beareth away the plurality of voices. The Oath then must be well expounded before it can be taken, else equivocation will hardly be avoided.

THE OATH.

And this I doe heartily, willingly, and truly, &c.

The 22. DOUBT.

What willing nesse is required in the taking of this Oath, 22. Particular Doubt.

### THE REASON.

Ecause the words [heartily, and willingly] in conformity to the forme of fubscription, fet downe in the 36. Canon, import a plenary confent, without any grudging or reluctancy of the heart, or will : as. when a man hath a mind to take it, with a freedome to refuse it; according to the faying of Seneca, b If you b Si vis scire would know whether I be willing or no, leave mee at li- ut possim nolberty to be unwilling : but wee conceive it cannot be fo le Senec. de Bein this case, at least with many of the Ministry, who nefic. 12.6.18. would by no meanes take it, but for feare of the penalty annexed to the not taking of it : and fo their willingnesse is no more then such as this, I am willing to take the Oath, because I amunwilling to be deprived of my Ministry and Meanes; and so to bee undone for refufall of it: As a man cafts his goods into the Sea in a Tempest, lest himselfe and they should perish together.

And then, whether should we not rather be absol- absolutio per ved from fuch a ctimerous Oath, according to the Ca. Judicem Ecnon Law (if wee had taken it) then preffed to take it, Decret.1.2.c.2. when having no heart or good will unto it, we cannot fol 157-pag-2.

meticulofo fit

fay we sweare heartily and willingly in any other sense then hath been said, without hypocrifie: and consequently, not without perjury also.

Thus much of the first part proposed, which concerneth the Oath it selfe; the second part is of the

Interpretation of the Oath.

2. Part.

2.Part.

of the Interpretation of the Oath.

The 13. Doub T.

23. Particular How the Doubts of the Oath may be resolved and cleared.

THE REASON.

Because in this case it will not satisfie to say, as the Casuists on both sides (wee meane Protestant and Popish) resolve, That the words of an Oath must be ta-

e In Mast Dalton his Country Just.

pag. 16.edit. 3.

f Eo feníu accipienda funt verba Juramenti, quo audientes accepturos judicamus, & quem habent in communi omnium ufu, quia verborum fignificatio pendet ex hominum ufu. Amefius de confc.lib.4. c.22 pag. 153.

Azor instit.vol.1.l.11.6.8.col.742
g The Minist. and Profesiours of Aberdene in their generall De-

mands.pag. 14.

ken (as this Oath conformable to the Oath of Allegiance hath it) faccorating to the plain and common sense, and understanding; for what that is, is not yet discovered: And wee believe the Divines of Aberdene said well, § That the words of an Oath should be cleare and plaine; and if they be any way ambiguous, the true sense of them should bee so declared and manifested, that

all may know it.

As to fatisfie the Doubt, which many made con-

cerning the figne of the Croffe in Baptisme: Or (as h Bishop Morton more warily writeth) the Crosseafter h Bish. Morton Baptilme was a particular i Canon fer forth for de- Cerem. claration thereof, and for removall of all such scruples i can.30. as might any way trouble the consciences of them, who are indeed rightly religious.

k Some thinke it sufficient, according to the dictate k In dubiis benigniora of charity, to take the words of the Oath in the most funt præferenfavourable sense, which is expounded by some, as ha- da regul-Juving reference to those who impose it, of whose in-ris 55. addit tention we are bound to make the fairest, and most fa- Reform, leg, Ecyourable construction.

clef.printed an. Some take the favour to themselves, and make

fuch a fense of them, as may most conduce to their owne Interests, whether for advantage, or for avoidance of damage or danger : and so cometh in the Collusion of the Jesuits by equivocation, or mentall refervation, to fave themselves from being discovered in their dangerous defignes.

This is fomewhat like that, which some say of Manna, that it was that to every mans tafte, wherewith it was most delighted. So that shall be the meaning of the Oath, which best pleaseth any that is to take it.

Azorim, a famous Casuist of the Ignatian order, I In foro Judetermineth, 1 That in a Judiciall Court an Oath must diciali semper be taken according to the common sense of the words: est jurandum m but in the Court of conscience all men hold (faith hee) communem that it must bee understood according to the mind of him sensum quem that sweareth: as if Justice and Conscience held con- reddunt verba trary Tribunalls in the foule, as Justice and Equity in munem homi-

ger.Inftit.vol.1 .l. 1 1.c.8.col.742. m Ex communi hominum fententia juramentum in foro conscientiæ semper accipiendum est juxta jurantis mentem. Ibid.

the state; and the same Oath might be right in the one.

and wrong in the other.

An abfurdity like that, which our dread Soveraign derideth in his large Declaration, viz. n That the fame confession of faith, consisting of the same words and fel. lables (worne without Authority, if it Shall be commanded by Authority, becommeth a new and different confes-

fion of the faith.

There is a third opinion (concerning the fense of an Oath) to augment the doubt, though fo much leffe doubtfull, as it bringeth with it the better Authority: and the Authority for it is no leffe then Royall, the fentence of a great and gracious King (and, which is more to is, our King) who resolveth, oThat an Oath must be either taken, or refused, according to the knowne p 16id.p. 347. intention of him that doth minister it, P especially, if it be a new Oath.

To the same sense, though in different words, fav The Minist. the 9 Aberdene Divines: An Oath is to be given acand Profesiors cording to the mind and judgement of him that requireth it : which words [ministreth and requireth] make up Demands.p. 14 the meaning to bee, That hee that ministreth an Oath (who may bee some subordinate Officer) must give it in that fenfe, which he that requireth (that is, hee from whose Authority and Power it proceedeth) doth intend.

That construction wee are taught to make, by the explanation of the Oath in Scotland, published by the right Honourable the L Marquesse Hamiltoun his Majesties high Commissioner there, in these words: in his Majesties " Oaths must be taken, according to the mind, intention, and commandement of that Authority which exactesh the

n The Kings large Declaration of the Tumuks in Scotl.p. 176.

of Aberdene in their generall

r The explanation of the Oath in Scotl. large Declar. Pag.328.

the Oath: For (as wee conceive) it rests not in the power of an inferiour (whether hee bee the taker or minister of the Oath) to put his private conceipt for the fense (which is the soule) of a publicke constituti. on : and if hee doe so, wee cannot but doubt of it (though it seeme never so plausible) unlesse it bee allowed by the Authority, which chargeth the Oath upon the conscience : For,

First, as Bishop Hall well faith, The Church (which & Bish. Hall in makes the Canon, and by the Canon decrees the his booke of Christian mode-Outh) as it is a collective body, so it hath a tongue of her ration, lib. 2. owne, (peaking by the common voice of her Synods, Con. fett. 10.p. 109. fe Sions, Articles, Constitutions, Catechismes, Liturgies : a tongue not onely to speake the text of her determination; but to make a Comment (if need be) to cleare it: and, if any single person shall take upon him to bee the mouth of the Church, his insolency is justly censureable : So he.

Secondly, Oaths are imposed for matter of caution and fecurity to those that impose them, that they may relye upon them without doubt or diffrust; and how can that be, when we take them in another sense then they that require them doe meane, or will admit of. The oldrule (which is a maxime) faith, He offends a- t Is committee gainst the law, who cleaving to the words of the law, lea- in legem, qui veth the will of the law, that is, of the Law-maker : For, complectens, the law it felfe is a dead letter, and hath no will at all, contra legis ni-

There are some of our Brethren, who (in good will tem. Regul. Juto themselves and us) have undertaken to expound ris 88. the Oath fo as that they and we, without scruple, may take it : and we take kindly their good intention, and, in good will to them againe, request them to consider,

That a private interpretation of a publicke act can give no fatisfaction, unlesse it be either expresly, or vertually allowed by the highest Authority that doth impose it; and then it is made publicke: but why they should expect such an approbation of their private opinions, we cannot imagine; and if that would ferve the turne, we could find in our hearts (and it may bee in our heads too) to make as mollifying a gloffe on the Oath, as they have done: and fuch a one, as might be more satisfactory to our consciences, then theirs can be.

But the Authority of interpretation of any doubt (in fuch a publicke act) belongeth properly not to private, but to publicke persons, especially, if they bee Authorized by the Synod for such a pur-

u Quòd fi in posterum aliqua dubia, ambiguitates, &c. oriantur in rum, ambiguitatum, difficultatum, &c. interpretationes & declaratio-Eboracensem, Dominos Episcopos Dunelmensem, Castriensem, & quorum idem reverendissimus pater sit unus. So in the Grant of by the Clergie. pag. 25.

pose : as in the late Synod wee see, · where the Doubts concerning the co casu, omnium hujusmodi dubio- benevolence of the Clergy granted to his Majesty, are ordered, to bee deternes fient per reverendissimum in mined by the Archbishop of Yorke, Christo patrem Archiepiscopum the Bishop of Durham, the Bishop of Chester, and the Bishop of Carlile, or by Carliolensem, aut duos corundem, two of them at the least, whereof the Archbishop is to bee one : and in other the benevolence or contribution Doubts (whereof there is no certaine rule of Resolution set) it is probable,

the decision should bee given by the sentence of the

fame, or fuch like Judges.

For private men, though learned, if they take upon them the Interpretation of publicke Dictats, may be more like to light on mutuall contradictions of each other, then on the true and proper construction of the Text Text they interpret. So did x Vega and Soto, Y Soto and x Hist of the Catherinus, who wrote against each other contrary Councell of Trent. lib. 2. Comments upon the Councell of Trent. In which pag. 216. respect it was a wise advice given to the Pope by the y Ibid.p.229. z Bishop of Bestice, viz. To appoint a Congregation for z Ibid.18. the expounding of the Councell 3 and well followed by P.817. him, when he forbade all forts of persons, Clerkes, or Laicks (being private men) to make any Commentaries. Gloffes, Annotations, or any Interpretation what foever upon the Decrees of that Councell.

Doctor Burges indeed made an Interpretation of his owne subscription; but there had been no validity in it (as we conceive) unlesse it had been allowed by the superiour powers; and so it was: for (as hee faith)

a It was accepted by King James, and the Archbishop of a Doct. Burges Canterbury affirmed it to bee the true sense and meaning a much applau-

of the Church of England.

ded Pamphlet

And if wee should take the Oath, and a Notary Prefat P. 26. publicke record it, unlesse our exposition of it were publickly and lawfully (for favourably is not fufficient) both allowed and recorded also, wee may haply bee charged with the crime of perjury : and unable fairly and effectually to free our felves from that charge, unlesse by Authority wee were permitted to conclude our Oath with the ancient clause of limitation, viz. b Hzc omnib fo farre as agreeth with the facred Scripture, with the bus partibus Civill and Ecclesiasticall Lawes of this Kingdome, and servabo, quibus as farre as our abilities will afford.

ptura, cum Legibus Civili-

bus & Ecclesiasticis hujus regni consentiunt; & quantum vires mez patientur. Reform. leg. Ecclef. de Ture, cap. 11. fol. 105.a.

3. Part.

### The third Part.

of the Persons that must take the Oath.

Hey are Archbishops and Bishops, and all other Priests and Deacons in places exempt, or not exempt: So in the Prefatory Speech before the Oath and in the direction that followeth it, it is imposed on all that are Beneficed, or dignified in the Church, all Masters of Arts (the sons of Noblemen onely excepted) all Batchlours, and Doctors in Divinity, Law, or Phy. sicke, on all that are licensed to practise physicke, on all Registers. Actuaries, and Proctors, all Schoolemasters. all such as being Natives, or naturalized, come to be incorporated into the universities here, having taken de. gree in any forraine University, on all that take boly Orders at the time of their Ordination, and all that receive collation, institution, or licence to preach or serve any cure; that is, briefly, on all who by Ecclefiasticall or Academicall subordination to the Synod, or to the chiefe per sons affembled, are most subject to imposition, and penalty, which answereth the Doubt of some, demanding why Judges are not to bee fworne as well as the Bis shops, and why not Students of the Innes of Court as well as Students of the University. The reason may be, because they are not in such a degree of subordination to Bishops, or others, who are members of the Convocation or Synod, as those who are particularly rehearfed in the Canon.

The

### The 24. Doubr.

Why the sonnes of Noblemen are excepted, and privi-24. Particular ledged from taking this Oath, when they take the de-Doubt. gree of Masters of Arts.

### THE REASON.

Before we render it, we professe that we take this Doubt to be of a different kind from those which hitherto wee have proposed, fince it is without the compasse both of the words and explication of the Oath, from whence all our scruples of conscience hitherto have been derived.

Yet because we have heard this Quare put forth by many, and not well answered by any, we crave leave to propose it, and to deliver some probable conjectures upon it; leaving the certain Resolution to those who are better acquainted with the true causes thereof.

Object. That exception of the fonnes of Noblemen hath been excepted against by some for this reason:

Reaf. Because their example in swearing to the Doctrine and Discipline of the Church, would bee of great moment to the maintenance of both: and therefore they thinke it more meet, that they should begin this sacred attestation (whom others would bee most ready and forward to follow) then that they should have a particular exception to free them from it.

object. If it be faid, When others take Oath, they take none, but make their protestations and promises

in verbo honoris:

Answ. That is true, but neither is so much required

of them in this case; or, if it were, two particulars would be returned by way of reply: The one,

That Archbishops and Bishops are to take the The Kings Oath, who a take place of their right Honourable Falarge Declarat thers, both Earles and Lords; and so it can be no difof the Tumults in Scotl. p. 217. honour to their fonnes, to doe as fuch reverend, and

b Episcopi & Regis verbum seu affirmatio fine juramento fit irrefragabile. Concil. Bergam-ftedenfe, cap. 17. anno Christi 700. apud Dom. Spelman de confil.tom. 1.p.196.

fo much honoured Prelates have done. especially, fince Bishops have beene b matched with Kings in the prerogative of irrefragable regard and beliefe

of their words without an Oath: The other. That as the sonnes of Noblemen were to be belee-

c See pag. 132.

seq. iii. k. in mar. ved, when they testified any thing in verbo c bonoris; fo were the Clergy to be beleeved, when they did the like in verbo Sacerdotis. And by Justinians Code (if we may take it upon the word of a Popish Priest; for we d Wais Quod- have not met with it in the originall) d The word of a Priest was rated to the Testimonies of twelve of the Laity. But the priviledge of the Nobility yet remaineth, and that of the Clergy now is lost: which may give us just occasion to examine, whether they have not beene more true to their honour, then most of us to the fincerity of our facred Profession; and if they have, they may bee priviledged from the taking of this Oath, though we be not.

> It is probable also, that they were exempted upon especiall respects, both of ingenuity and prudence : of ingenuity, by those Clergy men, who were bound to all honourable observance of such noble Families, as had been meanes to preferre them; and of prudence, in preventing a repulle to their purpole: for it was not like that the Nobility would fuffer their fonnes to bee

entangled

libets.pag. 12.

entangled with fuch an Oath, as is prejudiciall to the conscience: and (as many Lawyers alledge) to the obedience due to his most excellent Majesty, and his Royall Successours.

That which we reade in the Conference at Hampton Court, gives us just occasion so to conceive; which is, That when there was speech of the coath exe Confer at officio, one of the Lords compared it to the course of the pag. 89.

Spanish Inquisition; and if the Nobility had distasted it, and renounced it, it would have given the greater en-

couragement to others to fland out against it.

Howfoever, Gods providence hath most wisely ordered their exemption from swearing; for, since the Nobility are not required to take this Oath, their desire and endeavour to have the aggrievance of it removed from others (as their petition to his Majesty before the Parliament sheweth) doth evidence their noble, religious, and charitable minds towards all such, as by their mediation may bee secured from suffering in their consciences by taking, or in their liberties and estates for resulall thereof.

For which pious compassion many thousands, especially we of the Tribe of Levi, are deeply obliged to praise God for their Honours, and to pray to him for his vigilant providence and potent protection, both

over them and their honourable Progeny.

मुंग्रह्त है जिस्सी हुए हैं।

wife: for, of all those perfores of leveral call ngs.p fellors, or egrees, bufore repearled, and required 4.Part.

The fourth or last part is, of the penalty of the Oath.

The words of the Canon.

If any man Beneficed, or dignified in the Church of England, or any other Ecclesiasticall person, shall refuse to take the Oath, the Bishop skall give him a moneths time to informe himselfe: and at the moneths end, if he resuse to take it, he shall be suspended abossicio, and have a second moneth granted; and if then hee resuse to take it, hee shall be suspended ab officio & beneficio, and have a third moneth granted him for his better information: but if (at the end of that moneth) he resuse to take the Oath above named, hee shall by the Bishop bee deprived of all his Ecclesiasticall promotions what soever, and execution of his Function, which hee bolds in the Church of England.

Ofthis, our 25. Doubris,

25.Particular Doubt. Concerning the difference betwixt the command and commination of the Canon.

### THE REASON.

Because, where a law is rightly grounded, and a penalty for breach of it imposed, there the offenders are legally censured, with an equal and impartiall justice; but here it seemeth to us to be much otherwise: for, of all those persons of severall callings, professions, or degrees, before rehearsed, and required to take

take the Oath, onely Clergy men are upon their refufall of it to be punished: and that, though gradually, yet with as much severity as any Ecclesiastical Authority can instict: for, they within three moneths are to be put out both of their ministry and means; while all others (though they refuse the Oath as well as they) are by the Canon not to bee the worse for their refufall.

It may bee, the Bishops may prohibit some to pra-&ise Physicke, others to teach Schoole, that will not fweare; and they that will not take the Oath, perhaps shall take no orders at their hands : and for Registers. Actuaries, and Proctors of their Courts, if they refuse it, it may be they will refuse them, and put them out of their offices; but the Canon concludeth nothing against any one, but Ecclefiasticks, especially Beneficed Preachers; which, whether it may more incline fuch to love, or feare those who lay these heavie penalties upon them, there is none fo fimple, but may foone perceive a nor is any fo wife, as in this to fee either the compassion of the reverend Prelates, as their fathers or the charity of inferiour Clerks, as their brethren: for, by this Canon they are necessarily cast upon one part of this dangerous Dilemma :

If they take the Oath (besides the scruples of conscience before observed) their taking of it (as we have touched before) must bee recorded by a Notary publicke; and then, if they doe any thing, which they that are their Judges will call a breach of the Oath they have taken, they may bee called in question for the

crime of perjury.

f Conciliab. apud Northampton in Anglia celebrat, quo Thomas Archiepiscopus Cantuariensis de perjurio per Regem accusarus & condemnatus, cò quòd Anglicanas consuetudines quas juraverat Concil pag. 806.col. 1.

About the yeare 1164. Thomas Archbistop of Canterbury, was at a Councell (held at Northampton) accused and condemned of perjury; because nas confuetudines quas juraverat non observafiet. Francisc Long. de hee had sworne to the English customes; and had not observed them. And it is

an easie matter (out of an Oath of such copious contents, as this of the fixth Canon) to frame an accufation against him that hath taken it; especially, if what lieth in ambush under the &c. bee brought into the charge: hereof our feare may bee the more; because some of us have observed, how forward some of our fuperiours have beene to lay an imputation of perjury upon the inferiour Clergy; because (having taken the Oath of Canonicall obedience) they have not been fo ready (as they would have them) to performe what they imposed by uncanonicall Commands.

On the contrary, if they take not the Oath, and thereupon the penalty of the Canon bee laid upon them, they must take leave of their Pastorall charges; and no more be allowed to feed their Flockes, nor to be clothed with their fleece : which is like (in respect of many Parishes) to be a great calamity both to the Paftors and People.

Thus much for our Doubts which if any thinke too much, it may be he thinkes too little of the peace of conscience, the price of our Ministry in danger to bee loft, and the pressure and misery, which by many may bee felt by occasion of that Oath which though

though wee dare not take, yet wee dare sweare, and can doe it heartily, millingly, and truly, that (as yet wee conceive of the Oath) wee cannot with sound faith, and safe conscience yeeld unto it.

And if most of these Doubts, before proposed, should bee found to bee frivolous (which wee doe not conceive of any one of them) and but one of them contains a just ground of ambiguitie, (which some that have taken the Oath, and some that have written for the Oath, have since confessed: and not onely by speech, but by their handwriting have acknowledged) that one is enough, while wee are in suspended our affent from the taking thereof: For, the comparison (brought in by the prudent Composer of the History of the Councell of Trent) wee take to bee true, and of much importance to this purpose, which is, some parting Hist. Con-

cular maketh false the contradictory universall; so one partie glad. of Trent. ambiguous particular, makes the universall to bee am-

biquous.

If any man, but of a private condition (like unto our felves) have a mind to make answer to our Doubts or Reasons, wee wish him to consider at what hee aimeth in that undertaking: If to engratiate himselfe into the favour of those who approve of the Oath; wee desire not to cast in any prejudice to his expectation: If to satisfie us, wee feare his endeavours are like to bee fruitlesse; since hee cannot advance his discourse above probability.

bability, and for that wee may returne upon him with like topicall Arguments: and fo the confiftence of the cause (at the best) will be but a probleme; which will administer but weake encouragement for a sincere, willing, and
bearty taking of an
Oath.

Our



Our Hopes, and the Reasons thereof.

# First, our HOPEs.



Ur Doubts and Reasons hitherto handled, wee hope, will assure your Lordship, that our not taking of the Oath, ought not to be ascribed to contumacy, but to conscience;

and then, we hope againe (the case standing as it doth) that you will neither presse upon us to take it, nor oppresse us with the penalty, if we take it not.

### OUT REASONS.

For this, we conceive, we have both folid Reasons, and facred Examples; and those such, as wee presume your wisedome and goodnesse will not disesteeme.

Our Reasons are 2. Charity. grounded on 3. Equity. 4. Policy.

# I. On Piety.

For the first: As your Lordship and the rest of the reverend Prelates are men of God, you stand especially engaged (as much as in you lyeth) to fecure your inferiours from the perill of finne, whether Preachers, or People: and first, for Preachers, to take care that they doe not take any dangerous Oaths.

tom.6.an.449. nu.12.col.83.

edit. 1633.

i The Councell of Challons. Can. 18. p. 560. Sympf. of the Church.

For which cause, Saint Basil was very zealous in h Baron. Annal. behalfe of Bishops (as h Baronius sheweth) that they might not be put to sweare, in respect of the perill of an Oath: and hee prevailed so farre as to free them from that perill. And the Councell of Challons was as respective of Presbyters, decreeing thus; It is reported of some, that they compell the persons who are admitted (in time of their Ordination) to sweare that they are worthy, and that they shall do nothing repugnant to the Canons : and that they shall be obedient to the Bi-Thops, who ordained them; and to the Church, into which they are ordained : which oath, in regard it is perillous, we all inhibit, and discharge.

And the Triburienfian Councell, having a respect

both to their credits and consciences. Presbyter in judicio ad juranmade a cautelous Constitution, k That dum cogi non debet, sed vice Saa Presbyter (hould not bee compelled to cramenti per sanctam consecrationem interrogetur. Concil. Triburisweare; but, in stead of an Oath, he should enf. Can. 21. an. 895. p. 782. Caranz. be questioned upon his holy consecration

(i.as it is probable) in verbo Sacerdotis; because (as the Reason is there rendred) our Lord forbade his Disci-

ples to fweare.

Object.

Object. But fay fome, Did not all, both minister, and people in the City of Geneva (which many magnific as the Metropolis of Piety) take an Oath for shutting out of Popery, and fetting up of the Presbytery without all this adoe ?

Answ. They did indeed sweare to banish the one, and to establish the other (as I Master Hooker ob- 1 M. Hooker

ferveth) but

in the Preface of his Ecclef.

First, Is it any good Argument, that because they Polit. have fworne against the Prelacy, that we must sweare for it ? men by law are not allowed to take contradictory Oaths; and if there bee any Authority in their example, it may ferve rather to make us doubtfull. then resolute to sweare: though wee thinke it might bee more reasonable to require Geneva to receive fome Doctrines from us, then wee Discipline from them.

As concerning the Sabbath, they of Geneva might have learned founder Instruction from our Homilies. then wee from m Calvins Institutions (though other- m calv. Instit. wise an excellent book) or from the common practice lib.2,ch.8. of that City.

Secondly, their Oath was not in ambiguity like ours, nor is ours (as theirs was) regulated by Scripture : For,

First, they n sware in plaine termes, never to admit n Mr. Hookers of the Papacy among them againe; and among them Prefat before it was not so ambiguous, what points should be repu- fol.5.p.1. ted Popish, as with usit is.

Secondly, to live in obedience to fuch orders (concerning the exercise of their Religion, and the forme of Ecclefiafticall Government) as the true and faith-

full Ministers of Gods Word, had, agreeably to Scri-

pture, fet downe for that end and purpofe.

Thirdly, for the abandoning of Popery, they might fafely and heartily sweare; and so could wee (if an Oath were tendred against it, which were not otherwife lyable to exception) and for the Discipline or Government, the Clergy made no scruple of it: for, they propounded it as a certaine preservative to the purity of Religion; and the people were induced to receive it, because it made them partakers of a new priviledge; and that a great one: for, to one Pastour were joyned two Lay-elders in the Government of the Church; and so their swearing was (as the taking of this Oath) by Archbishops, Bishops, &c. a confirmation of their owne Interests.

Fourthly, as they made no doubt of the lawfulnesse of the Oath, fo were they not urged unto it by any commination of danger: and fo their fwearing might be with a free will, not mixed either with reluctancie

of conscience, or with seare of penalty.

Fifthly, the Discipline of Geneva is said to bee a o new-fangled Discipline, of Calvins devising : and it is further delivered, as an undoubted truth, P That no man living, no record of History, can shew any Laypresbyter, that ever was in the whole Christian Church. untill this prefent age. But the Government by Bishops is held by those who propound this Oath) to g The Archb. bee 9 of divine right, and to have continued in the Church, " ever since the time of Christ, and his Apostles, untill this present age.

> If fo, they of Geneva had need of an Oath, to give firength to their new invented Government; and

o The Lord Archb-of Canterbury in his Speech in the Starre-chamb. p Bish. Hall in his second undoubted proposit, added to his Irrefrag. pag.6. Speech foreeited. pag.6. r Bish. Halls book, his first undoubted

propolit.

we (without an Oath) might be confident of the continuance of ours, according to the found refolution of the renowned Doctor of the Law, Gamaliel, in the fifth of the Acts of the Apostles: If this counsell, or this worke (faid hee) be of men, it will come to nought, but if it be of God, you cannot overthrow it, lest haply yee be found even to fight against God, Act. 5. v. 38, 39.

Pope Clement the seventh, upon this ground (though mistaken as in application to the Papacy) grew very confident against the feare of a future Councell, as the Authour of the History of the Councell of Trent reporteth, in these words : There was a fame spread, the f Pope clem. Pope would have no Councell, for feare his Authority 1.1.p. 51. should be restrained : areason which maketh no impresfion at all in bim, having his Authority immediasly from Christ, with promise, that the gates of Hell should not prevaile against it : and the experience of former times bath shewed, that the Papall Authority bath never been diminished by any Councell; but according to the words of our Lord, the Fathers have ever confessed it to be absolute and unlimited, as it is indeed.

In word rather, or in conceipt at the best: and it was conceipt, and no more, that upheld his heart against all oppositions of his power; And our reverend Prelates have fo much leffe cause to feare their state (though it be not supported by swearing) as they have more warrant to build their hopes upon the oraculous fentence of that famous Doctor.

The other particular more especially respecteth the piety of the people, whose consciences are like to be carefully guided by fuch Divines, as are chary of their owne. Of whose Ministry (if they should be de-

prived) it is not like, that generally there would bee found a supply to countervaile the losse of their religious labours, and exemplary practice, the two chiefe helps to holinesse of life: and we conceive it a point of Piety, and a case of conscience, worthy of the grave and godly confideration of the reverend Prelates to refolve, whether, because in this ease wee dare not sweare, God will reject our service in his Sanctuary; if not, why should they?

The faying of Wickliffe (though condemned as hereticall in the Popish Councell of Constance) may (as we conceive, with a little correction) bee made a very Orthodox position, and pertinent to this purpose:

t Nullus Pra- Thus, t None should be excommunicated by any Prelate, unleffe be know him (or rather thus, unleffe hee conceive him) excommunicated by God: And if so, while God is pleased (as we hope he is) to accept our endeavours to tum à Deo At- glorifie his Name, and edifie his Flocke, wee trust it will not be held any part of godlinesse with the Pastocil.Confant.fol. rall staffe, to drive any faithfull Pastour from the fold 437. Concil. Ca- of Christ.

2. On Charity.

Secondly, wee ground our Hopes upon your Lord-Thips Charity, whereof the fundamentall Rule in the Affirmative part is , "To doe unto all men as wee would they should doe unto us : and in the Negative, not to offer that to others, which in the like case our selves would not fuffer. And we doubt not, but your Lordship would bee loth that your feare of offence against God, and your conscience, should be so severely punished, as by the Canon is threatned to fuch as are affraid to take

latus debet aliquem excommunicare, nisi prius sciat excommunicatic. I I . Wickl. Imputat.in Con-

u Mat.7.12.

Tang.

this Oath : and if you looke but upon us without reflecting upon your Lordships, wee trust that for this. after our long and studious endeavours in the university. wherein some of us have (pent our Patrimonies (which might have maintained us in another cour fe, without Ecclesiasticall meanes) after our constant and painfull im. ployment in our Pastoral charges (even to the tyring out of our strength) after our families are increased beyond our abilities to support them, without the meanes wee receive from the Church (and for many of us, wee may (ay but poorely wishit) you will not by the discharge of this Canon upon us, put us upon the poore refuge of the Canon of the x Councell of Carthage (made for inferiour and fingle x Clericus vi-Clerkes) to labour in husbandry, or manufactures (which dum & vestiyour Y Canons precisely prohibit) for our maintenance; artificiolo vel (weare we dare not, digge we cannot: we were no Fifber. agricultura men, nor Tent-makers, or other Artificers, before we en-cil. Carthag.4. tredupon this holy calling, and to begge we are ashamed: Can. 51,52,52. and we may be also affraid, since by the Law, 2 begging y Ministers thall not give Scholars are no better then Roques, and so to be severely themselves to punified, rather then charitably relieved; what then shall any servile labour. Can. 75. we doe ? z The Com-

It is a good Rule given to Visiters by Gavant (saith plete Justice, a \* reverend Writer of your owne ranke) that they \$\frac{p.212.nu.16}{cdit.1638}\$. Should be sparing in making Decrees: and no lesse pro. \* Bish Hall in sitable to spirituall Governours, which Erasmus rehis second lates (out of Gersten) that they should not rashly throw ration. set. 9.

about the Thunderbolts of their censures, less they should p.65.

not take time sufficient to distinguish betwixt such as are more capable of pity, then of severity; and those who are more worthy of the rod, then of the spirit of meekness, to spare the one, though they punish the other: And wee

b The Gouncell of Challons. Can. 4 1.

c As for rule,

looke for no lesse moderation and mercy from your Lordship, fince a b Councell adviseth you thus : It becometh them who are in eminent roomes, to deale mercitully with their inferiours, knowing that they are brethren.

And while we accompt you (in regard of the gravity, and eminency of your parts and places) Fathers, wee cannot but hope that you will deale with us as with your fonnes, with a cfatherly moderation, and not thinke us worthy to bee dif-inherited, or cast off, while wee defire and endeavour faithfully to hold on in our course of the service of God, and his Church: farily be requi- And we doubt not, but you will remember the godly and gracious words of your owne Ordination, d That you have your Authority not to destroy, but to fave ; not to hurt but to help : c And that you are to be to your Flocks Shepheards, and not welves; to be so mercifull, as not to be d In the book remiffe: fo to administer Discipline, as not to forget mercy: and to bee so farre from oppressing of us, that if wee were oppressed by others, you should bee ready to succour us. This is one end of Episcopall Visitations, for which there is a Decree in a Councell of Arles to this effect:

if we affect any but fatherly and moderate and fuch as must necesred, we doe not deprecate to censure. Bish Hall of Episcopacy. part. 2. p. 58. of Ordination. pag.penu!t. e Ibid.

> f The Councell of Arles, 62.813 Can.17 P.564. Patrick Sympfon of the Church.

f Let every Bishep wisit his bounds once every yeare, and if he finde the poore to be oppressed by the violence of the mighty, then let him with wholesome admonition exhort them to desist from such oppression: and in case they will not defift from their violence, then let the Bishop bring the cause to the eares of the Prince.

Nor doe wee conceive our different perswasion concerning this Oath, doth represent us unto you, as unworthy of your fatherly Compassion, since he that of late hath thewed himfelfe a most zealous Patron

of Episcopall preheminence, expresseth a pitifull affeaion towards the Divines of the Reformed Churches (notwithstanding many greater differences then this of the Oath) in this fort, g We heartily pity (faith he) the in- g Bish, Hall in competent provision of our forraine brethren, whose parts Episcopacy. are as eminent as their maintenance is poore.

part. I. fect . 7.

He whose charity reacheth so far off, in commisera-pag. 108. ting the poverty of forrain Divines, would not furely (and wee trust, many of the reverend Prelates are as pitifull as he) be a meanes to make fuch miserable objects here at home, by depriving of fo many confcionable Ministers, as make scruple of the Oath, of the due provision whereof they are lawfully possessed: especially, if hee remember the Ca- h Episcopalis domus ad hoc infitituta est, ut, sine personarum acceptione, omnes in hospitalitate refaith, h That a Bishops house is purposely cipiat. Concil. Matife. 2. Can. 13. appointed to afford hospitality to all that need, without

respect of persons. And that other Canon of Charity, which goeth

under the name of the Apostles, in i Si quis Episcopus aut Presbyter these words: If any Bishop or Presby- Clerico ex inopia laboranti necesfaria non suppeditaverit, à comter doe not minister to the nece sities of a munione rejicitor; si perseverave-Clerke that is in want, let him be debar- rit, deponitor, ur qui fratem fuum red from the communion : and if hee per \_ necaverit. Can. Apoft. 58. severe in his want of pity, let him be deposed as quilty of his brothers death, in withholding from him brotherly reliefe. Which not onely by the generall duty of Christianity, but by especiall promise, the Bishops of England are bound in conscience to consider and beware of, k fince at their confecration the Archbifhop of Ordinat. asketh them, Will you fhew your felfe gentle, and be mer - p. awepen. or cifull for Christs (ake to poore and needy people, and so all penuls.

frangers destitute of help ? and their answer is, I will fo thew my felfe by Gods help. Wherein their bare word for beneficence to strangers is vertually a double bond of security to their owne, against such excessive feverity, as by that Apostolicall Canon is condemned.

2. On Equity.

Thirdly, wee are confident in the Equitable Justice of our reverend Governours, that the Commination in the words of the Canon is more fevere, then the execution of it will be: for, if wee doe offend in for-

1 Non in eum est animadvertendum, ac fi voluntarie hoc feciffet, & de industria: melius semper & clementiùs judicandus est ex ipso hoc traverit. Concil. Anhamen. Gener. apud Dr. Spelman de Concil.an, 1009. 10m. 1.p. 516.

bearing of the Oath, distinction must bee made by the Decree of a generall 1 Councell, betwixt those that of fee quod ex necessitate factum perpe- purpose offend, and those that offend by a kind of necessity: as, where our consciences put in exceptions as barres, at least as demurres to obedience : and if we deserve any

censure in such a case, it is not deprivation, which our m Sententia Ecclefiasticall Lawes call m cruell, and an horrible deprivationis, weapon, which destroyethor undoeth the whole man : for, quoniam cruenta eft, & to- that is referved n for hainous crimes of greatest and foultum hominem est guilt: which in particular are noted by Duarenus conficit tam the . Civilian to be these, Simonaicall ambition, Inconhorribile telum &c. Retinency, keeping of a Concubine so pertinaciously, that the form.leg Ecclef. offender being duely admonished, will not for sake her: tit.de deprivat. cap.4.fol.79.b. Perjury, Murther, Treason, or some other grievous ofn Depriva-

tio flagitiis atrocibus convenit. Ibid.cap. 1. fol. 79.a. Deprivatio maximis & teterrimis vitiis est reservanda. So in the Chapt it selfe. o De criminibus propter que beneficia amittantur, que funt ambitus Simonaicus, Incontinentia, si Concubinam habeat, nec cam rice monitus demittere velit, Perjurium, Homicidium, Perduellio. Duaren, de bene-

fic.lib.8.cap.6.pag-101.

fence (as herefie) which is followed by infamy : where by P Infamy, hee meaneth not that, which arifeth from the p Quod autem mil-conceipt of men, but that which proceedeth from the ximus, de ea Centence of the Law: None of all which can (with any accipiendum colour of truth) bee imputed to our doubting, or final eff, jux jure ac denial of the Oath, while the dictate of our conscitur, non de ca ences induceth us to doe fo; and, if we should configure x homidently affirme (which some of us believe) that a Pref. num opinione proficifeitur. byter is equall with a Biftop by Gods Law, we could not Ibid p. 103. for that incurre the condemnation of Heretickes: for though Augustine and Epiphanius accounted Acrius an Hereticke for that, yet (as 9 Dr. Whitaker faith) few of the 9 Dr. Whitaker faith) few of the 9 Dr. Whitaker to ancient Fathers (besides them) did so: and therein (faith campian his he) was Hierome altogether of his mind.

Besides, wee presume your goodnesse will take no- P-317. tice, that in Equity and Justice they should not suffer most, who offend least against a penall law; and they least, or rather nothing at all, who are most refractory to it: which would come to passe, if the penalty should proceed, as in the Canon is expressed; for, all Papists, who are adverse to our Doctrine in generall (except fome few egregious Ecclefiasticall hypocrites) may passe unpunished, notwithstanding this Canon: while Protestant Ministers may bee undone, for refusing to fweare to some particulars of Discipline.

But that wee shall not feare, if you please to looke upon the most reverend and religious Bishops of the Primitive times, who (as your owne Apologist hath testified of them) " were made all of meeknesse, humility, and r Bith, Hall in patience: if you be like unto them (and while you take his book of them for your Ancestors, you should not be unlike part. 1.68.18.

tenth Reason.

them) Pag.112.

them) you will never make us feele the rigour of this ruinating Canon.

4. On Policy.

Fourthly, in religious Policie you may (with as much advantage to your felves as to us) forbeare the urging of this Oath; for, give us leave, wee befeech you, to tell you the truth (though fuch, as hope to help forward some ambitious designe by your favour, say otherwise) the Bishops (if they have not added to the number of the enemies they had before) have yet loft more friends by propofall of this Oath, and Penalty. then by any Act that is knowne to be theirs: and withall, have thereby raifed a suspition, that themselves do doubt their dignity is not fet upon a fure foundation. It is cause of jealousie to many, when such as are reputed

à suis, priusquam Sacramentum daret : fic suis utrisque manibus manus accipientis prehendens, non priùs dimisit, quam hoc jurejurando obstrinxisset: Jura mihi per corpus & sanguinem Domini te nunquam me deserturum, nec ad Cortom. 2.an. 254. nu. 75. col. 504.

Novatianus juramentum exegit politicke, are too cautelous in their own cause; and for that was I Novatianus, the father of the Puritans (though a Bishop) the worse thought of (for good Bishops used to exact no such unreasonable fecurity for themselves because nelium reversurum. Baron. Annal. hee caused his Adherents to put their hands betwixt his, and to sweare by the

body and bloud of the Lord, they would not for sake him, and turne to Cornelius: And this hee did, before hee would give them the Sacrament; but befides that, fuch a forced confederacy is but fraile: By this his Episcopacy was the more suspected, to be both unlaw-

t The Patriarch of Antioch, to make fure worke, bound Prince

Reimund by an Oath to be true to him; but friends unjustly gotten, are seldome comfortably retained and of his fworn friend, he proved his fworn enemy. M'. Fullers holy war. full L2,6,20.p.69.

full and schismaticall. It hath fallen out so (whether iuftly, or unjuftly we will not fay) in many mens conceipts of the Right and Authority of Bishops, both fince, and by occasion of this swearing Canon. And they cannot more readily redeeme their reputation, then by a speedy procuring of a repeale or revocation thereof (as we doubt not but they may) by publicke · Authority.

Wherein, if they appeare and prevaile, they need not feare any disparagement to their prudence, by withdrawing that they have decreed, fince the wifest Statesmen, and greatest Governours have used many times, to comply so farre with popular dispositions, as to vary their own Acts with relation to their liking, as the Pilot doth his failes, to comply with the winds.

And you cannot have a more Authentick example. both to induce you to this, and to defend you in it (from all imputations) then that of our facred Sovefaigne; who rather then he would give any colour of complaint for aggrievances to his people, was pleafed u to dispense with the five Articles of Perths Affembly, u King Charles and to discharge all persons from urging the practise his large Dethereof upon any, either Laick, or Ecclesiasticall person the Tumults what soever : and to free all his subjects from all censures in Scotland. and paines, whether Ecclesiasticall, or Secular, for not P.370.8 p.389 urging, practifing, or obeying any of them: though they were established, both by a general! Assembly, and by Act of Parliament, as this Canon was not.

And for his owne Acts (for these Articles of Perth were propounded and ratified in the reign of his Royall Father) be imposed the Service book, the book of Canons, and high Commission, upon his subjects in Scotland;

of the Divines of Aberdene: paz.54. 131.

x The Duplies and upon their x humble supplication, was content gracioully to grant a discharge from them, passing his Princely promise, y that hee would neither then, nor afterwards y Ibid.p.130, presse the tractice of them, nor any thing of that nature; but in such a faire and legall way, as should satisfie all his

Majesties loving Subjects.

Wherein, wife men (who judge of Consultations and Acts by their probable effects, and not by unexpected events) cannot but highly commend his Majesties mildnesse and clemency; which, we doubt not, would condescend to your requests, for a removall of this great aggrievance, if you would be pleafed to interpofe your mediations to so acceptable a purpose : and upon our humble fuit (which in all submiffive manner wee tender) to your Lordship (and, by you, to the rest of your reverend order) we hope you will doe fo, fince we have it upon his word(his Royall Majesties word) which neither in duty, nor discretion we may distrust.

P.420.

z The Kings z That the Prelates were their greatest friends (i.of his large Declarat. Scottish Subjects) their counsells were alwaies counsells of peace, and their solicitations vehement and carnest. for granting those unexpetted favours, which we were pleased to bestom upon our people.

> Bestow (we beseech your Lordship) some of these vehement and ferious folicitations on our behalfe in this cause, and you shall not more free us from the feare of this Canon, then bind us in love, the best love (which casteth out feare) to remaine

> > Your Lordships in all due observance

